

General Council Meeting

April 12, 2016

7:06 Roll¹

Present: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Vince Moita, Sammie Acuna, Mike Khoury, Jason Morales, Kristin Williams, Ian Nicholson, Andrew Levad, Chris Ballard, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Jehmima Carril, Lucas Yeung, Tom Russell, Alane Wall, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Gabrielle Lion, Pallavi Vishwanath, Matthew Friedman, Tomas Ditrych, Nicholas Raimondo, Celia Guzman

Absent: Matthew Huzaineh, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Keith Yetter, Ronnie Shou, Monica Brock, Sean Larson, Matthew Olhausen

7:09 Public Comment

Ryan Brodovsky: FYI, Please know this is being recorded,

Nicholas Lansdown: Anyone else public Comment

Mike Khoury: 3L party is tomorrow- it's been publicized – last year we didn't hear about it, the lower class students were invited but no one actually got an invitation, so this year we created a Facebook event and I sent out an email to the entire school this morning. 1Ls, 2Ls MSL and LLM reps it is your responsibility to spread the word to your students at this point. Tomorrow at 8:30 come early for drinks. It's at Audio.

Alane Wall: There is confusion among 1Ls for what the common question for statutory classes actually involves. Nick had said he would get out some kind of email so 1Ls are informed as to what the common question for these classes involves.

Nicholas Lansdown: This comes from the legislation class. Students are unsure if the statutory question on the exam will be the same for all statutory classes, or if the statutory question will be individualized for each particular class. Asks Leeja to speak on it more

Leeja Patel: Not sure of question.

¹ Note- minutes were taken by Ryan Brodovsky and not Carli Suba as she was out. These minutes took countless hours to finish and the Secretary position does this biweekly, which is quite an impressive feat given the difficulty of taking the minutes. Bravo Carli for all your work this semester, I am astounded how you got them done without the aid of any recording.

Alane Wall: Clarifies it. Does each professor teaching a 1L statutory class get to make 100% of their exam, or is one question made by the school for all 1Ls to answer no matter which statutory class they took?

Leeja Patel: Usually it's a common question, but I will get back to you.

Alane Wall: 1Ls are unsure

Jonathan Clark: Last year, there was 1 common essay question regarding statutory analysis – but 1Ls don't worry. Your professor will be the person grading it so the professor will grade you based on what you were taught.

Leeja Patel: It's never been a problem before

George Croton: Takacs said no common question

Andrew Levad: Ask your professor tomorrow instead of here and now, that is the most obvious solution.

Alane Wall: We asked our professor but he was unsure-

Leeja Patel: I will follow up.

Nicholas Lansdown: Anybody have anything else?

Nicholas Lansdown: Today was our Day in Sacramento, which is why I was 5 minutes late. I was parking the car. We went to lobby in Sacramento on behalf of the school. Our ask was to fully fund HPILF grants for public interest students, and to approve the governor's budget. We were able to convey that we would like more money for HPILF; about \$250,000, so that is something they will consider when they talk to their staff members when they consider the Hastings budget. It probably won't be on Hastings side of the budget until next year's fiscal budget request so that's something in the future we are lobbying for in finances.

Vince Moita clarifies the number as \$250,000

Nicholas Lansdown: Yup

E-Board Reports

Ryan Brodovsky: Dodgeball finals happening soon, probably 19th or 20th. That's it

Nicholas Lansdown: Perfect

Vince Moita: Getting ready for tonight, I prepared a resolution that is subject to approval, similar to how the budgeting process is done. I went to the resolutions made available on Saturday and made a tentative budget for that, as well as a separate resolution fund for orgs not granted

money. The purpose is that 1) all the ASUCH members here have to approve it through the traditional methods, but there is an additional amount available if your organization was not granted money through this budget. In addition to that, I'd like to make a motion to have that resolution be heard first once we are hearing resolutions. The reason for this is to make this meeting more productive and efficient. There are 14 resolutions on the table tonight and this will take care of 12 of them. That's the end of my report.

Sammie Acuna: No report, but it has been a good year so thank you everyone

Ryan Brodovsky on behalf of Carlia Suba: Send in your ASUCH service hours. You have taken a leadership role on campus this is part of the requirements. I know you have done them but make sure you send them in. Thank you.

Nicholas Lansdown: The tower gym got a new treadmill and by the end of next semester, there will be 2 water fill stations one in the second floor of the 200 building, and on the second floor of the 198 building having 198

Sam Micon: Did you talk to Sonny about putting one in the gym?

Nicholas Lansdown: I can.

Minutes

Nicholas Lansdown: First set of minutes will be emergency minutes conducted. Any objections to passing the March 21st minutes.

Samuel Chang Move to approve

Christian Urbano Seconds

No objections,

Passes

March 29 minutes

Mike Khoury: I sent an email about my concerns and I am unsure if they have been addressed.

Nicholas Lansdown: The minutes are the same as distributed out from Monday.

Christian Urbano: March 29 says I was present, But I was not.

Vince Moita: We have a right to know what the changes to the minutes will be before they are published

Mike Khoury: I was quoted for saying something, which was out of context, and I wanted clarification.

Nicholas Lansdown: The clarification was why does BLSA want that much, I believe \$3,500

Mike Khoury: Something along the lines of, I think Ryan said BLSA requested \$3,500 for graduation and my response to it a couple lines down was do you think BLSA deserves that kind of funding for cultural graduation. And I wanted to be clear about them.

Ryan Brodovsky: I propose approving the March 29 minutes along with April 12th minutes via email in the same manor we did for the last fall meeting because they worked well.

* Nicholas Lansdown highlights email Mike was referencing, "Page 13 says, 'Mike Khoury: Do you really think that BLSA deserves that kind of money?' this can be taken out of context. I was responding to Ryan's earlier comments about BLSA requesting \$3,500 for cultural graduation, which is omitted from the minutes. Can you please fix this? Maybe adding Ryan's comments and editing my comment to the following: 'Do you really think BLSA should get \$3,500 for cultural graduation?'

Nicholas Lansdown says quoted text is what Mike Khoury wants changed. Asks if anyone wishes to approve with the suggested change by email.

Move to approve March 29th minutes with Mike's changes

Vince Moita motion,

Samuel Chang seconds

No objections

Minutes pass with changes quoted above

Samuel Chang: we need to make the motion to approve the minutes for this meeting.

Nicholas Lansdown: Because this will be our last ASUCH meeting (WAHOO) Carli asked the GC to vote to tentatively approve the minutes for this meeting subject to getting feedback by Friday.

Ryan Brodovsky & Vince Moita voice disapproval – Vince asks for 2 weeks.

Samuel Chang: Move to approve minutes with revision date of 2 weeks of this time- Nicholas Lansdown changes to April 20 to send changes.

Samuel Chang motions for it is as seconded

Second-??

Nicholas Lansdown clarifies as Samuel Chang seconds; however, this is not the case after reviewing the audio notes. Samuel Chang motions and an unknown person seconds. Nicholas Lansdown entertains a call for a motion. See 23:28

Committee Reports:

Samuel Chang: Curriculum committee

Now that the faculty retreat is done, I can talk about these proposals. Dean asked faculty to write a white paper on these 6 proposals:

- 1) Change from 13 week semester to 14 week starting in fall of 2017
- 2) Increase credit for civ pro and contracts and remove con law
- 3) Change 1L rules to force legal analysis in conjunction with moot court
- 4) Requiring a bottom percent to take all upper division bar courses
- 5) Discuss development of advisory guidelines of course coverage across 1L courses – basically telling all professors to teach the exact same topics
- 6) Moving moot court to 2nd year

All proposals were talked about and debated heavily and none of them passed so that's where we are at now it's still an ongoing discussion that may be resumed later in the year but now that the retreat is done, I am allowed to talk about it.

Nicholas Lansdown: For clarity purposes, I sent email to faculty to say that the faculty shouldn't be anticipating what student concerns would be without actually asking students to get their viewpoint on the changes that administration is proposing to make. They were heavily debated and apparently a lot of student sentiment came into play and some of the professors and faculties viewpoints articulated that they shouldn't be taking a step guessing what students want without actually coming to students and asking what they want in their curriculum so there's that. Back to you Sammy.

Samuel Chang: The second committee report would be the public safety taskforce I believed I would come back from Sacramento earlier to make the presentation but I didn't. Here in my hand is the entire public safety report *hold very large stack of paper in the air* as well as 10 years of budget reports. Also, I am filing an opinion with the California attorney general about the penal code for 830.4(c) clarification, and we filed a public safety report on the security consulting report that was done 2-3 years ago. That's where we are.

Samuel Chang: And the third one for student fee's task force, we haven't done much more on it because we have been working on public safety.

Jonathan Clark: On public safety, can you talk about the wrong numbers coming out. After the report from last time, there was a meeting with Dean Faigman and the officers. I've heard the officers saying the numbers were all wrong and what the school is saying is a lie.

Samuel Chang: What the officers believe is that the school was putting in overhead costs into the overall costs they agree 1.7 million was part of the overhead cost including things not public safety and I'm trying to get to the bottom of this and that's why I have a line by line item with

me in my hand and I will go through it to see exactly what's going on. The funny thing is that both the school and public safety officers are correct because I calculated them, the question is where or not the overhead should be a part of public safety or not and that's the debate that is going on.

Samuel Chang: School thinks officers were putting in overhead costs – but has line-by-line item the numbers of both are correct question is if overhead costs should be a part of calculation

Green Campus Task Force presentation made by Sam Micon and George Croton- however they speak intermittently so I may be wrong about who said what.

Sam Micon: Hello everyone I'm Sam this is George, and we are future 2L reps and also the chair and co-chair of the green campus task force.

George Croton: We wanted to update you on what we've been doing and we feel like we've been doing some cool stuff and have some cool things lined up next semester.

George Croton: To start out here is language of what was approved

see Prezi Intro- <https://prezi.com/7n7nihv-twwf/hastings-green-campus/>

First we have an official board. Jackie, Kaleigh is here and obviously Sammy is our ASUCH liaison and Karim Troost is helping us out in sustainable technology that may be worth looking into and introducing to Hastings. We have a lot of members and interested folks.

Sam Micon: We've been working with Kasey Asberry, who is the director of sustainability, David Seward on introducing sustainability initiatives on the LRCP. Kasey is also writing the EIR report, which will be critiqued on Thursday at 10am in the demonstration gardens.

George Croton: So we've met with David Seward, he came and spoke in our first meeting and gave background on LRCP, and Sam is now sitting on LRCP student committee, very exciting, and he can relay concerns of the taskforce back to LRCP committee.

Sam Micon: We've done a gardening day with Kasey with a goal of having the law café patio done by the current 3L's graduation. Had a nice meeting and roundtable of the taskforce, coordinated with Nancy Stewart to get pro-bono hours for taskforce work.

Ryan Brodovsky: I want to clarify, that's pro-bono and NOT community service.

Sam Micon and George Croton: Both

Ryan Brodovsky: They are different

George Croton: You can get either. So for the projects, we are working on need to have a legal aspect and we have things in the works to get that. I think it's worth noting that 25% of your service hours can be community service. Kasey has set up a taskforce website under the Hastings website. We had a cool meeting with a Hawaiian company aimed at energy

consumption management in big buildings like ours, Idis technologies. We have a lot of members on the Facebook group, which is nice, and we had a good turnout for our first meeting, which is really cool.

George Croton: For the long term, 1) the water bottle fill up stations. This is a pet project of mine, I don't like plastic water bottles and so we're trying to procure funding to getting water bottles for Hastings students. We reached out to BKR water bottles, which are Hastings Alums, nothing set in stone yet.

Sam Micon: And my pet project is composting, I want free composting bins for every resident in the tower and I'll be meeting with Pansy sometime next week to go over this.

George: continue researching sustainable technologies, continue gardening and we want to increase people's presence in the demonstration gardens; it's a place people don't know about and its school property so we want to host a BBQ and have events down there.

Nicholas Lansdown: Any questions, comments, concerns?

Christian Urbano: Do you know tentatively what your budget will be next semester?

George Croton: We don't really have a budget, one of the nice things is that we've been receiving funding from David Seward, we had funding to provide food for the Cesar Chavez event and we want to do this going forward. We may have to come to ASUCH for other funding but we want to keep going to Seward.

Jehmima Carril: This student housing is a little bit different for every apartment so it should be free.

Sam Micon: Yea, and that's why we should already have it.

Leeja Patel: People were using compost bins before that they got through recology, but students were not using them for the right purposes so city got really mad, so think about those things before talking with Pansy. But I agree with you, but we've had them in the past and it didn't go well.

Sam Micon: Was it a common misuse of the buckets?

Leeja Patel: Enough that I think tower management said we won't be responsible for misuse going forward. Let's talk after.

George Croton: The other issue there revolves around city ordinances that we have to be in compliance with which ties to another goal of ours, which is general education and teaching people how to effectively compost

Tomas Ditrych: I'm glad we approved you'll have done a great job within 1 month, amazing!

Vince Moita: Second, what Tomas said, great work.

Samuel Chang: Being the ASUCH member, I want to commend you for getting Seward to come to nearly all your meetings, working with Kasey, Alex Shapiro and getting on the twitter and Instagram, and the fact that you all are a great face for Hastings around the tenderloin as well as Kaleigh here who is part of the board.

Sam Micon: I need to admonish all of you because all the food from two weeks ago was recycled and composted incorrectly seriously guys you can all do better than that.

Jehmima Carril: I want to point out that this is what I was afraid of, a recycling police, I say that light heartedly, but no one wants the recycling police, you can educate us but don't be scold non-recyclers

Resolutions

Starting with Mike Khoury's resolution, which was tabled from last time.

Vince Moita: I'd like motion to reorder how we rehear resolutions tonight

Christian Urbano seconds,

Mike Khoury objects

Vince Moita: The intent of resolution that I passed was to create a tentative budget that would be subject to all of your approval and the reason I wanted to do it was because I had the ability to look at all resolutions at one time and was able to approach it more holistically instead of piece mail. By approving the budget, I submitted it would take care of essentially 12 of the 14 resolutions submitted, saving time, efficiency and you still have the opportunity to vote on it, amend it, and it will be more streamlined of a process.

Mike Khoury: Can we see what you put forth?

Ryan Brodovsky: Let me understand, if we accept this motion and pass your resolution that assigns a dollar amount to 12 of the 14 resolutions. There still is additional money that each of the 12 could ask for in addition to what you've offered here if it's less than what they've asked for so we can get out of here before 11. I like that

Mike Khoury: Thank you for doing this, here's my concern, if we disagree, then we have to get into the substance of each org's resolution

Tomas Ditrych: Can we see resolution before voting on it, because it will influence the other resolutions.

Vince Moita: The motion on the table is just to look at my resolution first, so if we do pass it, it takes care of everything in the forefront, but if it doesn't pass, then we take care of it piece mail, in the normal order if it doesn't pass.

Samuel Chang: Clarification- this is to hear Vince's resolution first?

Vince Moita: Yes, it would be the first one to be heard, and it sounds like everyone wants to see it before we go forward.

Mike Khoury withdraws objection

No objections-

Vince Moita's resolution is heard first

Vince Moita: When making this resolution I realized there was a need for oversight since there were 14 resolutions tonight. If we went 1 at a time we would be limited in scope because we wouldn't be looking at the whole picture so I made this under my purview I looked through resolutions submitted on Saturday and made a tentative budget subject to approval. Since there was a shift in how we would treat the reserve fund and the rest of the resolution fund and we want to provide the most for the most people, this would approve the specified amount and the idea behind this is to approve money for things we probably would have been approved.

* Vince Moita's budget provides 3Ls, HISA, HAYA, ALSA, LLM, IVO, ILSA, MELSA, & OUTLAW funding in full, but provides KALSA and HPILF nothing. BLSA submitted their budget on time but was not sent to Vince to add to this list. Resolutions submitted after the deadline were not considered here either.*

Vince Moita: When we had spring fling, we asked all orgs to submit their resolutions for reimbursement for last semester by a set date, which increased our accounts payable by \$5413.56. Not many came through so the amount was used to supplement the amount we allocated for spring fling. We needed 2K more for spring fling to make it a viable event. The event cost \$12,900, and we recouped \$7,450. A difference of about \$5,000 so we were OK in terms of what was available. In terms of what is remaining, we had \$5,985 in reserve fund as well as \$3,985 in resolution fund. If this is approved, it will satisfy the resolution requests of 12 of the 14 resolutions. And leave us \$1,249 in the resolution fund to hear KALSA, and HPILF and the emergency resolutions. And we would still have \$5985 in reserve fund.

Mike Khoury: Can we dig into the reserve fund today?

Vince Moita: We could dip in for the additional amount asked for.

Audience Member: Are you reserving any money for next year at all or is this everything?

Vince Moita: I'd like to reserve the \$5,985 for next year but if there is a need to dip into that as an emergency fund if we think that is necessary.

Same member: So that is everything?

Vince Moita: Everything would be \$7,234 to spend on the remaining resolutions

Nicholas Lansdown: Point of clarification: does this take into consideration of outstanding funds allocated?

Vince Moita: no that money that was saved for emergency situations

Jonathan Clark: When you made this list, how much did you deviate from the standards you applied throughout the year?

Vince Moita: the 3Ls section event was a new thing not approved before; HISA's event is a new event as a cultural graduation, which would have been approved through the budgeting process. KALSA put my name as a sponsor and I didn't sponsor them so I didn't support it, but we can hear that through resolution. HAYA would have been allocated the amount for their panel. ALSA would increase by \$125 but I'll have to check. LLMs is unique and is less than what we gave for cultural graduations. IVP is for Dodgeball, the ball trophies, tape and cones. ILSA would have received what they asked for if they did it through the budgeting process.

Sammy AlShatti: ILSA is doing a combined graduation with MELSA so it's even less.

Audience member: Is ILSA and MELSA asking for the amounts combined,

Sammy AlShatti: No that MELSA event is a different event.

Vince Moita: HPILF didn't get approved because it was such a big amount and was more appropriate for discussion. Any additional questions?

Ryan Brodovsky: BLSA is not on list but I want to be clear they submitted their resolution on time so it was not sent out late. They asked for 400, they did everything they were supposed to do, it was through our error they didn't make this list so please don't take their lack of allocation against them.

Vince Moita: What we could do to be fair and equitable, we gave outlaw 200, so we can give KALSA and BLSA 200 for cultural graduation, which also follows the resolution we passed related to cultural graduation. So, after we add that extra money that leaves us with 849 in resolution fund and the full 5,985 in reserves if this is approved.

Jonathan Clark: To clarify- we approve this, this is the basic, but people can come up to ask for more money.

Vince Moita: Yes, the only orgs affected in that way are KALSA, HPILF and BLSA. This knocks out 9 resolutions

Tomas Ditrych: I think this is a great idea and I support approving this, it will make for a much easier night

Tomas Ditrych moves to pass Vince Moita's budget modified to add BLSA and KALSA receiving \$200 in addition to what is described above

Mike Khoury Seconds

Nicholas Lansdown: Spiel

No objections

Approved

Hella people leave

KALSA up first

Nicholas Lansdown: The first resolution is going to be KALSA, so if Jinyi Lee could come down and give this presentation.

Jinyi Lee: Hi everyone I am Jinyi Lee Treasurer of KALSA, Korean American Law Students Association, and I'm sorry I put your name Vince as a sponsor, She thoughts that's what's supposed to be done for writing resolutions. They also made another mistake because they are asking for only \$650 not the 1250.40 they requested, but now with the 200 granted earlier they are only asking for \$450.

We had a graduation banquet on April 1, it was really successful about 50 people attended and among them 12 were 3Ls graduating and 2 LLMS who attended, also we worked together with KBANC the Korean American bar association of the bay area. The former and incoming president attended along with alumni. They had Korean food, listened to Korean music, had soju, and overall had a great time. We spent more compared to last year and we had more people attend this event including non-Koreans so it was a great cultural night. Hopefully do not vote against...

Ryan Brodovsky: Thank you for bringing this forward, I'm sorry I didn't do my duty in helping you learn how to write a resolution and I want to thank you for bringing this forward and for trying even if you didn't know what you were doing.

Jehmima Carril: Thank you so much- I'm glad this organization exists. I think you better our school by existing and I support your full heartedly.

Tomas Ditrych: Vince, Is \$650 OK for graduation ceremony? I think it's Ok, since they already got \$200.

Vince Moita: I kind of oppose it because they received \$600 initially and were given an additional \$200 more so they have \$800 for this and a couple things they are funding are gifts for people within their organization. We don't sponsor gifts for anybody. I like gifts too but we don't usually do that.

Jinyi Lee: I want to add that, originally food was going to cost \$650 and we were able to talk to the owner of the restaurant so we could have some extra for gifts for 3Ls and in that sense we were able to save extra money that way.

Vince Moita: I think that's great, but that still factors into the total costs of the event and even though you were able to negotiate a great deal, which may be better for you. How many people attended your event who were current Hastings students,

Jinyi Lee: 46

Vince Moita: Now you got \$800 at your event per Hastings student, and with the additional money you were seeking, you'd be getting \$27 per person. The student activity fee is \$40 per person, and a lot of that goes to other things too so I think it's a little too high.

Jonathan Clark: I'd like to propose a friendly amendment if you take out the gifts

Nicholas Lansdown: That about \$450

Mike Khoury: Who fronted the money, who paid for this – the students or the organization?

Jinyi Lee: Board members, students we made a payment already and are trying to get reimbursed from ASUCH

Mike Khoury: What happens if you don't get the money back?

Jinyi Lee: We have to fundraise, were doing it now still, we just had a fundraiser selling Korean food and the ping-pong tournament is a fundraiser also

Mike Khoury: My concern is that you spent almost 450 on personal gifts so if your organization has some extra funds, I think you should use those funds before use the resolution fund. Do you hear what I'm saying?

Jinyi Lee: Yea I hear you but,

Mike Khoury: So whatever you've raised these past days if you're somehow able to use it before you use the resolution fund that would be better.

Jinyi Lee: But we're still

Chris Ballard: Well how has the fundraising been, have you guys been pretty successful?

Jinyi Lee: Well one of them is still going on so we don't know yet

Nicholas Lansdown: Sammy, many people are in the ping-pong tournament

Samuel Chang: 36 people

Nicholas Lansdown: That's \$180 currently for the tournament.

Jinyi Lee: But then aren't we supposed to split the winnings

Nicholas Lansdown: So does anyone have questions, they are buying a ping-pong paddle for the winner, right Sammy?

Samuel Chang: Due to some complaints we may just have a plaque and then some of the other money would be used to buy other ping-pong gear, depends on who the winner is.

Nicholas Lansdown: Anyone have any questions for KALSA while she is still standing here.

Jonathan Clark: Friendly amendment - \$200

Nicholas Lansdown explains friendly amendment

Jinyi Lee: chooses \$200

Vince Moita: Can we ask a quick question, how much does KALSA have in fiscal account currently?

Jinyi Lee: We haven't reimbursed the members who have spent their own money so right now we have \$700; we haven't even paid for the restaurant yet.

Vince Moita: Most of that is covered by funds we (ASUCH) paid for

Jinyi Lee: Still we spent \$1,200 but are being reimbursed only \$600.

Vince Moita: If we don't vote to approve, then the money would come out of KALSA's account not individual students

Jinyi Lee: I didn't get what you were saying

Vince Moita: Your organization has money in your account to reimburse students in the case we don't approve the \$200.

Jinyi Lee: I believe so, with the assumption that the money that we raised is what we're reimbursing from.

Mike Khoury: I think now that this has been clarified I think they should go with Org funds before taking ASUCH funds. I don't know what we do at this part, we just vote.

Ryan Brodovsky: The only thing I want to add is; I know a lot of everyone here has been involved in an org that is new, or has been rocky or has found themselves with a position with their org having a 0-account balance. We know that is quite a difficult situation. So what we're

asking by voting against this to drain KALSA's account so next year they are in that position so consider that in your vote.

Mike Khoury: We don't know that, we don't know if we are completely draining the account. How much money is in their account now?

Jinyi Lee: Right now, I haven't check with the fiscal office yet. We did before the banquet started, but I believe with Vince's reimbursement. I believe \$600 will be in the account. We started this year with \$450 in the account.

Mike Khoury: Right now you said you have \$600 minus the \$450 leaving \$150 in the account, not including your fundraising.

Jinyi Lee: No, we started with \$450, and we probably have \$600 (inaudible) our account

Nicholas Lansdown: Just to clarify, you have roughly \$600 in your bank account?

Jinyi Lee: I would say \$1,000 because we have \$600 that we're already being reimbursed from ASUCH

Vince Moita: So right now KALSA has \$1,000 in the account?

Jinyi Lee: I believe so

Vince Moita: It's used some of its money to pay for this event that hasn't been paid yet

Jinyi Lee: Yes

Vince Moita: Of the amount that hasn't been paid yet, there is \$800, which ASUCH is going to reimburse

Jinyi Lee: Not \$800, \$200 more, we included \$600 (in our figures? Inaudible)

Vince Moita: So you have \$600 in the (measures? Inaudible)

Jinyi Lee: No not (measures? Inaudible) it's in the KALSA account. \$1000 includes \$600 as reimbursement.

General attempt to clarify what Jinyi Lee is answering about how much money is in the account.

Nicholas Lansdown: I think she's trying to say they have \$450 of liquid cash in their account until and will get the rest reimbursed through ASUCH.

Vince Moita: I think we are ready to vote, in the case this fails there is \$450 that can be used so that students are not left paying out of pocket from the KALSA account.

Jinyi Lee: So there's an extra \$200

Nicholas Lansdown: So there is \$600?

Vince Moita motion to vote
Christian Urbano 2nd-
Ryan Brodovsky objects
Jehmima Carril seconds objection

Nicholas Lansdown: So we open this up to discussion:

Ryan Brodovsky: I think there is a lot of confusion going on with what exactly is in the account. So I motion to delay this resolution for 1-2 resolutions So Jinyi can talk with perhaps to Vince so they can work out exactly what's going on in their fiscal account then we can come back more educated, at least more than we are now. There are a lot of things being said, and the numbers are not adding up. I think we can all agree that something is not right, so I don't think we should be acting's so fiscally strict without all the facts.

Nicholas Lansdown: You're absolutely right, but at the same time she would have first claim over the money right now, granted there is enough money for everyone, one would assume.

Jinyi Lee: I don't understand the process of what's next

Nicholas Lansdown: You can have the GC vote on it now, or the GC can table it for two resolutions that way you can talk to Vince or Sammy since he is a part of KALSA, or both. That way you can work out what KALSA has in its account and clear picture to the GC so we are informed when we vote.

Jinyi Lee: OK

Nicholas Lansdown: Is that something you would like to do.

Jinyi Lee: Yea, Ah?

Nicholas Lansdown: We can vote now or we can skip you, either way, your choice

Jinyi Lee: We can vote now

Nicholas Lansdown: This is the amount you'd like to vote for *points to \$200*

Jinyi Lee: Yes, I'd like to make it \$100. You guys keep saying since we spent \$650 on the money we spent on gifts, if we deduct?(inaudible)

Nicholas Lansdown: OK, she wants to vote on it now so we're going to go straight to voting if you could please wait outside on this, that would be tremendous.

Kristin Williams: So how much are we voting on right now?

Nicholas Lansdown: \$100,

Jehmima Carril is confused about the money in their account, which isn't accounted for

Nicholas Lansdown: I can tell you I kind of pieced it together, they have \$400 in the account, and she was including the \$600 she got from ASUCH to get to \$1,000 and then with the extra \$200 she included that in her budget. They don't really have \$1200, which she was saying they have, they have \$400 that she then added to.

Jehmima Carril: How much did they spend on gifts and the graduation?

Nicholas Lansdown: \$450 on gifts and 1250 for the whole thing.

Tomas Ditrych: So they have 1200 already?

Nicholas Lansdown: All their money in and all of ASUCH's money altogether gives them enough to cover this

Jonathan Clark: With a zero balance

Nicholas Lansdown: Yes with a zero balance, that is the flip side.

Nicholas Lansdown: Motion- vote to fund KALSA for \$100

Vince Moita: My interpretation is what we'd be funding is the tangible gifts.

Aye: Ian Nicholson, Jehmima Carril, Jason Morales, Mike Khoury, Chris Ballard, Jonathan Clark, Kristin Williams, Tom Russell, Pallavi Vishwanath, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimondo, Ryan Brodovsky

Nay: Alane Wall, Vince Moita, Christian Urbano, Matthew Friedman, Celia Guzman

Abstention: Tomas Ditrych

It passes

Nicholas Lansdown: I think she left

Audience Member: Her stuff is still here

Next resolution

Nicholas Lansdown: Next resolution is from BLSA do we have a BLSA representative? Seeing no representative

Chris Ballard: Wait, I'm not sure if they knew they we're supposed to be here, who's the named member.

Nicholas Lansdown: Deborah Awolope, she's been here before

Chris Ballard: This is the cultural graduation resolution, we are asking for an additional \$200, so I don't feel the need to explain how important it is so, anyone want to call it to vote.

Kristin Williams motions
Andrew Levad seconds

Jonathan Clark objects

Jonathan Clark: Just to say that this is a lot of what we had last time, I just want to point it out, I'm for this I know they are covering a lot of on their own too, they are covering \$2,000 on their own so consider that side too.

Vince Moita: I'm in favor of this; BLSA has a small presence in class and a small organization so they have less access to fundraising efforts. Their original budget request was significantly higher. They've made concessions they've met with me an additional \$200 is entirely reasonable given the size and significance of this event.

Ryan Brodovsky: I'm 100% for this in the full amount, and I will be voting for this in that fashion. I want to point out what's happened here for the past 30 minutes. We had Jinyi Lee come up here; this was her first time here. She admitted mistakes she made; she was incredibly apologetic she was trying to do her best. We kind of just railed on her to the point where I hope she didn't just run away, but that's the impression we gave, and that seems to have happened. When we start point out the costs per person and the fact that money is going to gifts. And now BLSA coming her which is an equally deserving org and I'm very happy they are being treated with a lot of respect, because I think both orgs deserve the respect. This has been an issue all year long and I'm glad we have representatives going to be here next year. These are our friends our colleagues that are coming to us because they have elected us as leaders and we need to be able to treat them with respect. And I hope everyone can think about that going forward.

Jinyi Lee returns

Vince Moita: There is a fundamental difference between tangible gifts and an event. Tangible gifts are something we as an org have never supported.

Nicholas Lansdown: Just so you are aware of the cost of the event it is here at the bottom
3,389.68

Kristin Williams: Can we only have comments about the BLSA resolution instead of General comments

Tomas Ditrych: Exactly why are we talking about the previous resolution?

Mike Khoury: This is the actual cost, how much are you asking for

Nicholas Lansdown: \$200, any more questions?

* Chris Ballard steps out, Nicholas Lansdown asks for his vote before he leaves*

Motion, approve additional \$200

Aye: Jehmima Carril, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Alane Wall, Mike Khoury, Chris Ballard, Vince Moita, Christian Urbano, Matthew Friedman, Jonathan Clark, Andrew Levad, Kristin Williams, Lucas Yeung, Tom Russell, Tomas Ditrych, Pallavi Vishwanath, Grace Wright, Celia Guzman, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimondo, Ryan Brodovsky

Nay: None

Abstention: Samuel Chang

Passes

Nicholas Lansdown: Next resolution on the table is HPILF

Kyle Nettleblad: This is new to me,

Nicholas Lansdown: What your ask is, what your using it for, how it will affect students, how it will affect the student body.

Kyle Nettleblad: HPILF is requesting something different than what we asked for in the spring 16 budget. This year, everything is more expensive in SF including our catering. We stayed around our budget. The money we're asking for will either go to a grant for students for to the Gala next year.

Nicholas Lansdown: Anyone have any questions

Jonathan Clark: How much did this event raise

Kyle Nettleblad: With other sources, we will be doing around 20 grants.

Leeja Patel: 20-25 \$4,000 grants. Everything HPILF does goes to fund the grants.

Kyle Nettleblad: It goes directly back to students in order to help them work this summer to help Hastings employment.

Vince Moita: Is the money you are requesting, is that going to go to make one additional grant, or is to cover the cost of this event.

Kyle Nettleblad: If it's not going to a specific grant, it's going to the gala for next year. We typically like to keep some in the reserve to get venue for next year's gala, which needs to be done well in advance

Vince Moita: I can only say we try to apply the same logic for our organization as well so we can do the same things for students

Kyle Nettleblad: I wouldn't know off the top of my head, if we have enough to round off another grant then it would go to that, again I don't have the numbers.

Christian Urbano: If the purpose of student funds is to maximize student impact, the HPILF gala is the biggest student organization by far of any Hastings event; you said the ___ annual,

Kyle Nettleblad: 32nd annual.

Christian Urbano: They have been doing this for a long time; they have a huge following with over 300 students there. If ASUCH is going to fund something, this should be it.

Jonathan Clark: I'm not quite sure how the funding works for this, I'm not against it, and I want to know where we are going. Do you get money to grow the gala from outside sources as well us, and all the proceeds go to the grants?

Kyle Nettleblad: Does ASUCH money go directly to students?

Jonathan Clark: You get money for the gala, and from outside sources for this gala, to throw it, and all the proceeds go to grants.

Kyle Nettleblad: We fundraise throughout the year for grants, some fundraising would go to funding the gala, ultimately the money we raise goes to grants. We put on events throughout the year; the majority of the money we raise go to grants

Leeja Patel: To clarify, it's not a 1:1 if it was going to HPILF and other student orgs, HPILF fundraises for the grants not for the org, so it's not a 1:1. Part of the funding for GALA is from ASUCH. Maybe you should mention the end of the year GALA is entirely not funded by student fees because it's being covered by David Seward and other outside donors, so they could have asked for that instead. Its fundraised by a lot of places, civil justice clinics, attorney's fees, outside donations, law firms, ASUCH is one of the benefactors.

Alane Wall: It wouldn't be possible for some students to work for public interest sector because you get no money for it vs some big law firm job so that is not fair, you are taking a lot of legal services out of a community (inaudible end)

Nicholas Lansdown: Any other questions, hearing and seeing no objections I end the debate.

Aye: Jehmima Carril, Ian Nicholson, Alane Wall, Mike Khoury, Chris Ballard, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Vince Moita, Andrew Levad, Kristin Williams, Matthew Friedman, Tomas Dityrch, Celia Guzman, Grace Wright, Lucas Yeung, Ryan Brodovsky

Nay: Tom Russell

Abstention: Samuel Chang

It passes

Vince Moita: With that passing, the reserve amount is now at 4,334 and the resolution fund is drained. There is only 1 more resolution so motion to pull out 820.

Jonathan Clark: You usually don't have 5,000 unclaimed each year?

Vince Moita: Usually more, because we'll have this plus what goes unclaimed, usually 5-10,000.

Leeja Patel: It depends on how much you want to leave for next year. What is the amount you're hoping to keep?

Vince Moita: Whatever is left of this, ideally it would have been more it would have been the 8,000 but that didn't happen. So it will be the 4,334 plus what doesn't get spent. Typically that is 30% of what we allocate out, which is 10K + what's remaining, plus what people donate and the school matches. Since there is only one left, let's call the \$4,334 resolution fund.

Next resolution:

Vote to hear emergency resolution for FREC

Vote passes to hear emergency resolution

Vince Moita: My org. is FREC, finance /real estate club. We had planned a busy semester but my time was used by ASUCH and I couldn't accomplish that I wanted hence I had two events that I wasn't able to host to the detriment of my club. However, we were still allocated that money and we've been working with the alumni services and BLSA in helping to throw a Willie Brown rock star event next week. Willie Brown is coming to campus and we've combined our social event with the Willie Brown event. We invited 250 of the top donating alumni, in addition to 300 real estate attorneys in the bay area. There are limited students who are going to go, but this is an event aimed at gaining the alumni participation back on campus, showing our alumni we really appreciate them and give them the opportunity to network with one of our most famous alumni. In addition to that, it will be a fundraising effort to launch the LRCP, for the long-range campus plan, the academic building. We are creating naming opportunities for different atriums and walkways to hopefully raise an additional 10 Million dollars to bridge the gap between what we want and what we have. We have ~38.5 Million we'd like to have 48 million to get the building we want. With that being said, we'd like to take the \$225 that FREC was allocated and

allow FREC to reallocate that money to add to the alumni office's budget for this event. They have \$500 for the event, so together there will be \$765 for the alumni services.

Nicholas Lansdown: Point of clarification, was this money distributed?

Vince Moita: No, but it was allocated so it doesn't change anything budget wise

Nicholas Lansdown: And this is going to be used for this event instead of the other event, so this is for transparency.

Vince Moita: Exactly, this is for transparency purposes because this is your money.

Samuel Chang Motion to approve
Jehmima Carril Second
Ryan Brodovsky – Object

Samuel Chang: The maker of the motion gets to speak first. I think that we've been talking about being fiscally responsible, and since this has nothing to do with fiscal responsibility. This seems to be a great event to bring alumni to campus to have students be able to network and this is a mission ASUCH has been pushing for as such I think we should be approving.

Ryan Brodovsky: This is incredibly hard, so the next time you see Carli, you should give her a huge thank you because this is going to be difficult.

Ryan Brodovsky: I heard a lot of second attempts there so I am confident this is going to pass. But this seems this is going to be an event for alumni and attorneys in the area. And while I do really support that action. We just really scared away one of our student organizations by going through the dollar to cents student ratio, and I just want us to be accountable to ourselves I think this still will pass but I wanted to be able to vote no.

Nicholas Lansdown: Would you like to respond, this is your resolution

Vince Moita: The main goal of this event is to get more alumni to campus, so while there are a small number of students actually at the event, the hopeful impact will be felt longer not only in this year but in future generations from the fundraising this is aimed to achieve.

Nicholas Lansdown: Motion *Vince leaves but votes before he leaves*

Vote

Aye : Vince Moita, Jehmima Carril, Ian Nicholson, Alane Wall, Chris Ballard, Mike Khoury, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Andrew Levad, Kristin Williams Matthew Friedman, Tomas Ditrych, Pallavi Vishwanath, Grace Wright, Ryan Porte, Celia Guzman, Samuel Chang, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimondo

Nay: Ryan Brodovsky, Tom Russell

Abstention: Jason Morales, Lucas Yeung

Passes

Nicholas Lansdown Motion to hear La Raza emergency resolution

No objections

Samuel Chang moves to extend meeting by 15 minutes.

Christian Urbano second,

No Objections:

Passes

La Raza

Mina Sack: This is also for a cultural graduation like what you've seen tonight. I think the biggest thing you should keep in mind is that the student org has fundraised a lot of money already, \$2,200, and the biggest cost is for food. They have already gotten the costs down to \$8 per person and some have 10-15 family members coming from out of town. I think that we should keep in mind that some of these students are first to graduate college, law school, and they have family flying across the country and the school hasn't been able to provide tickets to the full commencement and it's a big deal for a lot of our classmates. I'm here presenting for them and I can try to answer any questions, I have a little information on what they have done and where the money is going, but they already submitted a budget around the same amount, around 3000, and were granted \$600 but unfortunately the 600 and the 2200 they raised they are short. This isn't the full amount they are short but an amount they felt was a fair number to ask from ASUCH.

Vince Moita: I'd like to immediately approve \$200 like we've done for the rest of the cultural orgs.

Nicholas Lansdown walks through that via vote

No objections - ask now \$620

Nicholas Lansdown: Any other questions?

Vince Moita: What is the funds going towards?

Mina Sack: It is going to be going towards food not just for students but their family members, it's like 8 a person.

Nicholas Lansdown: How many 3Ls are graduating?

Tomas Ditrych: It's in the resolution, 16 students graduating.

Mina Sack: While it is smaller in comparison, the school can't accommodate them all for graduation some people have family coming from other countries

Aye: Jehmima Carril, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Alane Wall, Mike Khoury, Chris Ballard, Matthew Friedman, Kristin Williams, Andrew Levad, Celia Guzman, Nicholas Raimondo, Gabrielle Lion, Ryan Brodovsky

Nay: Vince Moita, Christian Urbano, Jonathan Clark, Tomas Ditrych, Pallavi Vishwanath

Abstention: Tom Russell, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Samuel Chang

Passes

Leeja Patel comment: I don't think anyone should be denied any tickets for commencement. If you need more in addition to the ten you were granted you can request them when you pick up your regalia.

Last Resolution

ACSEL- *should have been heard before FREC and La Raza but resolution was not found until the end. Jonathan Clark was very vocal about including because the resolution was tucked away it was not heard until now*

Motion to hear Emergency resolution passes

Kaleigh Aucoin: Sorry didn't realize there was a timeline. There was a panel we put together last week, April 5, and as a campus rep, I got \$150 from Themis for food. I needed to pay for tax and tip and would like to be reimbursed.

Vince Moita: motion to approve

Jehmima Carril seconds

No objections

Motion passes

Samuel Chang: I want to thank e-board, 3L reps, LLMs, MSLs, thanks for those who do what do, for those returning I'll see you next year and for those who are not congrats for everything you've done. Move to adjourn

Second- all-

ASUCH General Council Meeting
March 15, 2016

7:00 Roll

Present: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Carli Suba, Vince Moita, Sara Tobin, Sammie Acuna, Mike Khoury, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Kristin Williams, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Sean Larson, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Gabrielle Lion, Matthew Olhausen, Pallavi Vishwanath, Matthew Friedman, Celia Guzman

Absent: Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Dytirich

7:03 Public Comment

John Clark: Why is there no open bar at Spring Fling? People are wondering.

Mike Khoury: Tomorrow there is an event for 50 things to do without practicing law in the LBM at 5-7.

Lucas Yeung: There is a new Hastings Fitness group and people are requesting to replace the bikes with treadmills.

Nicholas Raimondo: There are complaints that there are no designated smoking areas.

Nick Lansdown: There should be a smoking area at the far left side of the beach.

Samuel Micon: Has had their inaugural meeting for the task force.

Keith Yetter: Reiterating John's concerns with Spring Fling.

Jehmima Carril: From a consumer's standpoint, she prefers not having an open bar because when there is an open bar, the selection is not good and she likes having options.

Keith Yetter: The frustration is that ASUCH allocates money and people do not understand why they are paying money if there is not open bar. They would like a lower cost. People do not understand what their money is going to.

Vince Moita: Spring Fling and Barrister's are ASUCH's fundraising events. In terms of the budget, they were anticipating making 7-9 grand. They anticipated that when making the budget. There is a safety net for non-renewable money. But if we wanted to spend it on money

it would be fiscally irresponsible. They can raise the price to have an open bar. There are some people who don't drink, so why should they pay for someone else's tab?

Ronnie Shou: Can someone send out an e-mail explaining this because students are used to having an open bar. The frustration stems from spending \$40 last year for a boat and open bar and this year \$30 for who knows what. There should be an explanation that we are raising money for next year's ASUCH. This is the source of the frustration.

Vince Moita: Will do it.

Ronnie Shou: Vince is great.

Ryan Brodovsky: Sara and he met with educational effectiveness committee. There will be 3 research projects evaluating an oral assessment rubric. The faculty and Ryan and Sara developed it used by faculty to evaluate students. This is to see how they can improve. There are specific classes selected for taping to evaluate how Hastings is preparing students for oral skills.

Sara Tobin: They fine-tuned the rubric by reviewing the water law class. They are reviewing adjunct faculty who received negative reviews last year.

Ryan Brodovsky: There were 9 adjunct professors who they are reviewing to ensure improvements were made.

Vince Moita: In the last 4 months, we had 3 of our most senior members resign or change positions. Would like to see some explanation for why they are happening at the same time.

Nick Lansdown: The e-board tried to schedule something with the Dean. He will be holding a town hall on the 23rd to discuss this topic. This is a forum to ask these questions.

Vince Moita: They requested two weeks ago.

Nick Lansdown: Spring break interfered and he had a conference.

Leeja Patel: There was a time conflict with the e-board.

Nick Lansdown: Will have a monthly meeting with the Dean at 9:00 am at his conference room. People can come.

Samuel Micon: Are there consistent election rules from year to year?

Ryan Brodovsky: No. Last year no, and before that is murky.

Keith Yetter: Ryan's right. The constitution or bylaws say that the elections committee will make the rules. That is in effect. The rules for posting flyers are university rules. There are unprecedented levels of campaigning. Comments and questions can be sent to the elections committee. In the past, the executive positions were ranked choice, but based on constitutional

changes last year, they are now majority vote. The rep positions are determined by the 8 highest votes.

John Clark: How do you break ties?

Leeja Patel: If there are ties, which have never happened, there is nothing in the constitution to determine the outcome.

Ryan Brodovsky: It is a re-vote and a coin toss. Debates are tomorrow in Room K at 3:30. Do uncontested positions have to make a speech?

Andrew Levad: Uncontested candidates don't have to prepare anything. A statement is optional. The debates are between candidates for president, IVP and secretary.

Sammy Chang: Curriculum Committee will be publishing white papers for a faculty retreat and talk about where moot court should be for 1Ls, 2Ls and the curriculum. They are going to send a student survey out. Changing the weeks in the school year is another proposal.

Ronnie Shou: How do they share thoughts?

Sammy Chang: Share with him or Keith who is also on the committee. They will bring the white papers to ASUCH. SHAC voted 4-1 to increase rates to 2.2% on top of 4.5% as required by ACA. This was voted over the 2 year. The 3 year won because it had more options. This decision will have to be voted on by ASUCH at the next meeting. The due date for the fee increase is April 1st.

7:23 E-Board Reports

IVP – Ryan Brodovsky

ASUCH debates are tomorrow Room K, 3:30-4:30 and will be recorded for those who cannot attend. Polls open on Monday via e-mail at 9:00 am. There are 4 days to complete the voting.

There is a trophy for the student-org dodge ball championship. It is very tall. This is an engraved trophy. Student orgs will compete for it. Currently there are 6 teams interested, and 3 who are on their way to signing up.

Tom Russell: How many are needed per team?

Ryan Brodovsky: There will be 6 competitors playing at the time. They are discussing whether to allow alternates.

Matt Huzaineh: Can his committee compete. They are not org.

Ryan Brodovsky: The money goes to an orgs event, so they are only allowing orgs.

Matt Huzaineh: Can they donate it to second place?

Ryan Brodovksy: They are going to start out with just orgs and they could expand later.

Nick Lansdown: Can orgs send more than one?

Ryan Brodovsky: They will discuss it, but it might not be fair for smaller orgs who might not have enough. It is \$10 for a team to compete. Second place gets the money back or 20% of the winnings, and 1st place gets the rest. This is a fundraiser and a community event to bring people together. This will be in the Tower basement.

Kea Scullion: Are the winnings are earmarked for what?

Ryan Brodovsky: They are for the org to do what they want with it to go into the org's fiscal account.

DIRCA – Sara Tobin

There are a lot of concerns about spring fling. In the past DIRCAs have put up their own money to supplement Spring Fling. She does not want to do that. ASUCH as a whole should be funding these events and DIRCAs should not suffer the burden of funding this. Will talk to Vince (treasurer). The budget allocation is taking care of the venue, dj and phot booth. IT dropped the ball on ticket sales, which will start either tomorrow ro Thursday. BOB will be on St. Patricks day. There will be Irish beer and cups that turn green. Service hours will be allocated. Will need help with balloon drop for spring fling.

Treasurer – Vince Moita

Right now we have \$2,571 in our budget. Last week he was made aware that the North by Northwest funds allocated was not used. Also, there was symposium that did not use all of the funds allocated. We are now at \$3,571 for the resolution fund.

DIRCOMM – Sammie Acuna

Nothing.

EVP – Matt Huzaineh

6@6s will happen in the summer. In LA and Washington and East Bay.

Secretary – Carli Suba

Service Hours.

President – Nick Lansdown

Authorized task force for student fee advisory committee to find out what the student fee is being used for and to make sure the student fee matches the price of inflation. Sammy Chang is working on white sheet to see where public safety has been and where it will be going.

Vince Moita: What is a task force? What is the difference between that and a committee?

Nick Lansdown: Nothing.

Vince Moita: Why do we call it a task force?

John Clark: Committees have more regulations than task forces.

Nick Lansdown: The agendas are the same except they are not required to report to the GC.

Vince Moita: Why do we have 3 that potentially have the ability to take money from ASUCH? What is their status?

Nick Lansdown: It would be through the resolution process. They will not be requesting money.

Sammy Chang: Will not be asking for money. These are temporary issues, so they will task forces so they won't be required to be included in the bylaws. Has been working with the General Counsel. The Green Task Force was different because it was voted on by the GC.

Ryan Brodovsky: Concerned that there was a resolution asking a task force to be created and now they are being created in thin area. It is alarming that they don't have to report to the GC. If we are creating these targeted groups with the aim of helping students, there should be some means of letting students know what's going on.

Nick Lansdown: The bylaws allow this. Sammy has given presentations on these issues so it is not coming out of nowhere. He is showing initiative to get behind and follow through with these issues. These are the reasons he created these task forces.

Ryan Brodovsky: What about not requiring them to report to the GC? Since this hasn't been used in the past and we are establishing history to help guide the future. He is concerned that there is no accountability.

Nick Lansdown: The purpose would be dictated on the needs of the school. He is expecting them to report to the GC. We can put this in the bylaws. We can just tell Sammy to report to the general council.

Sammy Chang: Is planning on reporting back to the GC.

Jehmima Carril: Agrees with both sides. She is afraid of power in the hands of a few. Wants it stated that the task force should report back to the GC.

Nick Lansdown: This is not power in the hands of a few. He was elected as President to fix the needs. It was not power in the hands of the few because people voted for him.

Jehmima Carril: She is referring to the task force. It seems like they are taking the place of the GC. It seems like these issues should be for the GC.

Laney Wall: This is not a personal issue, but more of a policy issue in allowing people to do the same thing later and how far it could go.

Keith Yetter: Curious about what the scope of the task force. His understanding is to get information and report back. They can only act once we allocate the funds. The final authority stays with the GC.

Leeja Patel: Technical point. Keith is right. If there are problems with the ones created, they are in the bylaws so we would have to go back and change them. Everything has to come back to the GC. They are more like ad hoc committees. They shouldn't have powers that ASUCH doesn't.

Ryan Brodovsky: Wants to clarify that he doesn't think that Sammy wouldn't give updates. This is about precedent for the future. Are there other people on this task force?

Nick Lansdown: He is on the student fee task force.

Ryan Brodovsky: Are they welcoming other ASUCH members or non-GC members.

Sammy Chang: It is an open call. The public safety task force already has other members. One is a student who used to be an officer and also a former security guard. They are looking to do a survey. The president is an ex officio member of all the task forces.

Nick Lansdown: He will be collecting all the information from the members of the task force.

Sean Larson: Should there be a task force management task force?

Carli Suba: There are only 2 meetings left to do anything.

Sammy Chang: There are only 3 days left to figure out the documents regarding student services fee. Worked on this during Spring Break. Believes that there can be information done by the last meeting. Because the sunshine meeting is happening soon, this should be done by the last meeting. Hoping to get the public safety reports ASAP as it is contingent on others getting him the information requested.

7:52 Approval of Minutes from March 1, 2016

Sammy Chang: Move to approve.

Ian Nicholson: Wants to make a correction. Page 12 or 13 he was a yay.

NO OBJECTIONS. MINUTES PASS.

John Clark: Moves to adjourn.

Sara Tobin: Seconded.

NO OBJECTIONS.

7:53 Adjourn

General Council Meeting

March 1, 2016

7:00 Roll

Present: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Carli Suba, Vince Moita, Sara Tobin, Sammie Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Kristin Williams, Andrew Levad, Chris Ballard, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Sean Larson, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Gabrielle Lion, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Pallavi Vishwanath, Matthew Friedman, Celia Guzman

Absent: Keith Yetter, Ronnie Shou

7:03 Public Comment

John Clark: With a student with disabilities, disappointed with elevators situation.

Jehmima Carril: Seconded. She is upset about it. It has been out for weeks. There is been an elevator out for probably 6 weeks. The one in the front and by the LBM have been out for about 6 weeks. It is ridiculous. Why is this happening? It looks like they aren't going to fix it. It looks like a war zone. Has been meaning to bring this up for a while.

Jason Morales: This may affect admitted students day to have elevators not working. It also causes congestion

Ryan Brodovsky: E-mail was sent earlier today. Does anyone have questions?

Sara Tobin: They are saying that they are telling officers that officers are not qualified for current job and that they can take a pay cut for a different job?

Ryan Brodovsky: For the most part, the officers are offered the opportunity to get a pay increase and apply for a UCSF position and there is space for them. There are some officers (5 who are

full time) who might not pass a the test required for UCSF. There is the opportunity to be a security officer. Thigs are moving faster than what we anticipated because it was hinged on the 198 building. That odes not seem the case anymore.

Laney Wall: She understands that they would no longer be able to make arrests and carry less weapons. Why are we paying more for less security.

Nick Lansdown: The goal is to make a stronger campus with UCSF. There would be initially a bigger increase on the budget, over the long run the amount of administration duties would be taken load by UCSF that Hastings takes on by Hastings. In terms of safety, there would be UCSF security on campus. Includes roving security.

Laney Wall: Still thinks this is a bad idea. Everyone thought this was a bad idea from the get go.

Ryan Brodovsky: This is why he is bringing it up. The resolutions didn't work. We talked about contingency options. Vince talked about protests.

Matt Olhausen: Are they talking about firing anyone?

Ryan Brodovsky: They are not firing anyone, but it is part of a policy where they have to accept a bad option or be fired.

Nick Lansdown: They are not done negotiating. As he understands it, he is trying to see where they are going.

Ryan Porte: Thought that it seemed reasonable. The officers are allowed to do the same job, only with UCSF and they will have SFPD. SFPD should have the qualifications to get into UCSF and paid more. University has offered to buy them out. Times change.

Ian Nicholson: If we were considering a protest, suggest getting contact with protestors and figuring out what they have stopped, and putting on Facebook to show what they have stopped. It shows what the UC Hastings officers have prevented.

Nick Lansdown: Ask the public officers themselves if they want to be part of UCSF. Not everyone may be against UCSF.

Ryan Brodovsky: There seems to be multiple officers don't have as many options as they wanted. 2 issue: security (it seems that the issues would be addressed: security guards would take place of the officers at the desk and police officers would patrol the streets) and community level (we know the officers personally and they have served Hastings for a long time; do we want to support them? They could use more support).

Jehmima Carril: Concerned about the removal of the partnership with SFPD.

Nick Lansdown: SFPD can't not respond to calls.

Jehmima Carril: They are not giving public safety officers any options.

Vince Moita: Doesn't like it. Hastings is in a unique location. Great because we have access to courts, but we are in the most dangerous neighborhood in San Francisco. It is not a good area. We need officers who can make arrests when they happen. 15 min is too late. Even though the police station is a couple blocks away, but he has called them in instances when there was a gun, and it took them over 30 min to get there. It does not give him confidence that they will be able to keep us safe with the new proposal when safety is a huge issue at Hastings.

Tom Russell: Do they know what the patrol actually means?

Ryan Brodovsky: Has heard that there are 5 full time officers and there is not enough to man the desks and patrol at the same time. Believes that if they bring UCSF on board, it is only a matter of when an event occurs. There isn't much information released. It probably won't be available until they meet.

Laney Wall: This is scary because it is uncertain as to when it occurs.

Ryan Brodovsky: The current people are UC Hastings officers. In the UCSF system they have both officers and security guards. UCSF security guards would be in the buildings and UCSF officers would be patrolling outside. If there were issues, then they would contact SFPD officers. There are a few options, we can write a resolution, we can do a protest, we can do a survey in the school. What do people want to do?

Vince Moita: The real crux is finances. This is driven by money. It is cheaper to go with UCSF. There might be a better solution if we sit down with both sides and address all the issues.

Guest (3L): There has always been a breakdown with what students want and what other people want. It always seems like we are in danger in the TL. We need to tell them exactly what we want (weapons, patrolling, etc.)

Tom Russell: We should have a concrete idea of what is going to happen. It doesn't seem prudent to fight something we don't know will happen yet.

Pallu Vishwanath: Had phone stolen off campus this weekend. SFPD was not helpful. It was an issue because the apartment complex who had her phone didn't have security. It might not be helpful to have SFPD on campus.

Matt Olhausen: WE should wait to see what is happening. Hastings do not pay the officers that much. This seems to be a place to be a stepping-stone to go somewhere else.

Nick Landsown: Going to move forward for the sake of time.

7:28 E-Board Reports

Elections Committee – Ryan Brodovsky

Ryan: Would like to get more applicants for ASUCH elections. There are 21 open positions. Thanks the 3L reps for the things they have done. Shout out to KRANDY for running the debate. Thanks Ian for getting information from the e-mail address. Will send out more e-mails to get more people to run.

Dodge ball/Basketball – Ryan Brodovsky

Ryan Brodovsky: The General Counsel I shard to work with. Hasn't heard from them. There will be a student/faculty basketball game on April 1st. People have spent over \$100 on this event. Dean Hillman will be playing and Dean Faigman will be playing. There will be a facebook page coming soon.

EVP – Matt H

Event at Vinyard. Former President Joy Siu talked about an event in LA.

Treasurer – Vince

Funds from West-Northwest were actually taken care of by journal. Doesn't come out of ASUCH budget.

DIRCA – Sara

Spring fling is on March 26th on Broadway. Cash bar. Maybe food. Next BOB is on St. Patrick's Day.

DIRCOMM- Sammie

Trying to improve the ASUCH website. Open to ideas. Has changed the formats of the documents to pdfs. Working on getting a hold of past documents.

Secretary – Carli Suba

The ASUCH office is not storage for orgs. It is unfair to other orgs who do not have members in ASUCH who have access to the office. Do ASUCH service hours.

President – Nick Lansdown

Highlights the monetary resolutions.

7:40 Committee Reports

Curriculum Committee – Sammy Chang

They can't talk about the whitepaper until it is finished. They are reaching out to other schools with higher passage

SHAC – Sammy Chang

Asked for certain changes in rates. It is considered confidential until we vote on it. Wants to let us know what's happening.

John Clark: So, the financial stuff is confidential until it's too late.

Sammy Chang: The consultant has asked to keep it confidential until the students have voted. Whatever SHAC votes on is a recommendation and it goes to UCSHIP so it can still be commented on.

Academic Committee - Matt O:

Still working on plans.

Elections Committee – Andrew Levad

KRANDY is running debates. They are accepting questions to ask the candidates. They are accepting them until the day of the debates.

Educational effectiveness committee – Sara Tobin

Looking at adjunct faculty. They are also working on advocating oral advocacy with negotiations classes.

3L Commencement – Mike Khoury

They are working on a commencement speaker. Everything else is going well.

7:45 Minutes approval

Sammy Chang: Move to approve

Matt Olhausen: Seconded

Andrew Levad: Objected – Krandy Hawkins should be changed.

Kristin Williams: They should both be noted as present.

7:47 Comparison of Fees – Sammy Chang

Link to Presentation: http://prezi.com/ezfcgxv3bnx-/?utm_campaign=share&utm_medium=copy

Gracie Wright: It does not make sense that there is \$25 dollars going to the gym, when it sucks.

Ryan Brodovsky: They are rightfully enraged for the facilities offered. The numbers are not clear to have definite answers. But it seems that Zumba and Yoga eat up the fees. This is the essence. The fitness fee should be re-named the “wellness fee.”

Sammy Chang: This is the point of the discussion. To make an opportunity to talk about these issues

Vince Moita: A lot of the money is eaten up by when things break down. IE: when the gym flooded.

Carli Suba: Yoga and Zumba don't meet the majority of student needs compared to the rest of the equipment in the gym.

Ian Nicholson: Was there for the meeting for the shark tank. Motion wanted to turn it into a yoga studio an no yoga student turned up. And nobody wanted to turn it into a yoga student

Matt Olhausen: It is fair to say that there are some people who want to do yoga or Zumba, but it does not seem fair for how much each student fee.

Sammy Chang: This is the point of having this presentation. This discussion is the reason for presenting this to students who don't know these facts.

Ryan Brodovsky: It seems that the general response is that there is support (look at Prezie). In terms of GAAP, they are in a different boat. What happens if we don't vote on GAAP this election? We don't know what happens to it.

Nick Lansdown: It just stops. But it should be voted on, even if it is not spread well.

Ryan Brodovsky: Maybe there should be a resolution.

Nick Lansdown: Has asked them to ask a resolution and they haven't done it yet.

Ryan Brodovsky: Should look at all the GAAP fees to find out what to do with them.

Ryan Porte: There is not a lot of support to increase fees.

Sammy Chang: If students don't support it, then there shouldn't be an increase.

Sean Larson: Do we need to have these discussions right now. Thinks we should get back right now.

Ryan Brodovsky: Let's establish right now, the committee can figure out what to do.

Sammy Chang: Not done with the presentation. (look back at presentation)

Carli Suba: Does this account for the amount ASUCH raises from our events?

Sammy Chang: No, because there is no record of that

Nick Lansdown: If anyone wants to be on his committee, e-mail him.

8:15 Resolutions

Resolution – George Croton and Samuel Micon

There are a lot of things that Hastings does that is not efficient or green. There is no information on how to compost or recycle.

Ryan Brodovsky: Question as to “financially.” Feels that there should be specifics on what that entails. Should they ask for funding like other orgs?

Samuel Micon: Should ask for it via resolution process.

George Croton: It shouldn't take that much money.

John Clark: They don't think that training janitors would spend money?

Samuel Micon: Believes that to start, it would be very cheap. We need more trash cans, which are cheap and easy to implement.

George Croton: Having student education should not cost that much.

Samuel Micon: Can provide compost buckets to all the tower residents – those are free. There is no reason that we don't have those.

Sean Larson: This might not fall under ASUCH, it might go beyond us. But we can support it. Encourages them to go up the ladder so they aren't reliant on us for funds. Administration should have more hands on on this matter.

Samuel Micon: They are already working on that.

Sammy Chang: They are doing it this way because ASUCH is the voice of all students. If ASUCH this as a task force, then ASUCH is taking the stand of having good green practices. ASUCH has other task forces. This is beneficial to all students.

George Croton: This is not academic, it is administrative, but it is student run, so they need ASUCH behind them.

Vince Moita: We don't have a lot of funding. Funds are reserved for student activities and organizations. They are to foster careers and student lives. This should go further up the food chain. We would like to back them in support. Makes a motion to strike financial.

Motion: Strike from resolution process

VOTE to strike:

Vince Moita: We cannot finance the funds for this task force. They are not a student organization so we cannot fund them. We should support them, but this does not fall on ASUCH.

Matt Olhausen: Doesn't care. If they request money on behalf of orgs, then that is what has to happen.

George Croton: This could provide opportunities for careers via connections.

Mike Khoury: What happens when the trash leaves this building?

George Croton: Professor Takacs has been concerned about this for the last few years. The janitors feel frustrated with the system. They have to work with the janitors.

Samuel Micon: San Francisco is on the forefront of this.

George Croton: We should be doing this.

Ryan Brodovsky: Happy to bring this up. There is no compost bins on the 3rd floor. What is the overarching purpose? Are they here to be recycling educators or advocate for more recycling.

Andrew Levad: It is important to remember that not every organization is academic.

Nick Lansdown: If this is a task force, it is not an organization.

Andrew Levad: If we have to reduce our funding a little bit to have one less culture night and save the earth, then that should be taken into consideration.

Vince Moita: As ASUCH treasurer, if we are creating this entity, he supports them we can support them the way we support everyone else, but we shouldn't make them an exception. If we end the debate right now, then we are...

Samuel Micon: He isn't asking that much. They want to keep the debate within ASUCH open.

Nick Lansdown: Motion is to end debate

Jehmima Carril: Seconded.

VOTE:

YAY: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Carli Suba, Vince Moita, Sara Tobin, Sammie Acuna, Ian Nicholson, Kristin Williams, Andrew Levad, Chris Ballard, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Sean Larson, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Gabrielle Lion, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Pallavi Vishwanath, Matthew Friedman, Celia Guzman

NAY: 0

Abstentions: Jason Morales, Mike Khoury, Sammy Chang

MOTION PASSES.

Voting the Striking Clause:

VOTE:

YAY: Jehmima Carril, Ian Nicholson, Laney Wall, Vince Moita, John Clark, Ryan Brodovsky, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Gabby Lion, Gracie Wright, Nicholas Raimondo

NAY: Christian Urbano, Matt Freedman, Kristin Williams, Andrew Levad, Monica Brock, Tomas Dytrich, Matt Huzaineh, Matt Olhausen, Celia Guzman,

Abstentions: Jason Morales, Sara Tobin, Sammie Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ryan Porte, Sammy Chang, Carli Suba, Nick Lansdown

Motion does not pass.

Ryan Brodovsky: Amend “ASUCH general council shall have the option to give funds via resolution and budget process in spring, summer, and fall in 2016”

Jehmima Carril: Seconded.

Nick Lansdown: Chooses not to hear motion. Floor is open to hear discussion.

John Clark: Are they talking to orgs or the community as a branch of ASUCH – where is the oversight?

Sammy Chang: Task force requires ASUCH members to be in it.

Carli Suba: Point of order – 15 minutes left

Jehmima Carril: Ryan’s amendment changes it. It is the best of both worlds for them and ASUCH. Wants a better option. “Shall have the option” to is the best option. Motion to keep Ryan’s friendly amendment

Vince Moita: Seconded.

VOTE:

No objections. Amendment passes.

Ryan Brodovsky: Call to question.

Matt Olhausen: Seconded.

VOTE:

YAY: Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Sara Tobin, Sammie Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Kristin Williams, Andrew Levad, Chris Ballard, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Sean Larson, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Gabrielle Lion, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Pallavi Vishwanath, Matthew Friedman, Celia Guzman

NAY: John Clark, Tom Russell

Abstentions: Carli Suba, Lucas Yeung, Nick Lansdown

RESOLUTION PASSES.

Emergency Resolution: HCLS – Allie Bragg

VOTE to hear:

No Objections. RESOLUTION WILL BE HEARD.

Sara Tobin: Offer this resolution on behalf of HCLS for a mixer and requesting reimbursement.

Allie Bragg: Mixer in January – the invited attorneys and students to network. There were 20-30 students and half a dozen attorneys. There were food and wine and a raffle.

John Clark: Wants to hear from Vince to hear what he would have allocated for the budget.

Vince Moita: They would have done 40 as social plus career event, so \$165. They have already received money, but now it is discretionary.

Sean Larson: We have \$500 put back in our budget as discussed in the beginning of the meeting. There is room for this. There may be a set standard, but there is room for orgs to ask for money.

Ryan Brodovsky: This money is in addition to what was allocated in the budget?

Vince Moita: Yes.

Sara Tobin: They initially wanted to have this in the fall, but they had it in the spring, so they did not have it in the fall. The money was not allocated for this semester.

Carli Suba: We should give them money since we haven't been giving orgs money and people are scared to come here to ask. Students should not be scared to bring resolutions. That's what we are here for.

Monica Brock: This is how 1Ls make connections to get jobs. This is important.

Tom Russell: What was the extra costs?

Allie Bragg: Not sure what was turned in the fall, but she did spend this money on catering, cutlery, and plates. Catering was probably most expensive. Alcohol was donated. Her family donated alcohol. There was raffle prizes.

John Clark: How many attended?

Allie Bragg: 20-30 students.

Laney Wall: This is not an issue of planning. They probably turned in a budget asking for more, but they were probably allocated less per the guidelines.

Ryan Brodovsky: This is a yearly event. How was the event last year?

Allie: It was better this year: more students and more attorneys.

Ryan Brodovsky: Will this happen next year?

Allie Bragg: Yes, hopefully the e-board will do this again this year.

Sean Larson: The initial budgets should not be the end all be all restraint. They are supposed to ask for additional funding. We want to be fair, and this is what the budget is for.

Carli Suba: Motion to extend the meeting 10 minutes.

Ryan Brodovsky: Seconded.

Andrew Levad: Object.

Vote:

YAY: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Carli Suba, Vince Moita, Sara Tobin, Sammie Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Chris Ballard, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Sean Larson, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Gabrielle Lion, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Pallavi Vishwanath, Matthew Friedman,

NAY: Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Kristin Williams

Abstentions: Tomas Dytrich, Celia Guzman

Motion Passes: Meeting is extended.

Matt Olhausen: Move to approve.

Sean Larson: Seconded.

Vince Moita: Objects. Friendly Amendment – “\$320”

Sara Tobin: They only do 1 per semester. They only did in the spring this year. Precedent says that they were allocated \$500 last year. It was not unreasonable to spend that much money.

Ryan Brodovsky: The \$150 that they were allocated last semester is still in the pot, they are asking “\$175.39.” This was a great event for Hastings. Motion to fund fully.

Vince Moita: Seconded

VOTE:

YAY: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Carli Suba, Vince Moita, Sara Tobin, Sammie Acuna, Mike Khoury, Jason Morales, Chris Ballard, Ian Nicholson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Lucas Yeung, Sean Larson, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Gabrielle Lion, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditych, Pallavi Vishwanath, Celia Guzman

NAY: Tom Russell, Andrew Levad, Kristen Williams

Abstentions: Matt Freedman

RESOLUTION PASSES IN FULL.

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to listen to second resolution

Sammy Chang: Seconded.

No Objections. Resolution will be heard

SSDP – Nattalie Koski- Karell

Vince Moita: They allocated money. They got Career services to help. Motion to approve.

Ryan Brodovsky: Seconded.

No objections. RESOLUTION PASSES.

Sammy Chang: Motion to Adjourn.

Carli Suba: Seconded.

9:06 Adjourn.

General Council Minutes
February 16, 2016

7:00 Roll

Present: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Carli Suba, Vince Moita, Sara Tobin, Sammie Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Kristin Williams, Andrew Levad, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Gabrielle Lion, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Matthew Friedman, Celia Guzman

Absent: Keith Yetter, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Sean Larson, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Lucas Yeung, Pallavi Vishwanath

7:02 Public Comment

Andrew Levad: Sadie Hawkins. Bring clothes (formal wear) to donate. There will be a king and queen. Working with Princess Project. It is a good cause.

Vince Moita: Wi-fi was not working this morning. Elevators were bad yesterday.

Nick Lansdwon: The internet was down because of Comcast. Not much we can do about that.

Sammy Chang: Unequal number of women's and men's bathrooms in 198. Could one of the men's bathrooms be changed to a women's?

Tomas Ditrych: Commencement – LLMs are graduating. Generally we have to rent gowns and caps. Is it normal to do so? Why isn't this covered by the university?

Mike Khoury: It is common in grad school, maybe not in undergrad.

Tomas Ditrych: Is there any reason?

Vince Moita: JD hats are more expensive.

7:07 E-Board Reports

IVP – Ryan Brodovsky

Encourage people to run for ASUCH. There will be an H3 that is sports team. The dodge ball committee will do a table there.

Tom Russell: What does sports themed mean?

Ryan Brodovsky: There will be corn hole. There is talk about having the Warriors and Duke games on. Maybe we will wear jerseys.

EVP – Matt Huzaineh

Nothing new. Property law 6@6 coming up.

Ryan Porte: Encourage people to go.

Vince Moita: VALS was late for submissive. He is waiving the resolution process to get the budget to save time.

John Clark: Was anyone else late?

Vince Moita: No.

DIRCA – Sara Tobin

No report. No contract for Spring Fling yet.

DIRCOMM – Sammie Acuna

No Report.

Secretary – Carli Suba

Service hours.

President – Nick Lansdown

No report.

7:11 1L Rep Appointment

Nick Lansdown: Luis quit. Celia Guzman has been appointed.

Celia Guzman: Ran for 1L rep and still wanted it. Persistent.

Nick Lansdown: She and her friends have hosted community building events for Section 1. He is appointing her. It requires majority vote.

Sammy Chang: Move to approve

Jehmima Carril: Seconded.

No objections. It passes.

7:13 Minutes Approval

Sammy Chang: Move to approve.

Matt Huzaineh: Seconded.

No Objections. Minutes from February 2nd passes.

7:14 Resolutions

Ryan Brodovksy: Motion to suspend until after the emergency resolution.

Sammy Chang: Seconded.

No objections. Motion passes.

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to hear it.

Jehmima Carril: Seconded.

No objections. It passes.

Emergency Resolution: Daniel Morales

Daniel Morales: Knows Justice Cueller. This would be a second annual visit to Hastings. They are aiming to foster a growing relationship with CA Supreme Court. They have partnered with the office of the Dean. He is asking to split the remainder of the cost. Estimate would be \$75-800. The Dean's office is pitching in. La Raza and ASUCH would be putting in \$250 each.

There is a scheduling conflict with Justice Cuellar, so it will be postponed from the 23rd of Feb to a later date, possibly March 15th.

Matt Olhausen: Moves to approve.

Sammy Chang: Seconded.

Vince Moita: Objects.

Matt Olhausen: Gives his time to Vince.

Vince Moita: What are the costs going to?

Daniel Morales: They anticipated 60 students, but they have 70 RSVPs. They are expecting around 100. Doesn't have the most recent estimate because the estimate is based on 60 students. Has spent \$50 for a poster to advertise. The costs would be \$50 poster, and the rest for catering, about \$750.

Nick Lansdown: The office of publicity has agreed to take pictures.

Tom Russell: Will this be in the LBM?

Daniel Morales: It will be in Room B. Their board decided that the LBM is too big. They want Justice Cuellar to be more accessible.

John Clark: How many people fit in Room B?

Daniel Morales: 98 according to Astra.

Vote:

YAY: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Carli Suba, Vince Moita, Sara Tobin, Sammie Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Kristin Williams, Andrew Levad, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Gabrielle Lion, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Matthew Friedman, Celia Guzman

NAY: 0

Abstentions: 0

Resolution Passes.

Public Safety Resolution – Ryan Brodovsky and Sammy Chang

Sammy Chang: Ryan, Ryan, and Sammy crashed the Staff Town Hall. One of the concerns was the rumors of changing the public safety officers to private security guards or the UCSF security. Section 4 did not want anything to do with UCSF police or private security. One of the reasons, with UCSF, there might be delayed in reactions. With private security is that they are not certified. They found out that there was job posting for public safety from 2012, which required a certificate. They were required to have CPR knowledge. There are many things that public safety officers are required to do. Each UC campus has public safety officers for their campus. UC Hastings would be the first, not to have it. It would look bad for Hastings. Section 4 does not feel safer with private security over public safety officers. They want the school and administration to know how students feel. Students seem to feel strongly about this.

John Clark: What is the difference between this and what we did last semester?

Sammy Chang: They added to it and did research.

Ryan Brodovsky: In the Town Hall, there was a big emphasis on community. They wanted to ensure that theme made it into the resolution.

Tom Russell: Is the idea on the switch cost?

Sammy Chang: They don't know. They can ask when they meet with the CFO.

Nick Lansdown: They have been considering this since 2007. ASUCH has always been for the public safety officers.

Ian Nicholson: He went here conditioned on the fact that there were officers, as we are set in the Tenderloin. They may want to calculate loss of tuition in their considerations.

Ryan Porte: One of Section 4 said she would consider transferring if they did not have this security in place.

Matt Huzaineh: Is there something on the table? This seems like speculation.

Ryan Brodovsky: It is on the table. The Dean has been dancing around the issue.

Sammy Chang: The questions were very direct and the Dean could not answer.

Matt Huzaineh: What exactly was asked?

Ryan Brodovsky: There is an officer who just had his first son, will he have a job tomorrow? Dean said to wait for the meeting on Tuesday.

Matt F: Did they mention anything about the security shuttle?

Ryan Brodovsky: It was not mentioned.

Vince Moita: This is one of the biggest issues we are facing. There should be a demonstration. Maybe a banner. There should be something more public, which would be more powerful. Then our peers' feelings would be stronger. A lot of students don't know what is going on. If it were more public then more people could voice their concerns.

Ryan Brodovsky: Agrees. This is the first step. We could take the resolutions and bring it to the Dean. The students are with Public Safety Officers and confirm that it is no longer an issue. Without such confirmation, we can pool the student body. There can be hard data to show how the student body stands. Then if that doesn't go over, then we can start protesting.

Matt Olhausen: You could do a petition.

Sammy Chang: There are a lot of avenues.

John Clark: Did the first resolution ever come up to someone important?

Nick Lansdown: He has given it to both the new and old deans. He brought it to the board of directors.

Vince Moita: They aren't on campus anymore. They aren't scared for their safety. They should keep hearing it.

Mike Khoury: Can someone follow up with the Dean to find out what happened at the meeting today?

Ryan Brodovsky: The person who is head of the union was working today.

Tom Russell: What protective arms would security have?

Sammy Chang: They can carry a baton and pepper spray, but no guns.

Ryan Brodovsky: Everyone received the curious e-mail. There was a forwarded message from the "whistleblower." There were some concerns that UCSF people would be slow in response times, there is a concern that they wouldn't be police officers but security guards. The Wikipedia page says that SFPD is close to here.

Matt Huzaineh: When they present this to the dean, he would like to frame it like we need answers. If we are going to protest, we should have all the answers.

Ryan Brodovsky: He wants answers and information as well.

Matt Olhausen: If the UCSF police has stations, why couldn't they incorporate these officers here?

Ryan Brodovsky: This is all speculation. It could be SFPD, but the lack of information justifies asking.

Nick Lansdown: There was some talk about incorporating the UCSF with the Hastings police officers. However, then they would have to pass the physicals that the police department requires. Some of the Hastings officers wouldn't pass.

Ryan Brodovsky: In the Town Hall, the PO said that there had been talks with UCSF about a merger, but it seemed like UCSF wasn't looking for new officers. If a merger were to take place, there is a possibility that our officers would likely be laid off and be jobless. What are our thoughts on that?

Matt Olhausen: It seems like if we have UCSF officers here, they would still need more staff so it would make sense to keep our officers on.

Jehmima Carril: Agrees with what has been said. Hastings has always been independent. As far as community goes, these officers have come to know us and these officers have been here for many years. Does not want to give their jobs to UCSF. That would be our community losing out and they would be taking over everything. If it is a partnership they shouldn't be taking over.

Matt Huzaineh: We should cross that bridge when we come to it.

Sammie Acuna: Start a petition now. Circulate it around campus.

Mike Khoury: We should wait to find out what happened at the meeting.

Sammy Chang: We want to have a position now since we are not meeting for another 2 weeks.

John Clark: What if they come back and say that we are going through with this? How do we act to this?

Nick Lansdown: He will call a meeting.

Ryan Brodovsky: Or respond to the e-mails.

Matt Olhausen: Move to approve

Jehmima Carril: Seconded.

No Objections. Resolution Passes.

Sara Tobin: Motion to adjourn.

Matt Olhausen: Seconded.

7:45 Adjourned.

**General Council Minutes
February 2, 2016**

7:00 Roll

Present: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vincent Moita, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Andrew Levad, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Matthew Friedman

Absent: Carlia Suba, Jason Morales, Gabrielle Lion, Lucas Yung, Pallavi Vishwanath

7:02 Public Comment

Nick Lansdown: Does anyone have any public comment?

Mike Khoury: I'd like to request that the 3Ls stick around after the meeting.

Nick Lansdown: Any other public comments? Hearing none, lets move on to the e-board reports.

7:03 E-Board Reports

IVP – Ryan Brodovsky

1Ls please tell their sections that debates will be March 13, 2016 in room K from 3:30-4:30 p.m. This will also go out in emails via the SharkByte and the Weekly. Elections will be videotaped and uploaded to internet afterwards. Elections will be held starting March 21, 2016 at 9 a.m. until Thursday, March 24 at 12 p.m. I hope, that by working with Student Services and ID, to have results that day, going into 3-day weekend. Applications will be going out tomorrow. They haven't gone out yet because of the regulations being discussed tonight. If anyone has recommendations or changes going forward, I ask that you please discuss this with the Elections Committee, which is comprised of all 3Ls.

EVP – Matt Huzaineh

The first 6@6 is coming up on February 11, 2016, with a judge. The internship opportunity is live and up, it was in the SharkByte, and it is up on 6@6 website.

Nick L.: I was in LA this past weekend and I have a potential internship opportunity down there, so be on the lookout for that.

TREASURER – Vince Moita

You'll hear from me in about 10 minutes.

DIRCA – Sara Tobin

BOB is this week on the Beach, Alumni BOB is next week in the Law Café. There will be a photo booth, beer, wine, food, and Hastings alums. The Alumni Office has been working really

hard on this event, it will be great and everyone should attend. Details for Spring Fling are forthcoming.

DIRCC – Sammie Acuna

No report.

SECRETARY – Carlia Suba via Sara Tobin

Make sure you do your service hours and CC the appropriate e-board member.

PRESIDENT – Nick Lansdown

Student Services wants feedback or suggestions for student swag.

Ideas shouted out: mugs, water bottles, sweaters, flash drives, padfolios, hats, crewnecks, beanies, sweatpants, lapel pins, phone covers, MacBook covers, PC covers.

Nick Lansdown: If you have any more ideas, please let Student Services know.

7:09 Minutes Approval

Nick Lansdown: We need to approve the minutes from the last general council meeting.

Sammy Chang: Move to approve the January 19, 2016 minutes.

Monica Brock: Second.

Nick Lansdown: Are there any objections? Seeing and hearing no objections, the January 19, 2016 minutes are approved.

MINUTES APPROVED.

7:10 Spring Budget Approval –Vince Moita

Vince Moita: The tentative budget has been approved by the Finance Committee. There were a couple of changes to the original budget because of the fact that we found some additional funds, which I'll explain in a moment. We raised approximately \$7,000 from Barristers Ball, thanks to the DirCA. A bulk of the money we allocated last semester, which was \$21,000, was allocated to accounts payable. I also went back and looked at all of the submitted reimbursement requests from the fall semester and I figured out that \$12,000 has already been paid. We originally allocated \$20,000 in that account. We left \$9,000 in there so that people who still haven't submitted their reimbursement requests can have them paid out. We might still owe that money if people reclaim it. This semester we had over \$60,000 in requests and, as always, we don't have enough money to give each org what they ask for. The goal of the finance committee is to use the money taken from students, which should go back to students directly, and larger events should be for everyone. We reduced the amount allocated to cultural graduations. There is no official stance in the budget guidelines about how much should go to these events. Historically, we've given \$800-\$900 per cultural graduation. This year, we've allocated \$500-\$600 per

cultural graduation. The reasoning is so that we could give more funding for 3L class as a whole. Something that influenced our decision was that APALSA's request was far smaller than some of the other orgs, despite their large size. If APALSA, who has a lot of students graduating, can have their cultural graduation for \$500, the other orgs should be able to do the same. Through the student activity fee and fundraisers, ASUCH should raise \$52,000 this year. \$56,000 has been allocated this year to student orgs, because we anticipate that 8-10% of the funds that we allocated won't be used. Every year, people often don't do the events they said they would do. Additionally, we have a healthy resolution fund this semester of \$3,000, still leaving an opportunity for students to seek additional funding if they need it. Also, because the accounts have more money than we previously thought, an emergency fund was created. We want to leave the accounts healthy so that next year's ASUCH is in a better position than we were this year.

John Clark: Why was the DirCA granted so much less than she asked for? How will this affect Spring Fling?

Vince Moita: The two things we cut from Spring Fling were food and drinks. Myself and the Finance Committee felt that this was the rational decision to make because we will get a larger profit. The DirCA can either seek a resolution for that or we can choose to fund something else with that money. I just didn't think that food and drinks were worth it.

Ryan Brodovsky: I just want to say thanks to Vince and the Finance Committee for their hard work and time spent on the budget process.

Vince Moita: Thank you.

Jehmima Carril: How much did Barristers Ball raise?

Vince Moita: About \$7,000.

Jehmima Carril: So any money that Barristers Ball makes just goes back into the ASUCH fund?

Vince Moita: We make about \$36,000 from the student activity fees per year. Part of it is allocated to Barristers Ball and Spring Fling. Between these two events, we can raise anywhere from \$10,000 to \$16,000 historically. Optimistically speaking, with \$16,000, we get about \$52,000 to allocate out to student orgs. Between both this and last semester, we had a bit of flow because we had a couple of student orgs that hadn't been active in about 2 years. This added about \$5,000 to account, so we had a little bit more than normal. \$56,000 was allocated with the understanding that 8-10% wouldn't be used.

Jehmima Carril: I would like to express my concerns. Regarding Spring Fling, as it relates to Barristers and our whole experience here, I'd like to hear from Sara after I'm done talking what she thinks would be a reasonable request because she got a lot less she asked for. I'm concerned because Barristers did raise a lot of money and Spring Fling is something that we all look forward to. It boosts the morale of the students and they really like it, and I'm afraid we're not allocating enough money to it. I don't want to have to do an emergency resolution.

Sara Tobin: Vince and I talked. We have two different roles in ASUCH. Vince's role as the Treasurer is to make sure that ASUCH allocates the maximum amount of funds as possible to student orgs and to ensure that we make the most money from our fundraisers as possible. My role as the DirCA is to throw successful and fun events. Those two roles don't always line up. That being said, when Vince and I met yesterday, we agreed to disagree regarding the food and drink tickets. Vince told me that he does not support allocating funds to food and drinks. If it were up to me, I would fund both of those things but it's out of my hands. I, or someone else, can either bring a resolution to ask for funding to cover those things and/or additionally, if I sell tickets to Spring Fling early, funds made then can be used to cover the costs of food and drinks. Since Vince and I agreed to disagree, this is something we can talk about going forward as a GC and determine what we'd like to do.

Debbie Awolope: I have a question.

Vince Moita: In regard to the DirCA thing?

Debbie Awolope: No.

Vince Moita: Can it wait, please? I just want to stay on this topic before moving on. Back to the DirCA thing, the amount allocated was based on historical precedent. We're not trying to jip you out of your experience, we're just trying to be smart. I know we want to have a big party, but last year, it was a fiasco and we're trying to avoid that kind of situation.

Leeja Patel: The college has had to bail out ASUCH a lot because Spring Fling always loses money.

Vince Moita: Are there any more questions regarding Spring Fling? Okay, Debbie?

Debbie Awolope: In regard to cultural orgs, can you please restate what you said about APALSA only spending \$500 for cultural graduation?

Vince Moita: I won't state it myself, I'll show you what they requested. Once again, all of these docs will be made public on Kscope so everyone can see what was allocated and why.

Debbie Awolope: You mentioned that more of APALSA's students are graduating from UC Hastings and yet they only need \$500. If APALSA is graduating more students, they probably have a strong alumni network that can help them out financially. Other orgs don't have that, so why are we comparing all cultural orgs? APALSA probably has other resources that other orgs don't have, so why compare?

Vince Moita: More money was allocated to student orgs instead of cultural graduations. This blanket rule has been applied because there needs to be some standardization.

Debbie Awolope: We don't have control over who gets admitted to this school. I would admit more African Americans if it were up to me, but I understand your point.

Vince Moita: I hear you and I'm not sure what the happy medium is. We can also take another look at the budget after Spring Fling.

Debbie Awolope: Can you please explain what we were allocated for the lawyers' appreciation event?

Vince Moita: It's not as much as you requested, but we tried to do what we could do.

Debbie Awolope: Last spring semester, more money was given for the same event done by other orgs.

Vince Moita: This year, we treated everyone standardized. We're going to publish it so all orgs can see that.

Debbie Awolope: Thank you, Vince.

Vince Moita: We do have extra money in the account that we can use and pull from, but we need to talk about it and figure out where we're going to go. Our job as ASUCH is to try to spread the money out for the benefit of maximum students.

Debbie Awolope: If you discuss it further, I would like to be a part of that meeting.

Vince Moita: Okay, sounds good.

John Clark: Is \$500 or \$600 being allocated to each cultural graduation?

Vince Moita: It's \$600. It was in the doc that was sent out earlier tonight. We bring it up also because it hasn't been discussed in the past. Requests get higher and funds get lower so we have to do something.

Ryan Brodovksy: All of the cultural orgs met at the end of the fall semester with administration, put on by Student Services. They met to assign the spots during graduation weekend for the big spaces on campus. Something I noticed, in terms of APALSA, is that their cultural grad is a morning event. In the coming events of graduation, events are staggered breakfast through dinner so that the events don't conflict. I applaud you guys for trying to come up with a fair solution, but I hope that the request from Debbie is something that can be kept in mind. After Spring Fling, hopefully we can adjust the allocations so that more can be given for lunch and dinners, which are more expensive. There isn't much of a choice as to when to have the cultural grad events because of the limited time when families are in San Francisco during graduation weekend. It's something to consider and hopefully we can work together as a GC to alleviate everyone's concerns.

Vince Moita: This is a tentative budget so it can be changed if we choose to, but I will say that we will not get anymore money than we already have.

Nick Lansdown: What if we were able to create a tier? If it's a morning event, they get \$500, lunch events would receive \$100 more, and dinner events would receive \$200 more. That would go in line with the advice of Ryan.

Vince Moita: I agree; I think something like that should happen. We should look at the budget as a whole when it comes in and work together to try to get that money to those most in need to make it fair somehow.

Laney Wall: Is that fair to do that? When the cultural orgs were choosing morning, afternoon and evening events, students didn't know that, that decision would affect their funding. It doesn't seem right to discuss this without all of those students here tonight.

Vince Moita: We could just do what we did last year and give everyone the \$900, but the problem we are faced with is that there is nothing in the budget guidelines about how to allocate money for these events. If we keep it the same, another treasurer can just keep increasing it. These events are great, but going through every single org's budget is hard.

Ronnie Shou: Why don't we have guidelines to make it more clear?

Vince Moita: It's something Sammy Chang and I have talked about, creating a budget code. Some people get more money because they know how to follow the guidelines and other orgs get less money simply because, even though they have good ideas, they aren't following the guidelines. Less of our time could be spent talking about budget and resolutions so we could actually self-govern and create a better student life here on campus instead of just focusing on spending money.

John Clark: If we gave each org the \$900 you just mentioned, wouldn't that take away half of our resolution budget?

Vince Moita: No, we have an \$8,000 reserve fund for things like this, separate from the resolution and accounts payable funds. And I think that there will be more orgs that won't request funding than the 8-10% I mentioned earlier. However, I think it's important to discuss these things so we can determine how to handle them going forward.

Nick Lansdown: Do we need to pass cultural grad. issue tonight?

Vince Moita: Yes, I'd like to.

Matt Olhausen: How does the student services fee get allocated? Where does it come from, Student Services? David Seward?

Nick Lansdown: \$20 of the fee goes to wellness, \$5 of that fee goes to gym, and ASUCH has voted to fund a few measures, including GAAP.

Andrew Levad: Isn't GAAP gone?

Leeja Patel: Should we do a quick overview? Our student activity fee is \$80 a year. ASUCH gets the largest amount, \$40. Some of the things in the fund are voted on by the Board of Governors, others are voted on by the student body every 7 years. GAAP is one of the things that students chose to fund. GAAP is still active, they now meet here on campus. They traditionally get \$5 a year. Student Services gets \$4 of student activity fee, which I think is the least. I think there is another small fee that goes to Facilities. We can bring David Seward in to talk about it in more detail. Students have the ability to vote on where the fee goes and you should vote on what fees go to because the amount you get every year goes down.

Vince Moita: Let's move to amend the budget.

Debbie Awolope: I recommend that if the cultural org has gotten exactly what they asked for, then that should be fine. If they didn't receive the full amount of their request, then I would say that they should get \$100-\$200 more.

Ronnie Shou: For the orgs that didn't get all they wanted, do we know if they fundraised on their own?

Vince Moita: Some do and they noted it, others didn't. But ASUCH is the first stop usually. We don't know how much orgs raised because they don't tell us.

John Clark: Everyone is still open to the resolution process, right?

Vince Moita: Yes, which has \$3,000, and we still have the war chest of \$8,000 if we need that. But that amount is money that, if we take from it, we are taking away from next year's ASUCH and they will be put in a similar position that we were in at the beginning of this year. I would prefer not to do that.

Ryan Brodovoksy: Maybe this is a temporary fix idea, but my proposal is that we pass the budget as it stands, and then write up a quick emergency resolution that says that we will fund cultural orgs \$200 more for their cultural grads following Spring Fling. Cultural orgs that need the money will ask for it and maybe we can talk to the leaders of the cultural orgs and explain this to them.

Debbie Awolope: I'd prefer to get it now.

Ryan Brodovsky: I understand, Debbie, but – correct me if I'm wrong, Vince – orgs don't get the money or spend it now. I'm correct in that, right? We'll have money at the meeting after Spring Fling.

Vince Moita: Maybe by then we can create a financial code.

Mike Khoury: I agree with Ryan; I don't think it needs to be resolved today because it will take us a while. We should resolve it either before or after Spring Fling. Why is it that we don't assign money for cultural graduations per student? It's just an idea to consider, instead of funding cultural grads based on whether the event is in the morning, afternoon, or night.

Jehmima Carril: I like Mike's idea. I don't think it's fair to penalize organizations that didn't ask for more money. I do like having a blanket policy, however I think per student is better.

Matt Huzaineh: I just wanted to move to approve since Ryan is typing the emergency resolution up now.

Vince Moita: Any more questions?

Nick Lansdown: There's a motion on the table.

Mike Khoury: Seconded.

Nick Lansdown: Motion on the table is to approve the budget with the exception of the resolution Ryan is typing up now in regards to the cultural grads. Any objections? Seeing and hearing no objections, the motion to approve the budget passes.

THE SPRING 2016 BUDGET PASSES.

8:00 Resolutions

Elections regulations – Authored by Ronnie Shou and Keith Yetter, Sponsored by Ryan Brodovsky

Keith Yetter: Hey everybody, we're back. Get excited. This is the resolution that we brought last meeting, which passed for the most part. The provision that didn't pass has changed since two weeks ago. We took out the portion that took away the orgs' ability to receive funds. Now, all it says is student orgs can't endorse in ASUCH elections. We had a meeting with student org leaders last week and had a fruitful discussion. Some orgs felt that the whole endorsement process was a nightmare, others thought that this was too complicated and we should let orgs do what they want to do. It was pretty inconclusive, but the vote came out 11-9 in favor. So, we're here to argue it again.

Ronnie Shou: We still believe that this is important to have in the regulations. Sammy Chang surveyed section 4 and the feeling was that this is the right idea. A lot of people were hung up on the sanctions, but still believed there should be something of this sort because they liked the general idea.

Keith Yetter: Comments, questions, concerns?

Mike Khoury: 11-9 were in favor of this language?

Keith Yetter: In favor of general idea of prohibiting endorsements. I explained that the second portion was not going to be popular and probably not the right step presently.

Ryan Porte: So what happens if an org does make an endorsement? What's the point of having it in there if it doesn't have teeth? We could write something like, "It is our hope that ..."

Ronnie Shou: We've all taken statutory interpretation, "shall" means "shall." We hope that student orgs have leaders that will follow the guidelines.

Matt Huzaineh: I like that you took that out. There is still a small majority that approve that. I need to get up and present something. I felt that there had to be something that supersedes what's going on here. I did some research. The student handbook has a section on student discipline that has how we should conduct ourselves, whether we're an org or a student. What I want to bring to your attention is the speech and advocacy portion of student regulations. Please read it to yourselves. I've personally talked to a few people.

Ryan Brodovsky: How do we access this? Is it the student handbook?

Matt Huzaineh: No, this is where the student handbook is derived from.

Ryan Brodovsky: Where do we find it?

Matt Huzaineh: I can send it to everyone later. This is free speech; this is something that people can file grievances for.

Monica Brock: The text says "members of groups," not groups as a whole. That is how I interpret the language.

Matt Huzaineh: You want to flirt with violating the rules?

Luis Beltran: Why are we debating this? We spent an hour and a half on it. I'm opposed to the new regulation as well, and my mind isn't going to change, but I think we should have a cutoff to this debate. Motion to end debate.

Matt Huzaineh: I move to strike.

Sammy Chang: You can't debate it. We need a 2/3 vote.

Ryan Brodovsky: What is being voted on?

Nick Lansdown: Call to question ends the debate. All in favor?

VOTE:

Yays: Sara, Laney, Mike, John, Vince, Matt F., Christian, Sean, Tomas D., Luis, Ryan B., Nicholas R., Ryan P., Sammy C., Sammie A.

Nays: Monica, Andrew, Kristin, Jehmima, Keith, Ronnie, Tom R., Matt O., Matt H.

Abstentions: Gracie, Ian

DEBATE ENDS.

Nick Lansdown: Debate is closed. We will vote on the resolution itself.

Christian Urbano: Can you please clarify?

Nick Lansdown: Just the highlighted portion of resolution is being voted on. All in favor?

VOTE:

Yays: Sara, Laney, John, Matt F., Vince, Sean, Monica, Keith, Ronnie, Sammy C.

Nays: Ian, Tom R., Kristin, Andrew, Luis, Nick L., Nicholas R., Ryan P., Matt H

Abstentions: Jehmima, Mike, Ryan B., Christian, Matt O., Sammie A., Tomas D.

RESOLUTION PASSES.

Rescindment of the portion of the ASUCH guidelines – Matt Huzaineh

Matt Huzaineh: This has some history to it, this has been carried over from last year. You may recall a very contentious GC meeting after elections. Some wanted to form ad-hoc disciplinary committee and it failed. I advocated against it. The implication of students disciplining students is wrong in my opinion. There are 7 pages in the student handbook on how students should be disciplined. ASUCH doesn't discipline students here, only in certain circumstances. Forming 2 tribunals is not what we do. Reasonable minds can differ on this concept. It's flat out against the rules, it's superseded by administration. That student could have the option to go to administration and throw away what we did here. The appeals process is especially flawed. If the Elections Committee comes out and says this person has to forfeit, and they appeal, and it's before the meeting, we are essentially deciding the race in this room. We have to protect the students out there. Imagine if a student outside of ASUCH is labeled as having committed an impropriety, do you expect them to feel comfortable appealing to us?

Nick Lansdown: Vince, you have a question?

Vince Moita: It is entirely in our power to judge our peers on how we want to conduct ourselves. It's a privilege to be on ASUCH. It's our ability to take that power away. If someone is going to go do something wrong, it should be within our jurisdiction to say no, that should not be done. If somebody violates this, and it goes to the Board of Governors, do I want this person to be kicked out of school? Do we really want to do that?

Matt Huzaineh It's not up to us to bring a grievance, it's up to the student who files a grievance with administration.

Keith Yetter: It should come as no surprise that I object. We're not "punishing" anyone. In fact, the ASUCH constitution gives the Elections Committee, and the GC, the authority to set the

terms of and the qualifications of the election. The most basic includes the fact that you have to fill out an application or you can't run. If you violate the rules, you can't run. Talk about outsiders feeling alienated – last year members of this body abused their ASUCH powers in order to sway the election. How do you think that made people feel?

Matt Huzaineh: That's why Student Services took away those privileges.

Nick Lansdown: We're not dictating the election candidates.

Keith Yetter: We can dictate the qualifications. Can we go back to the resolution you're proposing? Last year, after the crazy elections, we tried to deal with what happened. Our president was bombarded by members of the student body and administration during the process, and asked to do something. She had no power or authority to do something. The next GC meeting she presented something in an attempt to remedy the situation and was attacked. Facebook, are you kidding me? It's essential that if we have the ability to set the elections regulations that we have the ability to then enforce those regulations. If we leave it like we did last year, like the wild, wild west, then we have no remedies when things happen. I don't agree that we "punish" students, but what do you think we will use this authority to do? This if for egregious circumstances that happened, like last year. We're not crazy, unreasonable people who are just going to kick people out of this election. You talk about the need for a fair process, but your remedy is Facebook. I just don't see how, after the nightmare that we went through last year, how we can honestly leave it to the wild west again and hope that nothing goes wrong, and when it does, there's nothing we can do. At least this is a process that, if a grievance comes to Elections Committee, which is only the 2 of us because we're the only ones who bothered to show up because the other 3Ls shirked their responsibilities, yet want to criticize the process. Had you all been a part of it, it might have come out differently.

Matt Huzaineh: Egregious circumstances, that's not what the resolution says. You tried to sneak this in at the last minute. Instead of the GC approving, we have 2 people determining, policing, who should withdraw candidacy. 6 of your 8 peers support this and they have nothing to gain from it.

Ronnie Ronnie: This is a hotly debated topic, but why didn't this debate happen earlier? I've worked extensively with Ryan and Keith to craft these things, and I'm a little hurt that none of the 3L reps bothered to even discuss this important issue with Keith and I, if they were concerned. This has already been passed by GC. This wasn't sneaky. We had to pass it early on in the semester to lock in the election dates. Currently, after a misconduct, there is no recourse. What you've done right now is essentially encouraged people because there is no after the fact remedy. Mentioning Facebook, you're doing the very thing that Keith are trying to prevent. As a student of ASUCH, I want to be proud of the people who were elected and I don't necessarily feel that way from last year. Your policy seems to just say, this is a small community. What it doesn't say is that encouraging this kind of conduct rips our small community apart. Having stuff like this happen in an election damages personal relationships within our community and professional reputations going forward. I disagree with what your resolution is saying because it doesn't make students feel like ASUCH can or will do anything if mud-slinging behavior occurs.

Matt Huzaineh: You characterized it as a nightmare, but it's unfair. Why didn't I go to the Elections Committee first? Ronnie, I apologize to you. I know Keith and I have a philosophical difference and I didn't want to argue it twice. I, and many others, feel strongly about students not disciplining students. I'm not comfortable with this.

Ryan Brodovsky: We're running out of time.

Lidia Lopez: I was the Internal Vice President last year and I ran the elections. I'm here to share with you what my experience was and I'll make this quick. Make of it what you will. I have a lot of concerns about not allowing student orgs to endorse. Organizations depend on ASUCH to give them funds for the students. Last year, as I recall, Ryan and others received questions from student orgs because they wanted to know what students running for ASUCH could do for their orgs. Ultimately, ASUCH is serving the orgs and not individual students. In getting the word out about running, many students aren't engaged as a whole. The way to find out is through orgs and the emails they send out. Having only Ronnie and Keith on the Elections Committee puts a lot of responsibility on you two. You won't have a lot of time during elections to penalize students.

Kristin Williams: Now that the rest of the 3L reps have manifested an intent to be a part of the process, we should meet and come up with a better bill. I don't fully understand the need for an enforcement provision for individual students. Student orgs who receive ASUCH funds should be bound and the ones that don't shouldn't.

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to postpone the vote on this amendment and request that, following a vote on the Emergency Resolution, we move to extend the meeting by 15 minutes.

Jehmima Carril: Second.

Nick Lansdown: The motion on the table is to postpone. If that should pass, we will hear the Emergency Resolution.

Vince Moita: Objection. I thought we weren't discussing that?

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to call to question.

Jehmima Carril: Second.

Nick Lansdown: Motion on the table is to end debate and postpone Matt's resolution. All in favor?

VOTE:

Yays: Jehmima, John, Vince, Matt F., Matt O., Sean, Monica, Sammie A., Ryan P., Gracie, Ryan B., Sammy C., Ian

Nays: Tom R., Kristin, Andrew

Abstentions: Luis, Mike, Nicholas R., Tomas D.

RESOLUTION TABLED.

EMERGENCY RESOLUTION

Nick Lansdown: Now we're going to vote to hear the emergency resolution. Any objections? Seeing and hearing no objections, we're going to hear the emergency resolution.

Ryan Brodovsky: [Read text of emergency resolution]. I believe Vince wanted to add something.

Vince Moita: No, it's fine.

Mike Khoury: What happens if Spring Fling loses money?

Ryan Brodovsky: This doesn't guarantee any money, but, if we all support it, that should be enough.

Tom Russell: Why does this matter then?

Vince Moita: To put it on the books.

Ryan Brodovsky: I know people who are scared to ask for funds here because of the onslaught of questions, so this gives student orgs a message that we have their backs.

Sammy Chang: Move to table.

Ryan Brodovsky: Object.

Sammy Chang: I just didn't think we would make a wise decision with 5 minutes left.

Ryan Brodovsky: I hear your point. I am very saddened to see so many people emotionally, mentally, and physically affected by the past hour and a half that we've been sitting here. With that being said, we also had members of the ASUCH community as a whole, being all students here on campus, come here to learn and ask about the budgeting process and what happened to their individual org. There was a lot of discussion of their issues and the shortfalls that our budget process has. We had agreed with far more level heads early on in the meeting, as a GC, to discuss this. It doesn't force the orgs to take the \$200 nor guarantees it, it just says that ASUCH hears your concerns and we want to work on a solution that is amenable to everyone. By passing this today, we are saying to the student body that we support and foster the multicultural community that makes this school great. I think we have gotten far too caught up in the nitty gritty of the election details that are far better served in smaller committees beforehand, where the actual and total members of the Elections Committee, which is all 8 of the 3Ls, can come together and deal with the election issues that we will discuss hopefully shortly. In the meantime, we can do this for the student population.

Jehmima Carril: I don't see the harm in this. I agree with Ryan, we aren't guaranteeing money, but we are showing that these events are important enough to hear first. It's good to have on paper so that student orgs know that we value them. Motion to extend the meeting by 15 minutes.

Ryan Brodovsky: Second.

Nick Lansdown: Objections? Seeing and hearing none, Jehmima, please continue.

Jehmima Carril: We are all going to feel awesome when we reach graduation and I think it's important to support this resolution. Passing this isn't going to hurt anything; in fact, I think it will help. Motion to call to question.

Ryan Brodovsky: Second

Nick Lansdown: All in favor of ending debate for the Emergency Resolution?

VOTE:

Yays: Jehmima, Ian, Laney, Ronnie, Keith, Mike, John, Monica, Ryan B., Gracie, Christian, Sean, Sammie A., Ryan P., Luis, Tomas D., Matt F., Matt O., Kristin, Andrew, Nicholas R., Matt H., Vince

Nays: Tom R.

Abstentions: Sammy C.

MOTION TO END DEBATE PASSES.

Ryan B.: Motion to suspend Robert's Rules for the rest of this resolution?

Sara: Second.

Unanimous vote in favor.

MOTION PASSES.

Nick Lansdown: If you want to pass the emergency resolution, please raise your hand.

VOTE:

Yays: Jehmima, Ian, Laney, Mike, John, Vince, Christian, Luis, Sean, Sammie A., Ryan B., Ryan P., Gracie, Monica, Matt H.

Nays: 0

Abstentions: Tom R., Nicholas R., Sammy C., Keith, Ronnie, Tomas D.

THE MOTION PASSES. ROBERT’S RULES BACK IN ACTION.

Matt Olhausen: In Robert’s Rules, can we vote by voice?

Nick Lansdown: Yes.

Sara Tobin: It would be difficult to tell if the vote was close.

Back to Matt’s Huzaineh’s resolution

Vince Moita: I just want to share my personal story from elections last year. During my 1L year, I wasn’t part of ASUCH. I didn’t get any endorsements, including the one from the ASUCH email. When I first said that I was running for an e-board position, I didn’t say which one. I immediately got texts from 2 different people sitting on this board now, telling me not to run. I am a determined person, so it didn’t deter me. But if we don’t have guidelines like the ones proposed here, students will be deterred. Luckily, we can pass some guidelines that we don’t have to take up to the next level, like the Board of Governors. I think my story can easily happen to someone else unless we pass something like this.

Matt Huzaineh: It’s the student, not us, who will take it to the next level, not us. They can go to administration. This is not in our purview. We’re trying to restrict free speech from orgs. You guys do not do your research. You guys got it wrong. We’re not allowed to take funding from student orgs. Whether you agree that it’s in our power is irrelevant.

Nick Lansdown: Matt is right that the student can go to administration.

Tomas Dytrich: I agree with Matt on this. Like Parliament in other countries, you don’t decide who’s going to run. It’s not up to us to decide in these kinds of cases.

Ryan Brodovksy: I have 4 things I’ve got written down that I feel need to be said. The fact that we’ve gotten so heated over this is just evidence enough that there might need to be this kind of help so that this council can communicate better. First, there has been talk about how we are unauthorized as a body to pull student org funding and that no research was done. Actually, our bylaws do permit this council to pull student org funding, which is found in Bylaws section 6.1(b). It is ambiguous about whether it applies to orgs that don’t attend the first general meeting or meetings that are called by Internal Vice President at the beginning of each semester. However, It is very clear that our bylaws, which have been passed multiple times, that administration has not made a complaint about language that seems to have been in there for at least 3 years. Second of all, I want to thank you, Vince, for sharing something that is very difficult in this public forum. I applaud you for your courageousness in sharing it and for bucking those people who did something that was just deplorable, for doing the best you could, and for winning and being the best Treasurer for this council. I hope that for something like this, we can pass something so that no one has to go through what you did. Third, the way the current regulations are set, there is a bias against current members in ASUCH. [Ask Ryan for bylaws

sections] allows for member of GC to be removed with discretion, if that person is nominated by the President for removal. That person has the ability to reply, and would then need a ¾ vote to be removed. If everyone who is eligible to run, chose to run, they would find themselves liable to removed from office. This levels the playing field between ASUCH and non-ASUCH members. Fourth, there was a complaint that there wouldn't be an ASUCH GC meeting when infractions would occur. That would be –

Tom Russell: The meeting is over.

Nick Lansdown: Does anyone want to extend the meeting?

Sean Larson: Motion to extend the meeting 15 minutes.

Jehmima Carril: Second.

Nick Lansdown: Nays?

VOTE:

Yays: Ryan B., Matthew H., Vince, Sara, Sammie A., Mike, Kristin, Keith, Ian, Sean, Christian, Monica, Jehmima, Sammy C., Ryan P., Gracie, Nicholas R., Matr O., Tomas D., Ronnie, Matthew F.

Nays: Laney, Andrew, Tom, John

Abstentions: 0

MOTION PASSES.

Ryan Brodovsky: To continue my point, the President can call a GC meeting whenever he wants. If the Elections Committee found that something unethical occurred, they could meet with Nick. Going back to substance of this resolution, I am very concerned, echoing Keith and Ronnie, by using Facebook as a platform. There was absolute outrage in September when a student posted a Change.org petition in the Hastings Facebook groups. We are allowing students to mudsling on a public forum, that is always there, and doesn't go away. I am terrified of us ending up in Above The Law because that is going to hurt us as we look for jobs.

Matt Huzaineh: The handbook should provide plenty of remedies for that. I don't understand why you're advocating for a disciplinary tribunal.

Nick Lansdown: 7.5 and 7.6 were passed at beginning of year to rectify that problem. Next on the list is Ronnie.

Ronnie Shou: I understand the concerns about a small group of 3Ls being the arbiters, but I disagree that the Elections Committee is prohibiting anyone from participating in the election. Anyone who fulfills requirements can run. You mistake the privilege of running for an office as

a right. In any sort of race or game, you can be ejected for violating the rules. My worry is that you are taking a very firm stance of your way or the highway as a way to interpret the rules. That isn't productive. It may be that the candidates themselves are not mudslinging, but their friends might or the candidates might encourage others to do so. There has to be some sort of regulation and enforcement mechanism in place.

Matt Huzaineh: I say it is a right to run for office.

Tom Russell: There are multiple ways to interpret all of the language that you guys are putting forward. It is a right. This is government, we're adults here, sometimes people hurt other people's feelings, then just stay out of ASUCH if you can't handle it. I'm not saying we should be mudslinging but I don't want a three person police force. Just strike the Facebook part.

Andrew Levad: I'd like to give my time to Leeja.

Leeja Patel: I was talking to Keith about a compromise. Want to explain, Keith?

Keith Yetter: This has gotten really ugly, sorry for the extent to which I contributed to that. I would like to say, I will support the resolution if we take out all of the whereases and leave it so that Elections Committee can remove people. I would like to talk to the GC of the university and come up with something tangible that is within the students' power to better regulate these elections. I want to do it the right way and I don't want to continue the degradation of the relationships in this room.

John Clark: Second.

Nick Lansdown: Amendment to strike all whereases.

Tom Russell: Point of clarification. What are we voting on?

Nick Lasdown: To strike the whereases.

Leeja Patel: Do you want to even vote on a resolution?

Nick Lansdown: Yes, to vote on what to remove.

Keith Yetter: To resolve ambiguities with the GC that wouldn't apply this year but hopefully apply in the future.

Nick Lansdown: Objections to leaving everything highlighted?

NO OBJECTIONS. THE REST OF THE RESOLUTION IS STRICKEN.

Nick Lansdown: Voting now to approve highlighted portion of the resolution. All in favor of removing this portion, that removes the portion that allows the Elections Committee to remove people from the election guidelines passed 2 weeks ago?

VOTE:

Yays: Ian, Keith, Ronnie, Mike, Laney, Kristin, Andrew, John, Matt F., Tomas D., Sammie A., Ryan P., Matt H., Nicholas R., Nick L.

Nays: Vince, Matt O.

Abstentions: Jehmima, Monica, Christian, Ryan B., Gracie, Sammy C., Sara

RESOLUTION PASSES.

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to adjourn.

Jehmima Carril: Second.

9:33 Adjourned.

General Council Minutes
January 19, 2016

7:00 Roll

Present: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Carli Suba, Vince Moita, Sara Tobin, Sammie Acuna, Mike Khoury, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Ronnie Shou, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Pallavi Vishwanath, Matthew Friedman

Absent: Chris Ballard, Tomas Ditrych, Kristin Williams, Andrew Levad

7:02 Public Comment

Nick Lansdown: Internet problems have been raised with the Dean.

Monica Brock: Does ASUCH want a spare microwave?

Nick Lansdown: Yes. There will be a Town Hall tomorrow in the ARC from 3:30-4:30 to meet Dean Faigman.

7:04 E-Board Reports

IVP: Ryan Brodovsky

Elections are coming up.

EVP: Matt Huzeineh

They are getting 6@6's done. They have an internship through a 6@6 event coming up for a job in LA.

DIRCA: Sara Tobin

First BOB is next week and we will have 3 BOBs in a row. We are having one more BOB this semester than next semester. The extra is funded by alumnae on Feb 11. Sign ups are coming up.

Treasurer: Vince Moita

Budgets are due on the 29th at 11:50 pm. The finance committee will review the budget on the 31st. Tentative budget will be ready by the evening of the 31st to be approved on Feb 2nd. We have more money in the account than expected.

DIRCOM: Sammie Acuna

She just sent out the first Shark Byte. She will be sending out things on Sunday.

Secretary: Carli Suba

Resolutions are due on Saturdays at 7:00. ASUCH service hours are required again.

President: Nick Lansdown

Dodgeball tournament fundraiser is being organized this semester. There will be a nominal fee for participating teams. The winner will get a larger amount of the pot. This will happen either in February or March.

Matt Olhausen: How many per team?

Nick Lansdown: 6

Sara Tobin: We wanted 2 tournaments, maybe the one in February to benefit student orgs.

Vince Moita: We could do a table at an H3 to make shirts for the teams.

Nick Lansdown: This is just a fun fundraising event to raise money. Ryan will field questions as the point person.

7:11 Emergency Resolution

Elections Resolution Presented by: Ryan Brodovsky, Ronnie Shou, Keith Yetter

The Elections Committee met. According to the constitution, all 3L reps are part of the elections committee. Ronnie and Keith have been helping out a lot. They will be fielding questions on the resolution.

No Objections: Resolution will be heard.

Keith Yetter: They have been working on the elections guidelines.

Tom Russell: Is this all new or are there changes?

Keith Yetter: Section 2 has changed, the dates are changed, and it's never been in the regulations to make debates mandatory.

Nick Lansdown: IVP announces guidelines for election.

Sara Tobin: This is just guidelines for the upcoming election?

Keith Yetter: Yes.

Sara Tobin: Would like to strike Section 2. She understands the sentiment of not putting pressure on orgs to endorse someone, but disagrees with penalizing orgs who do.

Tom Russell: Seconded.

Ryan Brodovsky: Object.

Keith Yetter: The reasoning is 2 fold: transparency and community.

Ronnie Shou: This is also in line with what other UC campuses.

Keith Yetter: Transparency – The primary purpose is to hand out student fees to student orgs. There could be undue influence involved with orgs endorsing candidates. Community – Elections on campus can get personal and get very ugly. This is a way to eliminate some of the stress. This will prevent student orgs from being bombarded by candidates. This is the rule at Cal. There, any org receiving student funds could not endorse candidates. Individuals can endorse candidates, but not the orgs. They did look at other UCs and their bylaws regarding elections: Cal is the only one with an outright ban, but the majority of the others have complex regulations on reporting and transparency in order to achieve transparency goals. Hastings does not have the resources for that.

Ronnie Shou: Both she and Keith were on boards on orgs last year and it felt disingenuous for candidates to randomly e-mail them. The reason why they did it this way, is that they are stepping away from having orgs sponsor candidates, but if student leaders want to endorse, then they can personally endorse. They are not trying to restrict the freedom of speech to endorse candidates. They want to cut down on drama regarding elections.

Keith Yetter: Procedurally, they could limit the consequences to 1 semester. Or they could eliminate the consequences completely. There are other options rather than striking the whole thing.

Sara Tobin: She understands the rationale, but thinks that the consequences are too harsh. Penalizing the entire new e-board for an old e-board's endorsement is unfair.

Christian Urbano: Clarification – this is just orgs, not leaders banned.

Ryan Brodovksy: Clarification – there was discussion on having a meeting with student orgs and having this provision will be expressed to them. It will be known that leaders as individuals will be able to endorse and it won't be too restrictive. This way everyone is aware of the policy.

Tom Russell: Doesn't see the point in saying that leaders can endorse but orgs cannot. He doesn't think it's worth sacrificing orgs the ability to endorse who they want. If the purpose of ASUCH is to distribute funds, then they shouldn't take it away. It's arbitrary. It's a secret ballot, so it does not seem to have a profound impact. Nobody is holding anything above their heads. It's a transparency thing too.

Ronnie Shou: On the last point - that wasn't what she meant to articulate. If a student joins an org and decides to run for a position, then there will be bias towards that person because of her association with that org.

Sean Larson: Suggests that maybe instead of using funds as sanctions, then having it come to a vote in ASUCH.

Mike Khoury: Agrees with Tom. If an organization feels strongly about someone, they should be able to support a candidate publicly. There is transparency in budgets. He was a leader in multiple orgs and liked having candidates reaching out to him. He thinks it's a good thing.

Ronnie Shou: Understands as a student leader that it is nice to have candidates ask for endorsement. The leaders of the organization should not speak for the whole organization. The fact that student leaders can endorse puts the onus on candidates to do the legwork. They have to reach out to each person instead of sending out blanket e-mails. They have to be more engaged with the student constituency.

Vince Moita: Ran last year, and felt offended when there was an e-mail that went out that had endorsed others. He felt upset as Treasurer to fund orgs that did not endorse him. He did not let it affect his duties, but it was upsetting. This new rule will prevent this from happening in the future.

Matt Huzaineh: Agrees with Sara and Tom. It is up to the org on how they decide to endorse candidates. The current ASUCH members have an upper hand because they are affiliated to orgs specifically. Treasurer and IVP have connections with the organization. It's not fair to other candidates.

Keith Yetter: Isn't that what this rule is preventing? It levels the playing field.

Ronnie Shou: Assuming that Ryan isn't going to every general body meeting for the orgs. IVP is only dealing with a specific executive board. The leaders who have the most power and control in these orgs will no longer be able to choose who the orgs support. As a member of Ms. JD and believing that a certain candidate is best for IVP is no different from believing that as an individual. This is different from a blanket support from an org.

Matt Huzaineh: The fine and distinct line is too fine. They are telling them that they have to endorse a certain way or not.

Ronnie Shou: There can be disparity on how groups choose. They are eliminating the disparity and leveling the playing field. That is how a campaign should be run.

Matt Huzaineh: It stymies the orgs' ability to self-govern.

Keith Yetter: They want eliminate the unfair advantages. Because people have more visibility to student orgs, they will have an advantage. This regulation will eliminate that disparity.

Luis Beltran: Opposes this resolution. This makes people less responsive to the needs of the orgs. It takes power away from orgs to voice their support from a candidate.

Keith Yetter: Candidates still have the ability to go to the student org meetings and talk to them and this rule encourages them to do it. It incentivizes them to talk to all students, not just the student org leaders. It makes it more democratic.

Nick Lansdown: People who have spoken will be called on later.

Monica Brock: The sanctions are strong, but this is a great step of moving away from being a popularity contest. The e-mails last year of blanket support made her uncomfortable. This isn't preventing advocating for candidates. It is fair that student leaders are prevented from speaking for the entire org.

Sean Larson: Echoes Monica's sentiment. Makes candidates more beholden to individual students rather than group politics. There is enough "fuckery" in the process. Having it be catered to groups removes the sense of closeness to ASUCH and people don't take it seriously because they are removed from the process. Leaving it to the individual will make them closer to ASUCH.

Ronnie Shou: Describing how it works to the 1Ls – a candidate would e-mail student leaders and telling them about themselves and asking for endorsement. It is awkward. Nobody wanted to write an e-mail, and nobody liked receiving an e-mail. This resolution addresses the concern that Luis had by closing the option of contacting the president and getting endorsements that way. It opens up the democratic process and opens up face time between candidates and students.

Keith Yetter: Their orgs had the experience of the leadership being contacted for endorsement. This goes to the larger problem that there is no process. The other UCs have highly regulated processes. Things have to be filed with transparency.

Tom Russell: Student leaders can say no. That is their job as student leaders.

Matt Olhausen: Is there any way to mandate in-person advocacy for endorsements?

Keith Yetter: There's no way to enforce that. This eliminates the problem all together.

Matt Olhausen: Did they consider less stringent consequences?

Keith Yetter: They are open to changing it. Or they can take out the enforcement all together.

Vince Moita: They are focusing on what happens with people getting elected, but beyond the initial election process, when you have someone elected, they are probably going to vote along the lines of those who were endorsed. This takes away the ability for organizations to vote. Organizations are not people.

Keith Yetter: It is apparent that there are some orgs that are influential and received a lot of money and those that are not as influential and did not receive a lot of money. As we try to open up ASUCH to the entire body and make it more accessible, we need to try our best to eliminate that perception.

Leeja Patel: Endorsements in her day was only allocated if the majority of an org decided to endorse a candidate. You would have to run this by the general council. Does the UC law schools do this?

Keith Yetter: Does not know. The relationship between the law schools and the campuses are amorphous at best. Boalt is part of the graduate student union. There is no current standard on what constitutes earning an endorsement by an organization.

Tom Russell: Paragraph above Section 2. Who is the elections committee?

Keith Yetter: IVP chairs, but if the IVP decides to run for something, he/she must recuse his/herself. 3L reps are on the committee since they have no biases.

Jehmima Carril: Thinks that it should be opened up to more than the elections committee. Thinks that everyone has bias. Likes the idea that this should be a council vote.

Keith Yetter: This is appealable to the general council.

Jehmima Carril: She was referring to section 6.

Keith Yetter: This just reflects what is in the bylaws.

Ryan Porte: Doesn't understand why this is a current issue. Would like to know what went down last year.

Keith Yetter: There were certain orgs that endorsed certain candidates without ever hearing from other candidates from the same position. There were people from ASUCH sending out e-mails to the student body sending endorsements. It was an ugly 2-3 weeks. There was a lot of resentment on campus. It was even worse if you were a leader of a student org.

Ryan P:orte Could we be denying future candidates an experience in power politics?

Ronnie Shou: Argues that this would be more like real politics. That's why real politicians have to make face time and kiss babies. In terms of a student government election, it's not too much of a burden to have candidates to make the human connections and explain why they are the best candidate. No every org did this, but she does know that if a candidate asked for an endorsement, the issue on Palestine/Israeli issue.

Ryan Porte: That could have been a campaign misstep and a lesson.

Keith Yetter: Doesn't think that this is replicable in a grand scale. Has worked with someone in politics, and this is not politics. Would rather keep the focus here on education and friendships rather than who voted for who and how to get endorsements.

Nick Lansdown: Understands the sentiment. Has there been discussion on how to regulate endorsements by organizations?

Ryan Brodovsky: The bylaws provide restriction that allows for a year of ASUCH funds to be restricted.

Nick Lansdown: If we don't want to open ourselves up to this, we need to be forward thinking. Maybe endorsement training before allowing an org to give endorsements.

Keith Yetter: The funds are the enforcement mechanism. They can institute mandatory training and include procedures to endorse. There would not be an enforcement mechanism to that.

Jon Clark: Likes the idea of non-endorsement. Is it possible to do this in parts: passing part of it and tabling the rest.

Keith Yetter: The problem with waiting is that the election is coming up. It has to get passed quickly.

Jon Clark: Can we tell a group how to do procedures?

Ronnie Shou: Had this concern. Does not think that laying steps would be beneficial because there is no way to enforce it. Also, there is a problem of ASUCH overreaching into their orgs.

Nick Lansdown: IVP can set off mechanisms that all the orgs utilize. This will prevent student leaders from endorsing for the org. Every organization that falls under ASUCH would have to be in compliance to a set of regulations and organization cannot go rogue.

Keith Yetter: What is the punishment if they don't follow?

Nick Lansdown: It would have to be when the IVP meets with them in the fall. It would be a standardized training. We talk about organization autonomy, but we are imposing what we believe is the right solution to the problem.

Ronnie Shou: Curious to hear what people think would be good guidelines. There would be discrepancies in voting and participation between the orgs and getting the same mechanisms to work the same way for all the orgs.

Nick Lansdown: The IVP can give a link, and the org leaders can distribute it to the rest of the org.

Ronnie Shou: What happens if people don't vote?

Nick Lansdown: They had an opportunity to vote.

Ronnie Shou: So if 2 people wanted someone, then that would be the vote for 90 other members?

Luis Beltran: Does not think it is fair to have this vote without consulting their constituents.

Sammy Chang: Echoes Luis. Would like to move to table this.

Matt Huzaineh: Seconded.

Ryan Brodovsky: Object. There seems to be massive contention about number 2. There needs to be regulations set so that people who are not here at the meeting have a chance to know the regulations if they are going to run. More concerned with the other sections of the resolution. In the interest of other candidates who are not here, he urges that 7.5 and 7.6 and 3, 4, and 5 be passed today so that people have an equal opportunity to learn about running for ASUCH. Then General Council can discuss 2 at a later date.

Sammy Chang: Motion was just to table 2.

Ryan Brodovsky: Withdraws objection.

VOTE:

YAY: Nicholas Lansdown, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Sara Tobin, Sammie Acuna, Mike Khoury, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Ronnie Shou, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Samuel Chang,

Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Pallavi Vishwanath, Matthew Friedman

NAYS: 0

Abstentions: Lanie Wall, Ryan Brodovsky, Carli Suba

Section 2 will be tabled for the next meeting

VOTE on the rest of the resolution:

YAY: Nicholas Lansdown, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Sara Tobin, Sammie Acuna, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Ronnie Shou, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Pallavi Vishwanath, Matthew Friedman

NAY: 0

ABSTENTIONS: Mike Khoury, Jehmima Carill, Ryan Brodovsky, Gracie Wright, Sara Tobin, Ryan Porte, Sammy Chang, Carli Suba

RESOLUTION PASSES without Section 2

8:20 Adjourned

General Council Minutes
November 12, 2015

7:30 Roll

Present: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jehmima Carril, Sean Larson, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Gabrielle Lion, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych

Absent: Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Pallavi Vishwanath, Matthew Friedman, Samuel Chang (Left early)

7:31 Public Comment

Ryan Brodovsky: Thanks to the veterans!

Luis Beltran: Complaints regarding the internet. People are frustrated.

Nick Lansdown: This is a constant problem. IT is putting safeguards to preempt this. It is an old system. They are trying to make it better. He can find out more info from IT and relay the info

Leeja Patel: It would help to know when it was really bad.

Luis Beltran: It was on Tuesday. Someone posted on his Facebook page that they were trying to turn in their memo and it ended up being late.

Gabby Lion: Tuesday around 4-6.

Nick Lansdown: H3 will be November 20th, Friday. 3:30-5:30. Student Services wants to combine H3 with Thanksgiving giving: donations for those around the area who are low income. Pass this along to the people. Bring canned foods and drinks and donate.

7:35 Committee Reports

Student Health Advisory Committee (SHAC) – Carli Suba

SHAC will be voting on whether UCSHIP will be meningitis B vaccinations. This might slightly raise the cost of our health care.

Ryan Brodovsky: Is there a problem with meningitis here?

Carli Suba: No, but since UCSHIP is for all UC campuses that opt in, and this is a problem at other UCs, it is being considered.

Long Range Campus Plan (LRCP 3.0) – Vince Moita

There is a resolution coming up tonight. This will get plugged into the master document that will be sent to design firms for the new building

Scholarship and Admissions – Matt Olhausen

There will be a faculty meeting discussing the admissions and transfer policies. The proposal will go up in December. There can be a formal document sent to us. There are a lot of numbers being bounced around regarding class sizes, GPA, LSAT scores, etc. He will talk to Professor Weithorn about it. These things can affect other educational issues.

Nick Lansdown: We might be able to set up a town hall.

Matt Olhausen: He could just get a report by her with data to present at a meeting.

Educational Effectiveness Committee – Ryan Brodovsky and Sara Tobin

The project for next semester will be on oral skills. Looking for ideas on how to assess oral skills.

Curriculum Committee – Sammy Chang

They talked about various classes in IT. These will be approved. They are discussing EU taxation as a car and transaction law seminar.

7:40 E-Board Reports

IVP – Ryan Brodovsky

Working with Leeja to do a donation drive for H3. It will be competition style. It will be canned foods and clothing. Trying to bring good will to the people of the Tenderloin. Each org will pick what charity they want to donate to, and the winning org will get a prize.

EVP – Matt Huzaineh

Nothing much. There is a meet and greet tomorrow. It will be good. There will be 6@6s over winter break.

DIRCA – Sara Tobin

The last BOB will be next week.

DIRCOMM – Sammy Acuna

No Report

Secretary - Carli Suba

Everyone here has completed their service hours. Thanks to everyone. Everyone's involvement is what makes ASUCH successful.

President – Nick Lansdown

Meet and Greet, volunteers can come and mingle in the 2nd hour.

Matt Olhausen – What is the 2nd hour?

Nick Lansdown – It will be mingling with attorneys: drinking wine and having snacks. There will be beer as well. It will be fun.

Matt Olhausen – Less structured than the 1st hour?

Nick Lansdown– Yes. You can mingle with people that you couldn't in the first hour.

Treasurer – Vince

Nothing to Report

7:44 Financial Transparency at UC Hastings – Sammy Chang

Looking at the UC (the other 10 universities). They have all employees listed online. He looked up all the law professors and their salaries. Highest paid are football and basketball coaches. Sammy has lowered the budget of UCSD by \$500,000. He wants to find out what doesn't match up with finances. We need to know about our own finances so that we can be responsible to students who elected us. We need to know where our money is going to. If we don't know, there should be a public record of where our money is going.

UC Hastings does not have financial transparency that the UC system has. He contacted the governor's office and asked for the last 10 years of expenditures for Hastings. 4 years ago tuition jumped from about \$43,000 from \$19,000. Expenditures have increased over the years, according to the governor's office. Full time students #s have dropped. Total Revenue has dropped. We have a weird discrepancy. In 2012 what Hastings reported in tuition did not match up to our expenditures.

What was tuition used for? That's what he wants to find out. This is what ASUCH should find out. Students should know where our money is going.

State funding has dropped because of the recession. Tuition went up as state funding went down. When it was \$10,000,000 in state funding, our tuition was \$20,000. We are now back to the same expenditures. As a public institution, we shouldn't be happening. It doesn't make sense

that tuition went up that dramatically when state funding went back to the same number. Even accounting for inflation, we still have discrepancies.

First, we need to be fiscally accountable. We need to fill the gap to our own fiscal accountability before we can ask Hastings to do so. ASUCH is missing this, we don't have update where we are in the resolutions, aside from the minutes. There is no uniform process in resolution funding. There is no annual expense report. Resolutions are not filed from previous years. This could be important for ASUCH and we will never know. This should all be online.

How can ASUCH rise above this? He is proposing a resolutions regarding how to fund resolutions.

Why do this? Every person pays \$40 to ASUCH. Our fund gets smaller as less students are enrolled into the students. The monetary funds of ASUCH has not caught up to these numbers. We have less money to accommodate the same amount of requests. We need to fix this. We cannot spend money to accommodate everything and then go into debt.

UCSD's student government went into debt and they needed to be bailed out by the school. They then adopted a financial bylaw.

Matt Huzaineh: How long before we get answers?

Sammy Chang: He is going to work on it over winter break.

Laney Wall: Did he get the balance sheets from Hastings?

Sammy Chang: he got it from the governor.

Leeja Patel: Anyone can request money from fiscal and HR because we are a public institution.

Tom Russell: Did he account for scholarships?

Sammy Chang: He looked at the numbers from board meetings and \$12,000,000 was allocated to scholarships.

Mike Khoury: Thanks for doing this.

Sammy Chang: He had questions and he wanted to bring it to ASUCH

Ian Nicholson: What can we do to help?

Sammy Chang: What Vince and the finance committee will do is come up with a finance bylaw. For feedback, we can e-mail vince.

Vince Moita: This will help with time discussing funding. Then we can work on student governance without spending a third to half our time dealing with allocating money.

Matt Olahausen: Is this goal something that should be on the finance committee?

Sammy Chang: Finance committee is a good place to start, but we need to restructure our structure. We need to fix our structure to advocate for the students.

Sammy Chang: Moves to reorder to move the finance resolution to be heard first.

Vince Moita: Seconded.

NO OBJECTIONS

8:03 Financial Transparency Resolution

Mike Khoury: This is giving the finance committee the authority to come up with a bylaw.

Ryan Brodovsky: By passing this it will change the way we fund resolutions from this meeting on.

Sammy Chang: The new financial codes won't come to affect until next semester. But for today, we will match the budgeting guidelines for these resolutions. As a stop-gap measure, it would be good for the Treasurer to say what they would have gotten in the budgeting process.

Tom Russell: These are vague terms. What effectively is he trying to accomplish with the bylaw?

Sammy Chang: The main thing was that we were having various things. Transparency would be by having a uniform process on how Resolutions are dealt so people will know what our criteria is. There should be justification on why we give certain money.

Tom Russell: It would be like the initial budgeting process, but for resolutions.

Sammy Chang: It is like budget committee over as a General Council.

Vince Moita: This will give them the authority to write a code, not implement a code. The idea is to have guidelines. We give money arbitrarily and we want a system to eliminate discrepancies.

Laney Wall: It will incentivize people to come here with things that should be funded.

Ryan Brodovsky: Still has a problem with the first "So it be resolved" in regard for hosting an event. It is not reasonable to have 60 + students and many attorneys to only get \$100. It seems that orgs won't be able to get what they need, which may or may not be justified. He always found the resolution process allows some change with flexibility based on circumstances that can't be known to the finance committee in the beginning. He worries that applying it now would not be fair to the org that is bringing a resolution tonight when they submitted a resolution

before this change is supposed to happen. There is \$2,317 left in the resolution fund and they are only asking for about \$200. Moves to strike the first “so it be resolved” statement.

Jehmima Carril: Seconded.

NO OBJECTIONS – Amendment passes, with Ryan’s Strike Out.

Ryan Brodovsky: Move to approve.

Jehmima Carril: Seconded.

NO OBJECTIONS – RESOLUTION PASSES

8:12 Minutes from October 28 Approval

NO OBJECTIONS – Minutes Passes.

8:13 Resolutions

ACSEL Show me the Money/1L Summer – Author: Matthew Morretti

This was at the beginning of October. There was a panel talking about their 1L jobs. That had 60 people in attendance. Lee Steinberg, the inspiration for Jerry McGuire came and talked about his experience.

They did not file their budget on time at the beginning of the year. They feel pretty embarrassed about it, but they did not want that to hold them back from having a presence and events on campus.

They spent the money on pizza and cups.

Show Me the Money –

Tom Russell: How does this square with traditional budgeting.

Vince Moita: Was this in conjunction with the career office?

Matthew Morretti: No.

Vince Moita: This would be a large panel event. This would be \$100 through the traditional budgeting process.

Matt Olhausen: Found the 1L event to be useful.

Nick Lansdown: That’s the next resolution.

Matt Huzaineh: Moved to approve.

Matt Olahausen: Objection.

Sean Larson: Seconded.

Mike Khoury: There is a difference between what they are asking and what was spent.

Matthew Morretti: They are asking for \$148.

Mike Khoury: What are fundraising process?

Matthew Morretti: No fundraising.

Mike Khoury: Who put up the money?

Matthew Morretti: Individual students.

Luis Beltran: Wants to voice objection because they were late to budget process and they did not fundraise.

Matthew Morretti: They weren't being disrespectful to the process, but it was an honest mistake.

Laney Wall: Fundraising was not a consideration during the budgeting process.

Sean Larson: Has he been in communication with Vince?

Matthew Morretti: No. He doesn't believe so.

Matt Olhausen: This was a great event with a great attorney. We want to attract these types of events.

Sammy Acuna: He is asking for less than \$200 and we have funded for more in the past.

Tom Russell: How would this been funded in the budgeting process?

Vince Moita: We would have funded this event but not the other. Is of the opinion that if you get a famous person to come to campus and it did not cost \$500.

Sara Tobin: Echoes what Sammy and Vince. She rarely sees events with 60 or more students. Considering this is the last meeting, she supports to give what they are asking for.

Matt Olhausen: Friendly Amendment for \$148.

Mike Khoury: Call to question to end debate.

Tom Russell: Seconded.

YAY: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jehmima Carril, Sean Larson, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Gabrielle Lion, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych

NAY: 0

ABSTENTION: 0

DEBATE ENDED

Matthew Morretti chooses \$148.73.

VOTE:

AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jehmima Carril, Sean Larson, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Gabrielle Lion, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych

NAY: Luis Beltran

ABTENTIONS: 0

RESOLUTION PASSES → Resolution fund is at \$2,178.

What I did 1L Summer

Tom Russell: Call to Question.

Sammy Acuna: Seconded.

Tom Russell: Objection.

Tom Russell: Concedes.

Luis Beltran: Same as last time.

Jehmima Carril: Call to Question to end debate.

Matt Huzaineh: Seconded.

AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jehmima Carril, Sean Larson, Tom

Russell, Lucas Yeung, Gabrielle Lion, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych

DEBATE ENDED.

VOTE on Resolution:

AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Ian Nicholson, Jehmima Carril, Sean Larson, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Gabrielle Lion, Alane Wall, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych

NAY: Nicholas Raimondo and Luis Beltran

Abstention: Mike Khoury and Vince Moita

RESOLUTION PASSES – Resolution Fund at \$2,109.30.

Minutes Resolution – Carli Suba

Ian Nicholson: Move to Approve.

Jehmima Carril: Seconded.

No Objections. RESOLUTION PASSES.

Meet and Greet – Author: Matt Huzaineh

A lot of ASUCH worked orientation. Student Services is crediting ASUCH. If we don't spend this money by the end of the semester it is gone. Since we have the Meet and Greet tomorrow and their fundraising efforts were unsuccessful. They want to put that money towards the meet and greet. They are asking for \$300, not \$500. There are 30 attorneys that RSVPd and over 90 students attending. Student services donated a case of wine.

Nick Lansdown: The reason it says \$500 instead of \$300, is because when we were talking about keg tapping equipment the number 500 was bounced around.

Tom Russell: Friendly amendment to change it to \$300.

Matt Huzaineh: They spent over \$500. They would like to use the Student Services credit and have the remaining \$200 to come out of the resolution fund.

Mike Khoury: Wants to make clear that the rest will come out of the resolution fund.

Ryan Brodovsky: This event happened last year fully funded independently from ASUCH and student services. What happened?

Nick Lansdown: E-mailed firms 6 weeks ago and it was not enough time for them.

Ryan Brodovsky: If this does not go through, then there are \$0 for this event? This would be fully funded by Matt and Nick if they don't get funded.

Nick Lansdown: Everything is bought and they are waiting for attorneys.

Matt Olhausen: This was funded by law firms last year?

Ryan Brodovsky: Yes.

Sara Tobin: Why wasn't this budgeted at the beginning of the year?

Nick Lansdown: He thought it would get funded by firms. Apparently 6 weeks was not enough time for firms to dole out money.

Sara Tobin: Prefers asking all the money through the resolution fund and not through the credit. She wants the credited fund to be decided by the e-board because credit was allocated by Student Services with the understanding that it would be used for the greater good of all students. She wants to use the credited fund to go to providing breakfast to students during finals, so it could reach a broader range of students. Using it for the meet and greet would only serve a selection of students.

Matt Huzaineh: This is about fostering good will with Bay Area attorneys. This turns into potential donation and internships. Last year, a few were garnered from this. An e-mail was sent out the E-board. But nobody said anything.

Ryan Brodovsky: As the person who brought it up to determine it through the GC. The reason for this was because the General Council worked for this credit. We are talking about money that was our labor and it should be up to us to decide how it is allocated. He echoes Sara that the credited money should serve more students. This should be funded by the resolution

Matt Olhausen: What was the response from law firms for funding in the future?

Nick Lansdown: It was about timing. He should have asked 2 – 2 ½ months earlier.

Tom Russell: This goes to the end of the semester. We aren't losing this money out of the resolution fund. Why leave it sitting on the table?

Leeja Patel: It should have been used as close to orientation as possible. They get audited every year, this was marked as an orientation expense. It is easier for them if we use it sooner rather than later. If we want to use it later, we can but it is more difficult. She doesn't think the fund would go away, but Sara's suggestion is for this semester.

Jehmima Carril: Friendly amendment to take the whole amount from the general fund.

Laney Wall: Was Student Services led to believe that this money was going to be used for this event?

Leeja Patel: The E-board can decide how to use this money aside from alcohol.

Vince Moita: What is the difference between using it between the resolution and the credit? This seems like a tangible thing that we can use it and know where it is going. If we still have the credit, the GC won't be able to vote to where it goes in the future. It should go to this?

Tomas Ditrych: Thinks that we should take advantage of this as a networking event. Then we can save money for the general fund for next semester

Sean Larson: Procedure-wise would it be possible to create an emergency resolution to get coffee and bagels to serve all the students? If we can take both of these ideas and put them into action, then we should. There does not have to be a dichotomy.

Matt Olhausen: Are you going to vote on this and bring an emergency resolution for coffee and bagels?

Matt Huzaineh: We will bring coffee and bagels to the GC.

Sean Larson: This is coming from the resolution fund?

Matt Huzaineh: This will come out of the resolution fund.

Tom Russell: What is the difference?

Ryan Brodovsky: As Sammy's presentation stated, we want to know where this money is coming from. By having it come from the credit, we aren't obligating future ASUCH to do it again in the future.

Matt Olhausen: Move to vote this down, take Ryan's Resolution and leave coffee and bagels to E-board.

Matt Huzaineh: Accepts Jehmima's friendly amendment. \$500 out of Resolution fund

VOTE:

AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jehmima Carril, Sean Larson, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych

NAY: 0

Abstentions: Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Laney Wall

RESOLUTION PASSES – Resolution fund is at \$1,609

LRCP – Author: Vince

This document is supposed to reflect how students feel about current facilities and how they feel the future should be for this current building. The PDF has formatting issues. For the present, high priority: water bottle filling, campus safety, campus computers/computer room.

Nick Lansdown: There was a serendipitous that there was a computer room was asked for because a student e-mailed requesting that.

Vince Moita: Lower Priority: modernizing current facilities (make this building look nicer); the Gold Room should be modernized (especially this where we have OCI); moving health services to the new building or go to the back to preserve confidentiality.

Recommendation for the future: we want to have a community feel – this will be the focus. We don't have a campus core right now. We have the opportunity to create a campus feel.

Student study space - there are different preferences for rooms, carrels, and open space. It might be helpful to have at least one 24 hour study area.

Laney Wall: Sometimes having glass walls might be awkward when you're studying and people pass by.

Vince Moita: This could be opaque walls that would let in natural light rather than clear glass.

We should have appropriate number of plugs and comfy seating. We have a new shark tank idea: host at least 100 students at any given time; should be in a high traffic corridor (to be in the front) – this will make it more accessible

We would have more indoor outdoor components – Using roof space: this could be a garden or recreational space. Building is tall so we could see the Civic Center. Could have study space in there as well.

We could rent out the space to orgs or have a community commons.

Classrooms: Everyone likes J and K. People want natural light. There will be ample space, and plugs.

Office/Locker Space - Maybe have space to store suits and attire. Maybe have lockers to store other things, but make them look less like high school lockers.

Student Org Space - Next to the ASUCH office. Wants it to be open like the rec space. They can store banners. There can be a fridge to store food.

ASUCH office - It will probably be the same size as ours now. It will be close to the student org space.

Donor Wall - We have a rich history and we have no sense of that on campus right now. 2 things: creating a wall to recognize donors.

Art - Take down the art that we have, and memorialize our history as one of the oldest law school and have photos to tell that history.

Sara Tobin: Having the peace sign turned into a print to have that in the new building would be cool.

Sean Larson: Friendly Amendment – Section 2(a) highest priority: As the sole representative of Outlaw, they can work on being a welcoming space to transgender and non-conformity bathrooms. There are only 2 neutral bathrooms in the 198 and none in the 200 building. His amendment is in e-mail:

“Equally accessible space for unisex, single-stall, or other gender-neutral bathrooms

Gender-neutral bathrooms create private, individual spaces that are accessible to all people. Gender-neutral bathrooms are typically a single-stall, lockable bathroom available to people of all genders and sexes. They can also be multiple person bathrooms. Gender-neutral bathrooms provide a safe, private facility for transgender, genderqueer, and gender non-conforming people, families with children, and people with disabilities who may need assistance. Single-stall restrooms also more easily meet the accessibility regulations of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

People have begun working towards gaining equal accessibility to bathrooms on many college campuses. At some colleges students are advocating for the creation of gender-neutral restrooms in the buildings most frequented by students, faculty, and staff.

It is also important to note that many people are questioning their sexuality and gender identity earlier in life and coming out as lesbian, gay, bisexual, and/or transgender at younger ages. A University should realize that many potential students and faculty are looking for a campus which is proactively supportive of queer concerns. Transgender and allied people in particular want to know how their needs will be met in terms of comfortable bathroom options because it is a real concern in many day-to-day lives.”

Matt Olhausen: Also thinks that it is fantastic. Would like to add that LEOP committee noticed that student mothers had nowhere to breastfeeding and changing space.

Leeja Patel: There are wellness rooms.

Mike Khoury: What will happen to the LEOP space and Moot Court room?

Vince Moita: They want to preserve confidentiality for LEOP, so it won't be in the front.

Nick Lansdown: Moot Court will stay because it's part of 50 Hyde.

Vince Moita: 50 Hyde won't be taken down.

Ryan Brodovsky: Thanks Vince and Nick for a great resolution. Call to Question with Friendly Amendment.

Tom Russell: The light and air should have concrete percentages according to David Seward. Emphasizes that students want 50% of the window space. Wants more concrete numbers.

Laney Wall: Should we have a plan to reallocate the current Shark Tank space to students so we don't lose it.

Nick Lansdown: The Shark Tank won't be taken away until the new building is built.

Vince Moita: Shark Tank area will be redone. Not sure what will happen. Maybe moving the business center there.

Ryan Brodovsky: Move to approve friendly amendment.

Jehmima Carril: Seconded.

Vince Moita: Objections.

Ryan Brodovsky: Sean wrote a nice amendment and this will bring Hastings back to the forefront of innovation. Should add this to the resolution.

Vince Moita: The reason he says no is because when looking at the data, nobody mentioned it in the survey. But, conceptually agrees with it. In practice, we are working with limited space. We will either have only gender neutral or single sex bathrooms.

Sean Larson: All bathroom space should be equally accessible to everyone. This expresses priorities of welcoming all people. It shouldn't be about just what people put in the survey.

Mike Khoury: Agrees with Sean.

Tomas Ditrych: Would like to see the wording again.

Jehmima Carril: It is super important. We are building something that won't be changed for a long time. We need to consider the future.

Carli Suba: It does not have to be one way or the other. We might be able to have both types of bathrooms. Motion to Extend meeting for 15 minutes

Sara Tobin: Seconded

Gabby Lion: Objects

AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jehmima Carril, Sean Larson, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Gabrielle Lion, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych

NAY: Luis Beltran

ABSTENTION: Sammy Acuna

Motion to Extend Meeting Passes.

Sean Larson: A lot of people didn't fill out the surveys, so surveys aren't always the best reflection of how students feel. It may not be representative of future students.

Matt Olhausen: It's a good thing to consider.

Vince Moita: If this is in the same level of priority, it will dilute the significance of what we are saying. We should put this in lower priority. So that the things that are most important will be taken more seriously.

Mike Khoury: Thinks that this a serious matter. This is a building that will be around for years and years. This is San Francisco. We are at the heart of the LGBT community. This is high priority.

Ian Nicholson: Appreciates everyone's point. We will get sued if we don't have this. From a lawyer's perspective, we will be better doing this now than trying to fight a lawsuit.

Leeja Patel: We are bound by ADA and other laws.

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to end debate.

Tom Russell: Seconded.

AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jehmima Carril, Sean Larson, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Gabrielle Lion, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych

NAY: 0

Abstention: Vince Moita

DEBATE ENDS.

Vote on Amendment:

AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jehmima Carril, Sean Larson, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Gabrielle Lion, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych

NAY: 0

Abstention: Tomas Ditrych

AMENDMENT PASSES.

Vote on the Resolution:

AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jehmima Carril, Sean Larson, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Gabrielle Lion, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych

NAY: 0

Abstention: 0

RESOLUTION PASSES.

Seat Gen – Author: Ryan Brodovsky

Amendment: “that appear after selecting a seat” Everyone hates this process. We have to do it before class. We want to see who is in our class and who we want to sit next to. If we want to do it before class, it should have photos of students. It is a waste of time. Let’s not waste time.

Laney: Did they talk to Disabilities Resources?

Ryan Brodovsky: He can amend it to keep the first row open.

Sara Tobin: Before Seat Gen, Disability Resources Program would have a seat reserved for those students who needed them by the first day of classes.

Nick Lansdown: Point of Clarification: Student Services, the Academic Dean and the Dean are working on this. Faculty likes it.

Ryan Brodovsky: This is just showing that students want to change it.

No Objections, Resolution Passes.

Ryan Brodovsky: Move to table Next 2 Resolutions to hear emergency resolution first.

Sara Tobin: Seconded.

Vote to hear emergency resolution:

AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jehmima Carril, Sean Larson, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Gabrielle Lion, Alane Wall, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen,

NAY: Tomas Ditrych and Luis Beltran

Abstention: 0

Motion Passes

Emergency Resolution – Dinner ASUCH

Ryan Brodovsky: Sammy spent \$409 on dinner for us today. He requested it not come from the resolution fund. We are here not just to eat, but to help students. Would people want to help pay for dinner.

Matt Olhausen: Should we do this through Venmo?

Tomas Ditrych: Would he be offended to get that money back? Some cultures would be offended by getting money given back.

Matt Huzaineh: The important thing is to acknowledge that he did this.

Jehmima Carril: Maybe he wants to give a gift.

Ryan Brodovsky: This isn't asking for a dollar amount, just consider chipping in money. It doesn't contradict his act, and it is also us giving back.

Sara Tobin: He's a law student. \$400 is a lot when you're not working.

Tomas Ditrych: Maybe getting him a gift.

Ryan Brodovsky: That's all for this resolution. It is for consideration.

Ian Nicholson: Call to question.

Mike Khoury: Seconded.

No Objections, RESOLUTION PASSES

Amend Bylaws – Author: Ryan

Regulations state that the IVP needs to put forth election regulations in the 1st meeting. This happened because of the changes. Future IVPs might not be so lucky. The idea is let the IVP devote time to Halloween in the Tower and do election changes closer to the elections in March, in the first semester.

Sara Tobin: Move to Approve.

Carli Seconded: Seconded.

Tom: Objected.

Ian Nicholson: Move to Close Debate.

Mike Khoury: Seconded.

Aye: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jehmima Carril, Sean Larson, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Gabrielle Lion, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych

Nay: 0

Abstention: Tom Russell

DEBATE ENDS.

VOTE:

AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jehmima Carril, Sean Larson, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Gabrielle Lion, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych

NAY: 0

ABSTENTIONS: Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, and Matt Olhausen

RESOLUTION PASSES.

ASUCH Elections – Author: Ryan Brodovsky

One amendment: #3 should read presidential and it should include all E-board candidates.

By choosing to run, you consent to regulations. The debates would be uploaded for greater student viewership. This would also disallow endorsements from student orgs and ASUCH and to declare what student orgs they are a part of.

Matt Huzaineh: Organization should have a “z” in it. What are the repercussions if a candidate does this?

Ryan Brodovsky: Currently no enforcement provisions. It is a toothless regulation, but at the same time this will get published, and the goal is that students and orgs will agree to this. If people break the rules, maybe people won't vote for this.

Matt Huzaineh: This seems to censor candidates.

Matt Olhausen: Moves to table for next semester.

Ian Nicholson: Seconded.

No Objections. Motion Passes.

9:46 Adjourned

Carli Suba: Move to Adjourn

Sara Tobin: Seconded.

No Objections. Meeting Adjourned.

**General Council Meeting
October 28, 2015**

7:30 Roll Call

Present: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Samuel Chang, Ryan Port, Gabrielle Lion, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Thomas Ditrych

Absent: Sean Larson, Lucas Yeung

7:32 Public Comment

Ryan Brodovsky: Update on Amanda Hall's symposium -102 tickets sold; most have come from facebook. She spent the money on the Recorder's newsletter and had them put it in their morning, afternoon, and evening banners. Thanks everyone for how they voted.

Mike Khoury: Wanted to bring up the Tower thefts. The theft needs to stop.

Nick Lansdown: Administration has been working on it. They are thinking about hiring students to check out packages.

Matt Olhausen: Admissions/Scholarship Faculty Committee are thinking about changing transfer student policy. They want to move the due date up, then students can apply after one semester of grades. LEOP program is down. This is a concern.

Mike Khoury: What does it mean that people opted out of LEOP?

Matt Olhausen: The number of applicants were the same, but the amount of attendees are down.

Ryan Porte: Did the 1L class enhanced statistics push the LEOP down?

Matt Olhausen: It was probably independent. The last two years, there are a lot of merit based awards that are need based.

Nick Lansdown: Law school admissions has dropped in the last 3 years alone, generally. There is a decrease in JD, LLM and LEOP also.

Jehmima Carril: How many transfers do we have?

Matt Olhausen: 20 last year. They were down from 170 applications to 80 applications. Once they let students in after the first semester they would have to send in their second semester grades when they came up.

Keith Yetter: The decrease in LEOP leading to decrease in diversity – has the student body diversity population actually gone down?

Matt Olhausen: That was just what the LEOP director said.

Matt Huzaineh: We are at a deficiency of 12 spots total. That is the concern because that is a lot of money lost in tuition.

Laney Wall: Is the decrease due to other schools?

Matt Olhausen: Not sure. Going to try to find out where they are going , via facebook and other means.

Nick Lansdown: Commencement date has been set to May 15, 2016. There is one more GC meeting for this semester. There are 3 groups who have tried to reserve the sky room. This is a historic problem. Student leaders who have ability who can set dates and times to preempt confusion in the future. They are going to try to get this under control now.

Leeja Patel: This has been done in the last couple of years because groups try to have cultural grads and other things, but there are no spots left. If you want those dates, you have to look out for the calendaring meeting to know how to reserve the skyroom and other spaces.

Nick Lansdown: 3Ls. If you are having family come out, figure it out soon because it is the same day as Bay to Breakers. Plan ahead! We go through the first H3. We need to set the next date. Possible dates are 18, 19, 20 of November. Let's vote.

Tom Russell: Let's do Thursday to keep in line with BOB

Mike Khoury: Wednesday is the legal notes concert.

Leeja Patel: So Thursday.

Nick Lansdown: What do people want to see at the next one?

Sammy Chang: Maybe Thanksgiving stuff. It is the week before Thanksgiving.

Laney Wall: Terrarium garden.

Matt Huzaineh: Can food drive. Hand turkeys.

Ryan Porte: More faculty participation.

Leeja Patel: That is actually what students can help with by inviting them.

Ryan Brodovsky: Looking at the calendar. That is the same day as the last BOB. We shouldn't do that.

Leeja Patel: Maybe the 20th.

Nick Lansdwon: We will come to a decision about the date. He will send out an e-mail and have an online poll.

Ryan Porte: Please go to the hunting symposium.

Monica Brock: It's still not being whiteboarded.

Nick Lasndown: Please help out if you see that it's not on the board.

7:48 Representative Appointments - Pallavi Vishwanath and Matt Friedman

Pallavi Vishwanath: No Objections.

Matt Friedman: No Objections.

7:50 LRCP 3.0

Update: Drafting the resolution for the MK thinks proposal for the new building. Looking for people to join the committee to get a final document ready by Nov 12th. E-mail Vince. Vince has all the data.

7:52 E-board Reports

IVP – Ryan Brodovsky

Halloween in the Tower: Friday. Special shout out to his committee who have gotten donations for decorations and candy. There is participation by students and faculty. 63 people are passing out candy. 25 people are escorting students. KRANDY have been helping to instill community service vibe. Thanks to everyone who signed up. E-mail the IVP e-mail if you want to participate.

EVP – Matt Huzaineh

6@6 – It's going.

Meet and Greet- Nov 13. People should go.

Nick Lansdown: They are also reaching out to tech companies.

Matt Huzaineh: Sammie created the Logo

Tom Russell: Dress Code?

Matt Huzaineh: Business casual

Andrew Levad and Kristin Williams: They have an assortment of tech people in a tech panel coming next semester.

Jon Clark: Everyone last year went in a suit and tie.

Matt Huzaineh: They are telling professionals to come casually.

Nick Lansdown: Always tells people to dress up and then if people feel uncomfortable dressed up, they can then take off their suit and jacket.

Leeja Patel: Employers were happy last year. Think of it less as a networking event and more of a way of meeting a lot of different attorneys.

Andrew Levad: Where is it?

Matt Huzaineh: LBM:

DirCA: Sara Tobin

Barrister's was on Friday: It was great. Not a whole lot of bad things happened. Made \$7,861 in profit. We will put 25% back in the pot now. Vince will tell you more about that amount. The rest we are putting into the spring semester. Shout out to her committee. Special shoutout to Luis who worked the door for a long time

BOB: Tomorrow and one more for the rest of the semester

Treasurer – Vince Moita

Resolutions: WE have \$3,026. Orgs have not been seeking their reimbursements. We will probably be seeing more of it go this semester. We have been going under budget with BOB so we might have extra \$ for

Secretary – Carli Suba

Service hours are due by next GC meeting.

Sammie Acuna: She can give service hours for helping to advertise

Nick Lansdown: You can get service hours white boarding, Halloween in the Tower, H3, LRCP 3.0

Sara Tobin: Just talk to an e-board member.

8:06 Minutes from Oct 14

Ryan Brodovsky: Page 4 – Resolution 8:16 it requires $\frac{3}{4}$ vote...but it actually requires majority

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to approve

Christian Urbano: Seconded

No Objections – Minutes from October 14th Passes

8:07 Resolutions

LLM Hangout Event – Author: Nick Lansdown

LLMs did not get the same fund that 1L sections got. This is to recognize that LLMs do pay the student activity fee and resolution, and this is a chance to get them in on the budget.

Jon Clark: Does this include exchange students?

Nick Lansdown: Good point

Tomas Ditrych: Exchange students don't really have any people in ASUCH

Nick Lansdown: They are only here one semester and they don't pay tuition.

Tomas Ditrych: Regarding MSL students, can we change it?
Pallavi Vishwanath: There is only 2 full time.
Leeja Patel: They can attend any of these events
Tomas Ditrych: They will invite the MSL and Exchange students.
Sammy Chang: Moves to approve
Andrew Levad: Seconded
No Objections. Resolution Passes.

HFWS – Author: Sherry Truong

Co-hosting Ms JD's speed networking even this year. It was very successful last year. A lot of the attorneys have become great mentors. This is an important event to have on campus. They are co-sponsoring to alleviate some of the financial burden on them. They are a new club, so they are trying to fundraise as much as they can to put on a great event. Due to administration delays to set up tax deductible donations, some of the donations have died off. They will probably be more generous in the future. They are asking ASUCH to help them get additional food and wine. They have already raised a substantial amount.

Sammy Chang: Question to Vince – WHEREAS asked for \$0 on their part in this event...what does this mean?

Vince Moita: Not sure what this means.

Ryan Brodovsky (treasurer of HFWS): This is a career event capped at \$100 plus 25 if you are able to work with the Career Center. They worked with Ms. JD to get the total # of attendees to get the maximum group. Worked with AnnaBrooke to get the Career Center in on it. They could have asked for a split with \$450, but that would have put a strain on Ms JD. This is aimed to show that HFWS was trying to play ball collaborating with other orgs.

Vince Moita: It sounds like this event is double dipping with funds.

Ryan Brodovsky: They are following the resolution process. Due to administrative issues, they were not able to get started when they tried way back in August.

Sherry Truong: It took a while, and by that time it was a bit late. There is a sense of urgency to get this covered in our event.

Vince Moita: Looking to have about 40 people.

Sherry Truong: They are trying to get their membership to go as well.

Ryan Brodovsky: That is without any advertising efforts yet.

Vince Moita: Partnering with the Career Center, they get \$25. At the current number of attendants would be funded the \$100. Total would be \$125.

Jon Clark: Did Ms. JD already get the money?

Nick Landsdown: Resolution process is different from budget allocation. They are looking for additional funds.

Matt Huzaineh: Proposes amendment to omit that provision

Keith Yetter: Seconded

Tom Russell: Objection. Is this to approve the amendment? Objection withdrew

Sammy Chang: Point of Order. Amendment would already be on the table.

Matt Huzaineh: Move to Approve

Christian Urbano: Seconded

Jon Clark: Objected.

Opened up to Debate

Matt Huzaineh: It's only \$100 to bring in professionals. He feels comfortable

Jon Clark: Just wants to know with co-sponsorship. Did Ms JD get less money? Are we giving more money because it is a second org.

Vince Moita: We allocated Ms JD \$125 for this event. Does the 40 people in addition to what they proposed?

Sherry Truong: This is the same event.

Sara Tobin: The 40 people are students?

Sherry Truong: Yeah, they are just students.

Sara Tobin: So more people are going to be there.

Ryan Brodovsky: ASUCH wants orgs together to do good events and bring in attorneys. \$125 is not enough to get anything of substance. Resolutions are the backup in funding. There hasn't even been a marketing push. Expecting at least 60 people. This will be a great career event that will be bringing in a lot of attorneys. This will help get people jobs. This is an event to open to men and women. This is what the resolution process is for.

Matt Huzaineh: 40 people are coming. Ms. JD said 60-70. If 80 people show up would you come back and ask for more money later.

Sherry Truong: No. We just need the money now. She is willing to pocket the costs, but she does not want to. This has happened in the past.

Mike Khoury: How does the reimbursement happen?

Vince Moita: They spend the money, get the receipts, and they put in the SIC folder and get reimbursed

Ryan Porte: Supports what Ryan said; supports what this event is; this is a different event than the other speed networking thing; the idea of having co-sponsored event is so that groups can pull their resources; which should include this type of fundraising.

Ronnie Shou: For those worried about attendance, she was an organizer last year and they were able to get in at least 30 students. This year is getting more street credit. She does think that there will be more people at the event than those who have RSVPed. They will probably reach a demographic that they didn't reach last year because of the collaboration with the Food and Wine Society.

Jehmima Carril: Fears that people will use this same route. But they are being transparent about it. We can vote on these things event by event.

Tomas Ditrych: What is budgeting for? Does that mean that people can come later and ask for more money during the resolution process?

Vince Moita: Yes, resolutions are the backstop. Budgeting is to give out funding in an equal way. However, this is why we have the resolution process.

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to end debate.

Kristin Williams: Seconded.

Jehmima Carril: Object

VOTE to end debate

AYE: : Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Jonathan Clark, Monica Brock, Ryan Port, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Matthew Olhausen, Thomas Ditrych, Matt Friedman, Pallavi Vishwanath

NAY: Jehmima, Christian Urbano, Tom Russell, Luis Beltran, Sammy Chang, Gabby Lion, Nicholas Raimondo, Vince Moita, Carli Suba

MOTION PASSES

VOTE on the Resolution:

AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Jonathan Clark, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Christian Urbano, Samuel Chang, Ryan Port, Gabrielle Lion, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Thomas Ditrych, Matt Friedman, Pallavi Vishwanath

NAY: Tom, Vince

Abstentions: 0

Resolution passes → Resolution fund @ \$2,826

HISA – Author: Phillipe Bau Nader

Did not participate in the traditional budgeting process because they are new students. This org is to support the LLM students and their needs and concerns with Hastings. They will be changing bylaws and policies. Asking for \$607. They are divided into events. So the budget is actually not that big considering they did not participate in the budgeting process. They want to have a bigger presence on campus. They are in negotiations with the LLM Bar Prep program. They will probably sponsor them next semester.

Christian Urbano: Where are these numbers coming from?

Phillippe Bau Nader: They are asking to help them launch this organization. This is an exception.

Mike Khoury: How many members does this have?

Phillippe Bau Nader: 28 LLMS and 18 Exchange students. If they get a good start this year, they want to involve JDs. Mixing with the JDs could be mutually beneficial.

Ryan Porte: As a new student org, can they get an estimate as to how much had they gone through the traditional budget process?

Vince Moita: \$40 for social event and \$10 for first meeting → event 1; We would not have funded the second social event (we only fund one social even per semester) → event 2; Same for third event → event 3

Phillippe Bau Nader: They are new, so they don't have funds yet. They want to be more visible.

Ryan Brodovsky: They also need a banner, which would give them \$40.

Tomas Ditrych: This is a very specific situation because LLM students are only here for a year so there is no overlap between the different boards. It takes them time to get started. We might want to support them differently from other organizations.

Matt Huzaineh: Clarification for the first event because the numbers aren't adding up.

Ryan Brodovsky: Echoing what Tomas was saying. This is a great thing for Hastings to be involved in the international scene. They bring in a lot of different perspectives that we benefit from as JDs. Based on the way we do our budgeting system, they are incredibly disadvantaged. But they are working together to work on bylaws and protocols so that this doesn't happen in the future. They are incredibly underrepresented. Do you plan on participating on the budgeting process in the spring.

Phillippe Bau Nader: Yes.

Ryan Brodovsky: We have \$2,820 and we only have one meeting left.

Sara Tobin: Echoes Ryan and Tomas. It literally pays to come and ask for money before the last meeting

Luis Beltran: Friendly amendment of \$250

Laney Wall: The way the budget allocation process works has an element of have we funded this in the past. The fact that these students come new every year, what is going to stop them from asking for the same next year. How do we know that the next Budgeting Committee won't see this and try to fund them the same way next year.

Ryan Porte: What they would have funded them this year, and funding them at a 2 year level: \$180

Vince Moita: There are 3 social events, plus a banner. There are a lot of orgs that weren't funded through the budgeting process. It is hard to say yes now, when he said no previously.

Keith Yetter: How much are they asking for?

Nick Lansdown: \$607

Ian Nicholson: Is there any way to put a special consideration note so that in the future they can see this in the future.

Sammy Chang: Any administration after this are not bound by what we decide

Jon Clark: They don't have the same funding sources and resources that the JDs have. There should be a certain allowance. They can work on a way to fix that later.

Carli Suba: Wants to support them. We can show our support for them as a school by helping to financially support them.

Philippe Bau Nader: This will not be the same next year because they will try to build networking this year. This will not be a precedent.

Pallavi Vishwanath: Even though there are designated social events, but a lot of students want to take the BAR and stay here. They want to try to figure out how to get integrated, and this will help them get established in the community.

Matt Huzaineh: It's 3 events in less than one month.

Matt Olhausen: How many people will be going to the events?

Phillippe Bau Nader: 25-40 including professions.

Sammy Chang: Making a note on the actual resolution

Leeja Patel: There seems to be an ongoing problem this semester with resolutions. Suggests that the E-board and looks at the resolution and budget process to change the rules because we are getting caught up in the merits. We are all talking about very different things. The school always end up in debt and the school has to bail us out. This has come up in every resolution.

Mike Khoury: 3 events in one month. Friendly amendment to \$400

Ryan Brodovsky: Friendly Amendment for \$500. That was the exact number we gave to HELA. It's not fair to penalize them for the shortcomings of the E-board.

Vince Moita: We get the money from the student activity fee, \$40 each semester. That money is distributed between all the orgs. We can't give each org \$500. This will open the flood gates. It's too much.

Laney Wall: As part of the finance committee they were really strict. Orgs that were rejected were very organized and detailed. There were limits in order to keep themselves in the black.

Agrees with Vince. \$180 is a good start.

Mike Khoury: Motion to end debate

Andrew Levad: Seconded

Aye: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Carli Suba, Vince Moita, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason

Morales, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Jonathan Clark, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Christian Urbano, Samuel Chang, Ryan Port, Gabrielle Lion, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Thomas Ditrych, Matt Friedman, Pallavi Vishwanath

Nay: 0

Abstention: Tom Russell

Philippe take Friendly Amendment for \$400

VOTE FOR RESOLUTION

AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Chris Ballard, Jonathan Clark, Jehmima Carril, Grace Wright, Matthew Olhausen, Thomas Ditrych, Matt Friedman, Pallavi Vishwanath

NAY: Ronnie Shou, Keith Yetter, Laney Wall, Christian Urbano, Tom Russell, Luis Beltran, Sammy Chang, Matt Huzaineh, Vince Moita

Abstentions: Monica Brock, Ryan Porte, Gabby Lion

RESOLUTION PASSES → Resolution fund @ \$2,426

ASUCH General Council Paper Goods – Author: Sara Tobin

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to call to question

Matt Huzaineh: Seconded

No Objections, RESOLUTION PASSES

ASUCH Barrister’s Expenses – Author: Sara Tobin

There was money spent on promotional materials for Barrister’s.

Matt Huzaineh: Move to Call to Question

Sammy Chang: Seconded

No Objections, RESOLUTION PASSES

Emergency Resolution

Sammy Chang: Moves to Hear

Christian Urbano: Seconded

Resolution Will be Heard

Matt Huzaineh: Moved to approve

Sammy Chang: Seconded

Ryan Porte: Objection

Matt Huzaineh: Yields talking

Ryan Porte: Has a problem sending a resolution without an actual solution. Then we are not doing our job.

Ryan Brodovsky: Maybe to say that ASUCH requests that administration and Tower staff to implement a different more secure method of receiving packages mailed to Tower residents, such as putting in a security camera or hiring a student to sit there to check out packages.

Ryan Porte: We need due diligence. We need to come up with several potentials and do a cost-benefit analysis.

Luis Beltran: Having someone sit there for check out would restrict when students could pick up packages.

Sara Tobin: Understands where Ryan P is coming from. Still thinks needs to pass a resolution to send the message that it is on the students minds and is important to students.

Jon Clark: Agrees that getting together is important, but as Sara was saying, this is more about saying that we are worried about this as students. We want to makes sure that they are aware how big a problem it is.

Mike Khoury: There is a simple solution. Either having the security or Pansy and have them monitor the pakcages

Keith Yetter: They used to deliver packages. It eliminated the problem. That is a simple solution that has been implemented in the past. If we are looking for a tangible solution, then we can add that. There is someone in the community committing crimes against other members of the community.

Ronnie Shou: Amendment – this has been going on since the summer. There has been multiple reports to Pansy and she has always responded saying that it is not her job. Proposes adding a WHEREAS clause to get a committee to address this. Then students, administrators, and police to work together to prevent this in the future.

Ryan Brodovsky: Change it so it be resolved that.

Motion: To change to So it be resolved that, ASUCH, staff, and administration set up a committee to further discuss this.

Ryan Brodovsky: Call to Question

Jon Clark: Seconded

No Objections – RESOLUTION PASSES WITH AMENDMENT.

9: 26 Adjourned

Ryan Brodovsky: Move to adjourn

Matt Huzaineh: Seconded

No Objections Adjourned

**General Council Meeting
October 14, 2015**

7:30 Roll

Present: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Chris Ballard, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano,

Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Yeung, Samuel Chang, Ryan Port, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Thomas Ditych

Absent: Ronnie Shou, Grace Wright, Gabrielle Lion

7:32 Public Comment

Fairuz Abdullah– Events

Friday: How to survive financially as a public service attorney
1:00 Room F

21st 3:30-4:30 PIPS Student Panel

5-6 in Room B, Lawyers for America

Sara Tobin and Ryan Brodovsky – Educational Effectiveness Committee

- 1st meeting with the faculty members last Wednesday
- Voted on what the committee will take on this year
 - The focus is: Vote 4-2 they voted for Oral Communication
- Purpose is to pick an academic area and assess learning objectives, see how students are learning, if student goals are being met
- The faculty that is on it is only on it for a year
- Deadline for WASC accreditation report is coming up
 - Evaluates all schools
 - 2 areas they were looking into
 - Analyzing if they were achieving goals for research and teaching students how to research (tied to writing)
 - This would have been a longer project to take on
 - Oral skills and communication: How well you can present an argument or yourself
 - Lawyers spend 90% of their time communicating
- There is a writing committee that they are looking into
- Next meeting is next Wednesday
 - They will discuss how to implement assessing writing
- Program Learning Objectives
 - They will let us know what we are supposed to be learning

Andrew Levad – Day at Law School

- October 24th
- They are bringing in kids from around the Bay Area to learn about law school
- They are putting on sketches → they need volunteers to help out
- Pro Bono hours are for volunteering

Leeja Patel –

- Travel Funding for student leaders and orgs to go to conferences

- You can find out information on the web page
- Working with Youth or Community in the Tenderloin, there are funds for it (federally mandated)
 - E-mail or talk to her afterwards
 - patelleeja@uch
- H3, Hastings Happy Hour – Thursday 4-6
 - Mixer in the Dining Commons
 - There will be food and drink
 - Pumpkin carving, arts and crafts
 - Faculty are participating

7:44 Presentation of Robert’s Rules by Sammy Chang

Available by E-mail from Nick Lansdown

7:58 E-board Reports

IVP – Ryan Brodovsky

- Halloween in the Tower
 - Less than 3 weeks away
 - Over 50 people signed up to volunteer; over 30 passing out candy
 - Looks like there will be more kids this year than last year
 - There are barrels for candy donations
 - This has been happening for at least 21 years, it is a Hastings Tradition
 - You can get up to 4 pro bono hours

Amanda Hall: Can people make homemade treats?

Ryan Brodovsky: We would have to look into it because people are weirded out by non-pre-packaged foods. He will look into it. We would need approval from the organizations to ensure that is OK. Individually wrapped candies are more convenient and easy

Leeja Patel: Schools don’t allow unwrapped candies due to allergies. It’s not a Hastings policy, it is just a school in general policy.

Laney: There are special treats made for those who have dietary restrictions.

EVP – Matt Huzaineh

- There is a 6@6 at a Warriors game with Hanson Brigitte
 - This is great for employment law

DIRCA – Sara Tobin

- Barrister’s is a week from Friday
- Sold half of the tickets
- Tomorrow, volunteers will be tabling from 10:30-2:00
 - There will be AirHeads

- This will be promotion to get people to buy tickets
- Photographer, Jim Block will be taking photos:
 - Photo booth
 - Roaming photos
- Flyer is going up on the facebook page
 - It will also go up on the TV in the 200 building
- There was a raffle and a 1L won – Flor from student services pulled it
 - There is one more raffle for a pair of tickets
 - Money goes to the resolution fund

DIRCOMM – Sammie Acuna

- Renaming ASUCH Weekly to Shark Byte
 - We are doing it to differentiate ourselves from the Weekly
- Working with IT to change the name of the e-mail
- Designed the H3 event

Treasurer – Vince Moita

- Resolution fund we have \$1,590.75
- Barrister’s Ball #'s will be updated at the next GC meeting

Secretary – Carli Suba

- Service Hours
- Committee Reports will be coming soon

President – Nick Lansdown

- 1L reps get \$100 for each section to do what they want

Sara Tobin: Last year the 1L reps combined funds and threw a party in the sky room and it was fun. You can pool your funds together.

Nick Lansdown: If you need help reserve a room, we are here to help

Leeja Patel: If you do combine, it is highly likely get additional funding

Ryan Brodovsky: You can spend the money on alcohol.

Tomas Dytrich: Does LLM students have funds?

Nick Lansdown: He will put in a resolution for it this year.

Sara Tobin: Maybe it can go in the budget for next semester.

- David Seward will host the ASUCH General Council at Soluna for happy hour and mix it with UCSF grad student delegation who are here to tour our campus

Vince Moita: The goal is redevelop the 198 building into student housing that we will share with UCSF students

- GC meeting on Veteran’s Day is cancelled
 - November 18th might have to be a makeup meeting
- H3 – Whiteboarding
 - People need to know about this event so that it will be highly attended

8:16 Resolutions

Bylaw Amendments – Author: Nicholas Lansdown

Nick Lansdown: Before these amendments there were no options for automatic removal. WE had to post to the student body to report automatic removal. If these don't pass today, then we will keep the other bylaws

Ryan Brodovsky: Is this retroactive?

Nick Lansdown: It is not retroactive. It is for the future

Tom Russell: Administrative removal is by GC?

Nick Lansdown: It is by the e-board.

Ryan Brodovsky: Read it as the e-board votes to reinstate the representative.

Nick Lansdown: It requires a majority vote of the executive board

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to call.

Matt Huzaineh: Seconded

VOTE: No objections. Resolution passes

8:20 Emergency Resolutions

Nick: These need to be voted on to be heard.

Bylaw Amendments

Ryan Brodovsky : Motion

Matt Huzaineh: Objection

Nick Lansdown: This is to hear this resolution

Matt Huzaineh: Withdraws objection

Vote: Passes

Author: Carli Suba

Ryan Brodovsky: The bylaws currently put the Secretary in a difficult position. We have 48 hours to turn in a resolution. At the exact same time the Secretary must submit to GC and student body those resolutions. These aren't possible. Last minute resolutions happen often. It is impossible to get the resolutions and turn them over at the same time. We discussed this over the summer. The idea was that Shark Byte comes out 48 hours before the meeting. We need to give time for Secretary to compile the resolution in order to submit the collected resolutions.

Matt Huzaineh: Right now there is 48 hours before the meeting right now as the deadline. The wording is 2 days right now to get out the Resolutions.

Ryan Brodovsky: That is up to interpretation and that is still unreasonable as events are going to start coming up and people are going to start asking for more money. At the last meeting last year, there were 12 resolutions in addition to 4 emergency resolutions. We want to be open and accountable and we want to be clear. Changing it now will make it less ambiguous.

Kristin Williams: The purpose of ASUCH is to provide funding to student orgs, if we want to do this to help secretary and the orgs, we should shorten the deadline for the secretary to send out

the resolutions. We don't want this to be an impediment to have access to those funds. The number of people who read the Shark Byte are not many. The people who are interested will see it the day before.

Carli Suba: Since we are using the Shark Byte to send out the resolutions on Monday, it is difficult to have the resolution deadline the same day. Also, if the orgs miss the Sunday deadline, they can still bring up their resolutions as emergency resolutions, which the General Council usually hears. The burden is not that much for organizations.

Sara Tobin: As an e-board we did decide that we were going to send out ASUCH information through the Shark Byte. I don't know if we should send out the Shark byte on a different day. That opens up another discussion

Jehmima Carrill: Unless something is super time sensitive they can bring it up at the next meeting

Ryan Porte: Is 72 hours enough time?

Carli Suba: I thought that it was going to be 72 hours since the start of the semester so I have planned my schedule in anticipation of that. 72 hours should be fine.

Tom Russell: The issue, the 48 hours is not enough based on when the Shark Byte goes out?

Ryan Brodovsky: No, the 48 hours requires that people submit the resolution 48 hours before and it also requires that the population to receive the resolutions at the same time. We have made it so that it goes out in the Shark Byte, but that aside, it is about the reasonableness of time

Sammy Chang: Moved to vote.

Monica Brock: Seconded

Nick Lansdown: Motion on the table. Are there objections.

Matt Huzaineh: Object

Sammy Chang: We should defer to the secretary because the secretary understands the job and would understand how future secretaries would need it. This is reasonable. Future administrations can change it. We shouldn't argue about technical things. We should give it a chance and if it doesn't work out, we can change it.

Matt Huzaineh: Wants to hear from President

Nick Lansdown: Remembers talking about it, but doesn't remember what we came to. He is depending on the knowledge of the General Council. He understands the Secretary's need to get this in, but he couldn't imagine that the current way would give the Secretary a lot of time. The student body's perspective is that they want funds dispersed so that their needs are met. Having it earlier may restrict the orgs needs. He is on the fence. Deferring to the General Council

Jon Clark: Doesn't understand how this impedes the orgs. It's not like they don't know they need money.

Nick Lansdown: Orgs know that they need to go through the resolution process, but we are all law students with things going on. It's a procrastination thing going on. Understands that he doesn't see the need.

Sara Tobin: Regarding procrastination, we shouldn't be sympathetic. Doesn't expect people to be sympathetic to her when she procrastination. Student orgs are informed about funding at the Student Leadership Conference. There is the emergency resolution process.

Monica Brock: Agrees with Sara. If is an emergency, that is what the emergency resolution process is for.

Kristin Williams: As a rep last year, people would come in asking for money because they can't bear the burden right away. There shouldn't be procrastination punishment. We shouldn't make the bylaws to accommodate people in this room, it should be for the general student body.

Ryan Brodovsky: 2 points- 1) We are looking at this in a narrow point of view, but a resolution can be brought prior to an event, it can be brought multiple times. There is no rules about how many times a resolution can be brought or when. Agrees that we shouldn't punish, we should be supportive. We also need to be reasonable to each other. We need to provide a working framework for everyone to get their jobs done.

Vince Moita: The resolution process is not the only mechanism for funding. There was a chance with the budget request process. The resolution was for when we don't have enough funds to fund everyone's request or if their events don't meet the requirements of the budget allocation process. We are still for helping students when they don't get enough funds. Doesn't see this as unfair.

Nick Lansdown: Any other people who want to sepaK?

Sammie Acuna: The only concern that she has is an abuse of resolutions for the irresponsible orgs, but doesn't see it as a big problem. Is there a limit to emergency resolutions?

Nick Lansdown: No.

Sammie Acuna: Is suppose if an org turns in an emergency resolution they wouldn't want to do it again

Tom Russell: Why have it at 72 hours instead of noon on Monday? Then it gives orgs time and gives Secretary time.

Sara Tobin: Doesn't like it to be vague because it means arbitrary enforcement.

Ryan Brodovsky: This is a primary amendment.

Nick Lansdown: No he makes the amendment and it needs to be seconded

Tom Russell: I amend to change to 2 days before at noon, and the 2 days before to 11:59

Matt Huzaineh: Seconded

Ryan Brodovsky: The amendment is at 11:59 for Secretary to publish and 2 days before at noon for resolutions to be submitted to Secretary.

Nick Lansdown: Tom can explain

Tom Russell: It gives a 12 hour gap for Secretary and give orgs.

Matt Huzaineh: People in student body don't want to get together on Saturday and Sunday and they can come out in the morning on the Monday. Orgs might feel like they might not be heard as an emergency resolution. It won't look good

Vince Moita: Motion to cap

Kristin Williams: Seconded

Nick Lansdown: Motion on the table

VOTE:

Nay: Jon

Jon Clark: What does Carli think about it?

Carli Suba: I like it the way I originally wrote it.

Keith Yetter: Thinks the 72 hours was fine. These were events planned ahead of time or they are being reimbursed after the fact. We are all adults here. Do it on a Friday and get it done. 72 hours and 48 hours are fine. It is important that the information gets out on the Shark Byte so that all the information is going out in one spot so that people are not inundated with e-mails. Opposes Tom's amendment.

Nick Lansdown: Vote on the Amendment. Noon on Monday and 11:59

VOTE:

YAY: Andrew Levad, Kristin Williams, Tom Russell, Matt Huzaineh

NAY: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Samuel Chang, Ryan Port, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Thomas Ditrych

ABSTENTIONS: Mike Khoury, Chris Ballard, Matt Olhausen, Lucas Yeung

Amendment does not pass

Nick Lansdown: Vote on the resolution itself – 72 hours to turn in resolutions (the original resolution)

VOTE:

AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Chris Ballard, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Samuel Chang, Ryan Port, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Thomas Ditrych

NAY: Andrew Levad, Kristin Williams, Matt Huzaineh

Abstentions: Lucas Yeung, Tom Russell, Sean Larson

RESOLUTION PASSES

HELA (North by Northwest Journal) – Amanda Hall

Amanda Hall: She is one of the people who turn in resolutions late, and it is not because she is procrastinating but because she is busy. She is going to have a committee. She needs money and needs the resolution heard before ASUCH. She was here in September asking for budget to be heard, and they received \$90.

Nick Lansdown: Forgot the GC to vote to hear the resolution.

Sammy Chang: Motion to hear

Ryan Brodovsky: Seconded

No objections, Resolution will be heard.

Amanda Hall: She is doing everything as the entire e-board. She really believes in environmental law. There is a symposium, there are a lot of great speakers coming. This will be about gaming. For someone who is not a hunter, getting access to these people was very difficult. She wants to make sure the event is a success. She wants \$750. It is open to all the law schools. There will be a debate. There will be 5 different panels. There will also be an alum there. There are groups in Texas that imports animals from Africa and hunt them. Money will go to food and making this a success.

Mike Khoury: What has she done to fundraise?

Amanda Hall: North by Northwest has not been keeping track of prior board members. An email went out. SF Zoo is the biggest donor. Other donors have donated about \$100. She can't

find donors. She will reach out to our Boards of Trustees, Governors, and Directors. ALDF will donate \$1,500 spent on food to be reimbursed.

Vince Moita: This event was originally denied funding because they weren't sure what was going to happen.

Amanda Hall: there are new members on HELA.

Vince Moita: How are you going to get new members?

Amanda Hall: It could be commercialized on the radio.

Vince Moita: This money is supposed to go to Hastings students. Concern is that this money will not be spent on Hastings student

Amanda Hall: Most people she's talked to has responded on the positive.

Keith Yetter: She has \$2,800 already. Spending money on the Ritz-Carlton and worried about having money going to lavish rooms for speakers when journals generally don't pay for speakers.

Amanda Hall: It is expensive because it is happening during a holiday.

Laney Wall: Where was she told that she had to pay for the hotels.

Amanda Hall: Tom McCarthy.

Vince Moita: That is not correct. We don't pay for housing or travel funds. Journals and ASUCH funding is different. Journals charge student fees and get funding from the school so it may work differently.

Mike Khoury: Not sure if this is a journal or an ASUCH issue. We need to pay for transportation in order to get speakers. To put Hastings back on the map we need to put on these type of events.

Marianne Lewis: It's been 15 minutes. Someone move to motion to extend.

Sean Larson: Extends the meeting.

Ryan Brodovsky: Amanda has been working a lot on this. We need to support her on this time. We spent almost an hour

Matt Huzaineh: What about proposing a friendly Amendment for \$500.

Ryan Porte: Seconded.

Jon Clark: She can say no to \$500 and go for \$750.

Sean Larson: Matt did exactly what I was going to do.

Jon Clark: Is she getting money from Journals?

Amanda Hall: They paid for airfare.

Vince Moita: Where is the \$750 going to specifically? Whenever we request, we want to know where it's going to so as not to have marginal waste.

Amanda Hall: Can she spend it on advertising?

Vince Moita: It can be spent on advertising.

Amanda Hall: If she can spend it on that that is what she would spend it on. Otherwise it would go to food.

Chris Ballard: Says thanks for speaking with conviction because it is not easy to do. Supports the original.

Laney Wall: Allocation system in place right now doesn't allow for growth and change because the rules are based on the past. If everyone is in favor of this, then it is a good use of the funds. This resolution would be a good way to move something in a new direction.

Sara Tobin: Would there be other things outside from advertising?

Amanda Hall: Advertisement would be \$1,500-2,000. Cheapest is on the radio through a non-profit in Sacramento. San Francisco NPR is very expensive.

Sara Tobin: Would you be using the money for things other than advertising.

Amanda Hall: I would like to use it on advertising and if not, then other things.

Jon Clark: There are 23 registered? How realistic is it that others will attend?

Amanda Hall: It depends on the students. This is something that society wants to know about.

Vince Moita: Doesn't think that there should be money spent outside of SF because the impact for Hastings students would be minimal. It should be spent in SF

Amanda Hall: She wants to ensure that the audience is also diverse and she is not sure how to get those outliers.

Vince Moita: Doesn't think that the advertisement would target people to come.

Amanda: It will be recorded. It will only be wide view. It will also be streamed.

Tom: What time is it?

Amanda Hall: 8:30. Registration would be 7:30.

Monica Brock: This sounds like a wonderful event. As a student she hasn't heard of this before now. Thinks people would be interested if it is advertisements.

Matt Huzaineh: 2 weeks is concerning. Can you postponed it?

Amanda Hall: No.

Ryan Porte: It was in the Weekly at the bottom.

Luis Beltran: Concerned about the registered students and the proportion of money being spent on it. Objects to this.

Ryan Brodovsky: Amanda was looking for funding for any means possible. And he asked her why she hasn't targeted the local community and she said she has.

Amanda Hall: From the hunters' side.

Ryan Brodovsky: We need to be reasonable to what tools are available to host this event. What she is asking for is reasonable. There are a lot of concerns on the quality of the event for the students and the community, and he believes that this will be important. He will go even though the has to do Halloween in the Tower. We should help distribute the information. We need to help her.

Matt Olhausen: Echoes the concern that Luis has. It seems a long way to go in a short amount of time given where she is at in the process. It is hard to allocate half our resolution budget on this event.

Mike Khoury: Motion to end debate

Sean Larson: Second.

Nick: Motion on table

Objections – None. Motion to end the debate passes.

Jon: motion to extend meeting by 10 minutes

Sara: Seconded.

Motion to Extend discussion passes.

Ryan Brodovsky: Now that the debate is ended, Amanda can choose which she wants.

Amanda Hall: Chooses \$500.

Ryan Brodovsjy: Motion to call to question

Sammy Chang: Seconded

VOTE:

Aye: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Ian Nicholson, Andrew Levad, Chris Ballard, Sean Larson, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Lucas Yeung, Ryan Port, Alane Wall, Nicholas Raimondo, Matthew Olhausen, Thomas Ditrych

Nay: Keith, Jason, Jon, luis, Matt H, Tom, Tomas, Sammy, Vince,

Abstentions: Carli Suba

RESOLUTION PASSES

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to Extend Meeting

Sean Larson: Seconded

Nick Lansdown: On the table

No objections. Meeting is Extended.

9:31 GC Minutes from September 30th

Ryan Brodovsky: Correcting LRCP 3.0 conversation, Mike Stonebreaker was credited to Mike Khoury. Later in the minutes, in the IVP report, October is misspelled.

Matt Huzaineh: Move to approve

Kristin Williams: Seconded

Nick Lansdown: ON the table

No Objections: Minutes approved

9:33 Ajourned

Sammy Chang: Moved to adjourn

Everyone: Seconded

Nick Lansdown: On the table

No objections. Meeting Adjourned

General Council Meeting

September 30, 2015

7:30 Roll Call

Present: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Young, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen

Absent: Tomas Ditrych

7:31

Ryan Brodovsky: Moving Public Comment until after Seward's presentation.

Matt Huzaineh: Seconded.

No Objection, Motion passes

7:32 LRCP 3.0 with David Seward and Mike Stonebreaker

Long Range Campus Plan:

Planning process that identifies 198 Building as having issues including but not limited to: elevators, climate control, and electricity. Hastings went to Sacramento to get funding to fix these issues.

Choices were to upgrade 198 Building or build a new building. With the upgrade, the issue would be where to have classes. 198 is two different structures and it holds most of our classes. Funds were secured to build a new building. Hastings' Advocacy Committee helped with this. Governor supported this appropriation. Hastings was the only higher level education to get this type of appropriation.

100 McAllister is old and needs upgrades. They hope that they will be done with 333 Golden Gate by 2018. Vince Moita and Nick have been helping with the planning.

The plan is to integrate the new building with 200 McAllister. Try to create a new campus core to include student-centric spaces. Example: Rooftop space that goes from the 333 building to the 300 building.

198 would become new student housing. This would be partnered with UCSF. The plan is to attend the Hastings' need. Do students prefer studios?

Ryan Brodovsky: The efficiencies are hard when cooking because the sheets smell (especially when cooking fish) in those spaces. Concerned about kitchen space near the room.

Keith Yetter: Echoes Ryan. The general studios are probably what students prefer.

Laney Wall: In the military is having a common area and then have a person's bedroom and bathroom be separate off of the common kitchen between two tenants.

Sean Larson: Nobody's really complained about living in 2-3 bedroom places in San Francisco in general.

Sammy Al-Shanti (non-ASUCH elected member): there are expectations of adults having their own space. In Berkley it was similar to that which Laney suggested.

David Seward: The new thing is the 333 academic building. October 14th the architects will present their ideas and concepts. The student housing is longer because we can't do anything to 198 until 333 is complete.

Matt Olhausen: How did the Tower come to be? Who owns it?

David Seward: Deed belongs to Hastings. We took over the Tower as part of the war effort. There was a deal brokered with President Carter to have the school to buy it. Legend says we bought it for \$1 but it was worth millions on the deed.

Ryan Porte: What is the size comparison between 333 and 198?

David Seward: Keep in mind that our enrollment has gone down. The space is 25% less than 198. 198 is inefficient because there is a lot of wasted space. The new building will be connected to the garage and the 200 without having to go to street level. They will use 200 to supplement. Safety and security is currently housed in the basement, which does not give a visual presence. When there is a housing structure, there is idea of making a tunnel to connect it to the other buildings.

Jon Clark: What happens to the garage? Still rental?

David Seward: Yes. The garage is totally debt funded. It is a revenue generator. Before it was a garage, the spot was unpleasant. They will avoid void street space to make it safer for students.

Upsides on collaborating with UCSF:

Student delegation 4:30 October 15 with UCSF at Soluna. There used to be students housed at Mission Bay, but then they ran out of space for us. It was a good relationship based on the grad school demographics.

Nick Lansdown: Grad Students?

David Seward: Yes.

Ryan Brodovsky: The construction will take a long time, 4 finals periods, what is the plan to not have the same issues during the sidewalk project of last year? What is the plan to reduce noise heavy construction during finals?

David Seward: The plan will not affect this building. It is two blocks from the Tower. There probably won't be pile driving or jackhammering. He can't say for sure if there won't be heavy work during the final period

Ryan Brodovsky: The problem is the library where students will be studying.

David Seward: Regardless of when the construction happens, people will be studying when it goes on. They tend to go from 7-3 or 7-4. There will be aggravation, but there won't be demolition.

Ryan Brodovsky: Would you say that this one of the considerations as people go with this plan? Especially for studying and studying for the bar.

Mike Stonebreaker: Yes, it will be considered. There will be disruption that can't be avoided, but it will mitigated.

Jehmima Carril: The Tower has a waitlist. What is the benefit of sharing with UCSF.

David Seward: We take care of Hastings first. And then give excess to UCSF students. The waiting list is about 50 students right now. To make this worthwhile for UCSF, we will have 600-800 units to increase housing. Our financial statements aren't as deep as the UC system. They are much more modest, so we are constrained. By partnering with UCSF, it helps with the risk.

Jehmima Carril: Will UCSF students want to come to our location?

David Seward: Mission Bay is sterile. UCSF students are all over the place and we are in the epicenter of those locations. We sit on a transportation line. This might look better than living in Mission Bay. UCSF are grappling with the same issues as Hastings students in terms of affordability and transport.

Laney Wall: What is UCSF asking for in return? Are they asking for special consideration?

David Seward: We are saying that if we partner, they bring capital and they will jointly deliver a project with limited risk. We are giving real estate. We will charge the same rent regardless of where the student goes to school.

Monica Brock: If we are losing a floor in the library and the gold room, and we are bringing students here to live, where are we going to have study space?

David Seward: We used to have 1240 students and now we're down 25%. We are not losing study space on the 6th floor of the library. 200 McAllister is kind of dead. We have space and capacity. We would get recreational and study space in the 333 building. We would integrate all of that in the design program.

Matt Olhausen: What are the zoning regulations are?

David Seward: We are an instrumentality of the state of California and have constitutional status by being a UC. We have no height limit. We are still constrained by political reality. We are looking to 14 stories for the housing building. The more housing you build, the cheaper it is, we

are looking at half the height of the tower. The new academic building is looking at 80 feet (much like 200 building).

Sammy Al-Shanti: Are there are other plans as ancillary revenue generator.

David Seward: He would like to do that in the ground floor of the housing facility. But that is speculative. It would not be easy in the academic building because it is funded by tax-exempt debt – there is a limit to revenue making space. It would depend if someone could come up with something that would fund the academic program.

Tom Russell: The 6th floor of the library. What is happening with it? The gold room is packed.

David Seward: The gold room has different students. The 6th floor – we will relocate 3 out of 4 of the centers to be work life law, innovation law, and UCSF consortium. They will go into the north-east quadrant of the floor. They won't take away from the study space. The long term plan will be that there will be connections between the two buildings. The research centers will be in that northeast quadrant.

Tom Russell: More windows would be nice.

David Seward: They hear students concerns on that. They have been going to other law schools to see what the best of space looks like. We need to supplement with private donations to give the building “punch.” They want to maximize light and have natural air. We need to raise money.

Ryan Brodovsky: As representatives of the larger student body, what is the best way to communicate what students want to the LRCP 3.0 committee?

David Seward: 2 ways: e-mails sent to Mike Stonebreaker and talk to David when you come across him.

Mike Stonebreaker: Recommends finding out what the core needs are. Pass a resolution and send it so that it is in writing and clear and articulate and shrunk down to the essence. Have something that represents the student body and our voice of what is really important.

David Seward: Predecessors have articulated a lack of a “heart of campus.” Now is the time to think about what students would really like to see. We need to be loud about who gets access to public light because there will be competition over it. We are trying for as green a building as possible. Twitter is coming out to do a green team garden improvements and basketball clinic. Tenderloin museum will be discussed later

8:08 Public Comment

Tom Russell: Received a complaint on the lack of transparency on scholarships. He looked on the website and it is blank. It is something to think about.

Mike Khoury: Wanted to thank 3L class reps on the reception with the Board of Governors and alum. This was the first time we had this event. Recommends doing it again next year. For the next 3L president, they should get a hold of the career office.

Vince Moita: All the stuff that David was talking about – that’s why the survey was given out. It is to get students opinions. The master architect will take those opinions to design the building. There will be 3 potential buildings and choose from there. Please fill out the survey.

Sammy Chang: On the curriculum committee. Transaction law course as a 2 credit course. Faculty is debating over whether this should be offered. What is our opinion?

Keith Yetter: I’m also on the committee and the problem is that there was historically this course by Rappaport and full subscription was about 25 students. They stopped teaching it because there wasn’t much of an interest. There might be a new teacher coming to teach it.

Sean Larson: The rule in the bylaws about 2 absences in a row. There was confusion about what that meant or how rigid it was. He thinks that there should be attention to it and perhaps amend the bylaws.

Nick Lansdown: We are currently amending the bylaws. There was no process to adjust the bylaws. There is now an amendment that has a due process clause to address those needs. This will be amended and voted on by the general council at the next meeting.

Matt Olhausen: Process for drafting a resolution for 333 Golden Gate.

Nick Lansdown: Anyone can draft a resolution and General Council can vote on it. When resolutions come before the General Council, we can provide friendly amendments, but it is up to the drafter to accept those amendments. Then it gets voted on.

Matt Olhausen: Will someone draft a resolution?

Vince Moita: Yes, we can. That is where it really useful to program the surveys so we can draft a resolution.

Nick Lansdown: AS for our resolution about public safety, ti has been forwarded to the Dean and Board of directors.

Ian Nicholson : A lot of people are complaining about the internet and nobody is responding.

Sara: We can draft a resolution.

Ryan Brodovsky: The internet in the Tower was having issues. E-mailed help desk and they replaced the router. Now it works better, but still not great. Main suggestion is to e-mail help desk.

8:18 Introduction of new Parliamentarian – Marianne Lewis

8:17 E-board Reports

Secretary:

Representatives need to fulfill 15-hour service requirement.

IVP:

If you need services hours. After this meeting, there will be a Halloween in the Tower meeting right after. On October 30, Halloween in the Tower will happen. We will need people to give out candy. This serves kids of the tenderloin. This is a tradition that has been going on for at least 10 years. 2-3 setting up. 3-5 for giving out candy. 5-6 clean up.

EVP:

Nothing to report. There are a lot of 6@6's. So sign up.

Jehmima Carril: As a student she appreciated the 6@6 e-mails last year. She fears that the small amount that they are allotted in the ASUCH Weekly is enough because people might not be reading it. They are important for students and the new change is lacking in reaching students.

Nick Lansdown: They are trying to get more readership for the ASCUH Weekly, but Matt does have the authority to e-mail.

Ryan Porte: There are only 12% of students signed up for 6@6 events. Tell people to fill out the form.

DIRCA:

Almost ready to sell Barrister's tickets. IT is finalizing the website as we are selling tickets online. As soon as its up we will sell tickets. We will try to sell raffle tickets by reps.

Talking to the person who works with the Twitter Green Team and Twitter for good. On October 16 in the morning, they are looking for students to volunteer to garden. If anyone is interested, e-mail Sara. We can advertise this in the Weekly.

Mike Khoury: When do we get more information about venue and prices on Barristers?

Sara Tobin: Venue is Venue 550. Tickets will be \$30 and the last week of sales will be \$35.

Jon Clark: where is the gardening?

Sara Tobin: She does not know where, yet.

Nick Lansdown: It is probably where 333 will be. Casey is part of the development process.

Ronnie Shou: FYI – Last year there was open bar, but it is important to note that there historically wasn't an open bar. This will alleviate some of the grumblings of not having an open bar.

Tom Russell: No open bar at Barristers?

Nick Lansdown: No.

Mike Khoury: We had issues with this place before.

Sara Tobin: We are aware of this.

DIRCOMM:

Re-naming the ASUCH Weekly. She will send out an official e-mail to let students know.

TREASURER:

Budgets are looking good. There is another person who submitted their budget late. They will submit a resolution. There is plenty of money for resolutions and there should be more after Barristers

If your org is hosting a BOB, the limit is \$250 total for all orgs hosting collectively.

Nick Lansdown: If you are sponsored by a private company, make sure you relate to people how much they are helping to sponsor, because they might not sponsor the whole thing. BAR companies are not authorized to sponsor because you cannot sign up for their company at BOB. They cannot promote that they are sponsoring the whole BOB when they are not.

Ryan Brodovsky: We are saying that it is OK to seek outside funding because of the limited funds from ASUCH so long as the sponsorship is clear?

Nick Lansdown: Correct. If it changes, he will let us know.

Matt Olhausen: Can multiple orgs have sponsorship?

Nick Lansdown: That may be able to happen so long as they aren't making people sign up.

Matt Olhausen: They can indirectly sponsor a whole BOB.

Nick Lansdown: Technically yes, but then they would have to buy everything, including the beer and wine.

Vince Moita: It costs about \$1,400 to fund a BOB.

Nick Lansdown: AS it stands now, it can't happen because of the way the contracts with the bar companies are.

Laney Wall: Can this be sent out in an e-mail to the student orgs?

Nick Lansdown: Yes, but orgs have access to the minutes. But yes, we can e-mail them to let them.

Jon Clark: I would rather not assume that everyone is reading the minutes.

Nick Lansdown: We are also assuming that people read their e-mails, so assumptions have to be made somewhere.

Vince Moita: We have e-mailed orgs about the split.

PRESIDENT:

Still needs one person who is inclined to do student health advisory committee.

Sammie Chang: I can do it because they have already talked to me.

Nick: This is about insurance premiums for UC Ship. Provide feedback about medical services and how it could be better and whether it is going to a good use in terms of funding. Only meets about 5 times a year. It is all about the care provided under UC Ship. This is a great opportunity if you are interested in health law.

8:37 Minutes

Jehmima Carril: Misquoted. Said that it the lockers were "convenient" not "inconvenient."
Please read the minutes.

Carli Suba: Accepts Friendly Amendment.

Vince: Seconded

No Objections, Minutes Pass

Nick Lansdown: We are now trying to go by Robert's Rules. Now when someone motions for something, and it gets seconded, he will see if someone opposes. If somebody does, then it will go to debate. When that closes, then it will go to the actual vote. If nobody opposes, then it is unanimous consent.

Sara Tobin: How do you abstain?

Nick Lansdown: If nobody opposes, then there is no abstention.

8:41 Resolution

Author of resolution not here – Resolution not heard

8:41

Sammy Chang: Move to adjourn

Jehmima Carril: Seconded

No Objections, Adjourned.

**GENERAL COUNCIL MINUTES
SEPTEMBER 16, 2015**

7:31 Roll Call

Present: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams* (left early), Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad* (left early), Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Young, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Tomas Ditrych

Absent: Keith Yetter, Gabrielle Parris, Monica Brock, Matthew Olhausen, Shibani Tanjea, Kristin Williams (for leaving early), Andrew Levad (for leaving early)

7:22

Tom Russell: ASUCH Weekly – get rid of it

Carli Suba: Not everything is on the Weekly

Matt Huzaineh: Admin is making us doing it because we don't have access to all the population through e-mail

Kristin Williams: Can we just add it to the Weekly

Vince Moita: In the past we had the power to e-mail the whole population, but now we don't and our only recourse is to have the ASUCH weekly to collaborate all e-mails that we would have sent individually, but it is the current solution.

Mike Khoury: Who reads the actual weekly? More than expected

Nick Lansdown: The ASUCH Weekly is our tool to communicate with the whole student population. Now everyone gets the information that hasn't gotten out before, including Board reports and ASUCH minutes.

Ryan Porte: Rebranding? Calling it ASUCH newsletter?

Nick Lansdown: OK.

Ronnie Shou: She appreciates the minutes and agenda sending out. Could there be less overlap between ASUCH overlap and normal Weekly. Otherwise great because it goes to more transparency.

Nick Lansdown: He hears it. We will restrict what we give to the CEC.

Kristin Williams: For the people on ASUCH last year, in order to make the ASUCH minutes last year it was one-sided. It might be better to have the minutes be less transparent.

Tom Russell: Put the minutes up.

Nick Lansdown: They are on the webpage.

Carli Suba: The minutes from last meeting will be voted on today for approval and then published

Ryan Brodovsky: The ASUCH weekly is still beneficial because the normal weekly comes off as authoritative. It is a peer-to-peer forum. It sounds like it is not coming off that way.

Nick Lansdown: Any other comments?

Vince Moita: Long range campus plan. Master architect who will do a design competition on how to design the building. The information we are gathering will go to the architects. He wants feedback on how students use the shark tank, the law café and the student lockers. Start with the shark tank

Ian Nicholson: Shark tank is awesome. It is GOSH's home base. He was on the committee to help design it. He thinks we need a student lounge because not a lot of people hang out there.

Laney Wall: She would hang out if there was alcohol available.

Nick Lansdown: He has asked that question to admin, but we should have an answer soon.

Sammy Chang: With the Shark Tank, it might be more important to have it in the forefront. It is less accessible than the law café. We should make it more of a place to mingle.

Vince Moita: In the new building they could incorporate that.

Andy/Kristin: They appreciate the shark tank, they were looking for open space for meetings/meet and greet. The sky room is hard to book. The tower lounge is always occupied. The ARC is hard to book. There are few spaces for the student orgs outside off campus. Shark tank is the only place on campus for that, such as meet and greet. Long story short – shark tank

Nick Lansdown: He would like to add that the ASUCH board is working with student services to do faculty socials in the shark tank. Dates are to be decided. Student services have the funds. This will be to promote interaction between students and faculty.

Amanda Hall: She is interested in turning it into a student org room. She would also like to see a game room.

Jehmima Carrill: There needs to be a room that isn't the Tower lounge. The student orgs and students need it.

Tom Russell: GOSH needs it. Everyone here is busy, so we can't take a lounge space that may not be used by everyone at the same time.

Vince Moita: Locker space?

Ian Nicholson: Tower people don't use it. Reallocate it to off-campus.

Amanda Hall: In the basement is inconvenient. Should be on the floor with campus.

Jehmima Carrill: As a commuter finds it convenient.

Vince Moita: They are thinking about splitting the lockers between 5 floors for more accessibility. The beach?

Ryan Brodovsky: As someone who lives in the tower, when we have a lot of books, lockers are

Sammy Chang: Vote to extend for 10 min

Jason Morales: Seconded

VOTE:

- AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Ian Nicholson, Andrew Levad, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Tom Russell, Lucas Young, Samuel Chang, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Luis Beltran, Tomas Ditrych
- NAY: Jason, Ronnie, Chris, Ryan, Ryan, Matt
- ABSTENTIONS: Jehmima, Christian

Vince Moita: He can send out a link to everyone in here to respond to these questions. He will send the email within the next couple days.

Nick Lansdown: Public comment is concluded because Vince will e-mail.

Carli: There will be a Sexual Assault/Safety Forum co-hosted by ASUCH, admin and Ms.JD from 3:30-4:30 in the ARC. We are encouraging students to go. It will be a discussion, so students are encouraged to ask questions. Dean Hillman will moderate. Those involved include: Hastings' General Counsel, Security, David Seward, and Student Health Services.

Nick Lansdown: If you have questions, send it to student services or Carli or use note cards.

7:56 Committee Report

Nick Lansdown: Ad Hoc Committees. Standing committees have been filled. Ad hoc committees still need to be filled, including educational effectiveness, JD flex, student writing. He will be submitting to Student Services. Every student rep has voting power.

Ryan Brodovsky: Halloween in the Tower in full force. Gracie made a facebook group. There is an online sign up sheet. They are working to get over 100 kids. Date is Oct 30, not yet determined. 3:00-5:00. Set up is 2-3, clean up is 5-6.

Matt Huzaineh: 6@6 is rolling. There are 4 already planned. First is David Lineman, lives and works in Thailand. Best way to get this out is word of mouth.

Sara Tobin: Next BOB is tomorrow. Tomorrow, she is formally signing the contract with the venue for Barristers.

Sammie Acuna: Mixed reviews of the ASUCH weekly. She is going to do a survey for feedback.

Carli Suba: Turn in Service Hours so that you can get credit for your ASUCH work.

8:03 Minutes from last meeting

VOTE

- AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Young, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Tomas Ditrych

- NAY: 0
- ABSTENTIONS: 0

8:04 Budget Allocation

Carli Suba: Motion to Table

Jehmima Carril: Seconded

VOTE:

- AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Young, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Tomas Ditrych
- NAY: Kristin Williams and Andrew Levad
- ABSTENTIONS: 0

9:05 Resolutions

SECURITY RESOLUTION

Author: Ryan Brodovsky

This was talked about in the E-board meeting and is supported by the E-board. This is to support our public security and not replace them with UCSF security guards. This issue been going on since 2011. This is partially a cost measure. They maybe adopting the UC brand to be our security. We live in a dangerous neighborhood to have just security guards. UCSF and Hastings have been collaborating for some time. They are trying to replace our security to have security officers who are not really cops who then have to call cops when there are emergencies. We already have a system in place. Hastings is not the same as UCSF because we are not in under the regents.

This may be largely symbolic. We don't know how much our vote matters, but it is important to show support to our officers. Hastings is currently in negotiations with a separate branch and then UCPD would be called when there is an emergency. It would be similar to what we have in the Tower who would call the UCPD in emergency.

Ronnie Shou: Motion to amend. Whereas clause: Student safety is a primary concern for potential and current students. Removing the legitimate police force would hinder recruitment and retention efforts.

Lucas Yung: Friendly Amendment changing "legitimate" to "dedicated"

Sammy Chang: Friendly Amendment: Can send the language out

Nick Lansdown: They are not in current negotiations, but they are doing research. Shouldn't take less than 6 months.

Ryan Brodovsky: Accepts Ronnie's friendly amendment.

Jehmima: Seconded.

VOTE:

- AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Ian Nicholson,

Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Young, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimondo, Tomas Ditrych

- NAY: 0
- ABSTENTIONS: Luis

RESOLUTIONS PASSES

HASTINGS DEMOCRATS

Author: Jenna

Hastings Democrats' budget request form was turned in late because they want to do more outreach.

Vince Moita: Putting the budget together this weekend. Since we are working on transparency, and it was late, they decided that they should go through the resolution process. Their budget has already been incorporated into the budget. This is to put them on notice to get it in on time. He sponsors this. Voting on allocated funds that they would give them in the budget allocated.

Nick Lansdown: Some orgs submitted late. This is a formality to put them on notice to show that finance committee takes this seriously. This is the resolution that came as an outcome to the situation.

Tomas Ditrych: Are you going to discuss the allocation in detail?

Vince Moita: We are going to look at the budget in general. This is to allow them to get on the budget itself. To allow them to get what they would have gotten if the budget is passed.

Ryan Porte: Were there any other political parties represented?

Vince Moita: No.

Tomas Ditrych: Is this normal?

Vince Moita: Yes this has been allocated in the pass.

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to call to question.

Matt Huzaineh: Second.

VOTE:

- AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Ian Nicholson, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Young, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Luis Beltran,
- NAY: Jason Morales
- ABSTENTIONS: Tomas Ditrych, Nick Raimondo, Ryan Porte, Sammy Chang

RESOLUTION PASSES

HILA

Author: Amanda Hall

HILA also turned in their budget late. HILA is having a difficult time getting off the ground. There are only 3 board members left. They beg forgiveness.

Nick Lansdown: Point of clarification: this is also a budget request.

Chris Ballard: There is no allocation for them on the budget. Why?

Vince Moita: It is on the resolutions.

Amanda Hall: The event they want funded is about trophy hunting. They are also looking at beach clean ups and visiting environmentally friendly corporations, such as TESLA. Last year all of the board was 2Ls and 3LS, they now have 1L reps so they should have strong presence this year.

Nick Lansdown: To clarify, they are likely not to have been allocated all the funds requested.

Matt Huzaineh: Call to Question.

Christian Urbano: Seconded

VOTE:

- AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Young, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Luis Beltran, Tomas Ditrych
- NAY: 0
- ABSTENTIONS: Nicholas Raimondo, Ryan P, Sammy C.

RESOLUTION PASSES

8:29 Emergency Resolution

GAAP:

Christian Urbano: Motion to hear

Tom Russell: Seconded

VOTE:

- AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Ian Nicholson, Andrew Levad, Chris Ballard, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Young, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Tomas Ditrych
- NAY: 0
- ABSTENTIONS: Ronnie, Jason

MOTION TO HEAR RESOLUTION PASSES

Author: Dustin Hovda

Dustin accidentally put the budget on his own chatter feed on Kscope. He later found out that he didn't submit it. It was on time, but didn't go to anyone else

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to Vote

Jehmima Carrill: Seconded

VOTE:

AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Young, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Luis Beltran, Tomas Ditrych
NAY: 0

ABSTENTION: Nicholas Raimondo

RESOLUTION PASSES.

HSIR

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to Hear Resolution

Sara Tobin: Seconded

VOTE:

- AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Young, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Tomas Ditrych
- NAY: 0
- ABSTENTIONS: 0

MOTION TO HEAR RESOLUTION PASSES

Ryan Brodovsky: Motion to Vote

Matt Huzaineh: Seconded

- AYE: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Young, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Tomas Ditrych
- NAY: 0
- ABSTENTIONS: Nicholas Raimondo, Sammy Chang

RESOLUTION PASSES

8:33 Budget Allocation

Vince Moita: The finance committee met over the weekend and spent 11 hours looking over all the orgs budget request. They looked at all the groups. Started out with \$54,000 for the year. They knew they were going to spend more in the spring. They allocated \$27,000 for next semester. They took out \$2000 for resolutions. They were able to allocate according to budget outlines. They made it clear during student leader conference. ASUCH took the largest, but they are for BOBS, Barrister's Ball and food here. We are making money from Barrister which will be good for student orgs. Most of the money went to ethnic orgs, ie: LaRaza, BALS, and HJLSA. Next went professional orgs. They took the late orgs and put them in the resolution fund. They still came out above budget. They only came out to \$21,300. This is good because there are funds for resolution. They were able to get more people more of what they requested last year. Some people requested a lot and didn't follow the guidelines, so those things did not get funded per the budget guidelines.

Tom Russell: Native American and Food and Wine.

Vince Moita: When you host a BOB, you get \$250.

Sara Tobin: The \$250 is for BOB.

Sammy Chang: One org takes all the money and distributes it between all fo them

Mike Khoury: So, they orgs should know that \$250 is for one BOB.

Vince Moita: This is allocated between the orgs themselves for efficiency

Chris Ballard: Who is on the finance Committee?

Vince Moita: Christian Urbano, Laney Wall, Gabby Lion, Sammy Chang
Chris Ballard: This is tough and appreciates how hard they work. He saw 5 orgs that were under funded: APALSA, BALS, CALA, HJLSA, and HCLS. Some of these didn't follow the rules? Wants to see the details of what they were asking.
Vince Moita: This is included in the breakdown
Chris Ballard: There aren't enough specifics.
Vince Moita: Those are what the committee based their budget of at.
Chris Ballard: What are you looking at? How can I access it?
Vince Moita: This will be posted on Kscope. This is a tentative distribution. This is what we are voting on right now. We can send it to everyone.
Matt Huzaineh: Keep in mind, there is a resolution process.
Sara Tobin: There is more money than expected in the resolution fund. The earlier that orgs request money, the more likely they are to get it through the resolution process.
Vince Moita: Why groups didn't get what they wanted. Example: HJLSA, it wasn't clear what kind of event it was. They aren't going to give \$500-600 dollars for a questionable attendance. They don't fund moot court competitions through the budget guidelines. The only thing flexible was social events, but they tried to keep the same standard across the board. This why it is all through Kscope, it will be transparent.
Chris Ballard: Can you reach out to some of these groups that are underfunded and let them know that there is the resolution process.
Vince Moita: This information was available at the fall leadership conference. There was information on the resolution process at the fall leadership conference.
Nick Lansdown: This can be done on the ASUCH Weekly.
Ryan Brodovsky: This is specifically about HJLSA. Not funding off-campus events. Would it be appropriate for HJLSA to do a resolution now that their event has moved to the LMB.
Vince Moita: You can bring it whenever, but he prefers after to see the actual numbers.
Nick Lansdown: Resolutions can be brought more than once. If you get shot down or don't get the money you want, you can bring it up again.
Tom Russell: PAD there is no precedent?
Vince Moita: One of the orgs, PAD is a legal fraternity. They were on campus a few years ago, but it was for the student body as a whole. Now, they are asking ASUCH to fund group exclusive events. They are asking for \$900 to only the benefit of the members as well as charging fees. This is up for debate. Budget Committee did have them seek through resolution.
Carli Suba: This is inappropriate.
Tomas Ditych: Hastings Democrats shouldn't be financed by university money if there is only one political association. Universities shouldn't be political.
Laney Wall: As a member of the finance committee, they had conversations about it. It might not be the best way to allocate money. All of these issues point to this. This might be something to address later with allocating money.
Nick Lansdown: Any group that doesn't ostracize other students can seek funds through ASUCH. The reason we don't have a republican org would be because nobody has started it up or is a part of it.
Vince Moita: The only reason the democrats are getting more scrutiny was because they were late turning in their budget. But there is precedent giving them money. It is easy to line pick why some orgs got money and why didn't. It's about who followed the guidelines and who asked for funds allocatable.

Matt Huzaineh: Motion to call to question

Luis Beltran: Seconded

VOTE:

Aye: Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Ian Nicholson, Andrew Levad, Ronnie Shou, Sean Larson, Jonathan Clark, Christian Urbano, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Lucas Young, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimondo, Luis Beltran, Tomas Ditrych

Nays: Jason Morales and Chris Ballard

Abstentions: 0

BUDGET PASSES.

8:58 ADJOURNED

GENERAL COUNCIL MINUTES SEPTEMBER 2, 2015

7:30 Opening/Welcome

7:31 Roll/Introductions

7:40 Public Comment

Introduction of Student Services (Leeja and Rupa)

- Using them as resources
- Soliciting opinions and advice to help students
- Starting to do meetings with the Reps (focus groups)
- Survey by Mike Stonebreaker
 - Input about the new building
 - Take the survey and get your voice heard.
 - The New building is a long-term building
 - It has been funded by the state (probably will be the last academic building they will fund)
 - They are discussing the design of the building right now
 - Input is important because now they are doing the design
 - Vince Moita is the student rep on the group designing
- Dropping by Student Services
 - They will start office hours
 - They will also speak to students by appointment

Sean Larson: The online petition from last night

- Wants to make sure that this is on everyone's radar
- It is regarding student satisfaction
 - There is a general dissatisfaction with technological issues, transparency issues

- Nick Lansdown:
 - Met with the author who explained his issues
 - He wanted to put ASUCH and Administration on notice
 - In the future, he can bring issues to ASUCH to get the issues heard by Administration
 - Nick has already submitted questions like that to student services and they are addressing them by looking for the answers
 - Encouraging students to contact Nick about future concerns as they will be heard
 - Nick submits quarterly issues to the Board of Directors
 - Students will get answers to their Question
- Leeja Patel:
 - ASUCH is the voice of the students → they have a lot of power
 - Student Services discussed the petition
 - There were a lot of facts that were wrong
 - There were a lot of issues that were legitimate
 - In the future, get assistance to get the facts right and figure out what the laws are so that we can properly fight against them
 - There were answers at Student Services to everything that was listed in the petition
- Nick Lansdown:
 - At the request of the author, further discussion will be on hold for the future
- Sean Larson:
 - It would be help to diffuse the issue by posting the answers and make it public
 - Not bringing it up again will put a bad taste in people's mouths
 - People really do care about these issues
- Leeja Patel:
 - Board of Directors agendas are public online on the Hastings website
 - When they meet and what the discuss
 - Some of the meetings are public
 - In regard to budget and finance committees
 - There is a Hastings finance committee
 - They just met 2 weeks ago
- Nick Lansdown:
 - He takes questions seriously
 - His schedule is pretty free

7:52 E-board introductions

IVP: Ryan Brodovsky

- Duties:
 - Elections Committee
 - Heads an IVP committee
 - Halloween in the tower is their main event
 - Giving children of the Tenderloin an experience of Trick-or-Treating in a safe place (the Tower)

- End of October
 - Committee will help plan it
 - ASUCH Service Hours will be given for working on this
- Legacy Committee
 - Retaining Information from orgs so that those that cannot continue due to lack of membership do not have to restart from scratch
 - Example: HJLSA
 - Had to draft new bylaws and start from scratch
 - Goal of committee is to make it easier to restart organizations
 - Service Hours will be given to creating binders to retain information

EVP: Matthew Huzaineh

- Professional Development Committee
 - Getting students prepared for interviews and callbacks
 - Speakers and alums are always on campus and they could be future employers
 - 6@6 program/Committee
 - 6 people with an alum, usually at 6 pm
 - They go out for coffee, games (Warriors), wine tasting
 - Internships have come out of this program
 - Some alums are interviewers at OCI
 - Committee sets up these events
 - Last year there was rep from each section
 - Nick: I was one of those people who went into an interview with a 6@6 alum
 - Sammie: I got an internship from 6@6

Treasurer: Vince Moita

- Finance Committee
 - Looking for people who want to distribute the budget amongst Hastings' student organizations
 - Allocating \$57,000 between all of the student orgs
 - Commitment is one day in a semester that could be 8-15 hours long
 - This will probably satisfy all of the service hours commitments
 - Looking for people with business backgrounds
 - 2 of each grade of reps

DIRCA: Sara Tobin

- Director of Community of Affairs
- Head of Student Life Committee
 - Social Events:
 - BOB (Beer on the Beach)
 - Once every other week
 - Kegs on the beach (6 tomorrow)
 - There are also non-alcoholic drinks

- Serving wine at BOB will get you service hours
- Barristers Ball (fall)
 - Needs help
 - Will get ASUCH hours
- Spring Fling (spring)
- Community Events

DIRCOM: Sammie

- Responsible for ASUCH's logo (in the ASUCH Weekly)
- Liaison between ASUCH and student body
 - Working on transparency through open and direct communication
 - Communicating what's happening internally at Hastings
- Helped create the ASUCH weekly
- Looking for tech savy

Secretary: Carlia Suba

- Responsible for ASUCH meeting agendas, resolutions, minutes, attendance and service hours
- Responsible for the ASUCH office
 - ASUCH office is available to all elected members
- Head of the Council of Committees
 - Each committee will have a representative to report to the Secretary so that the information can be distributed to students

Nick Lansdown: ASUCH Goals

- E-board worked on things such as the 1L orientation
- Our goal is to work on more community based events
- Faculty led committees
 - Faculty will tell Nick how many reps they want
 - There is a requirement of at least 1 ASUCH representative
 - Goal is to open some of those spots to the general population to spread the knowledge
 - Historically it has been confined to ASUCH, but opening this to the general student population may be a better way of spreading knowledge
 - These are available each semester:
 - Academic Standards Committee
 - Ryan Brodovsky did this last year
 - Deciding on grading distribution
 - Worked with professors and Dean Field
 - Ryan Brodovsky: It was a great opportunity to see how the school approaches academics. There was discussion of increasing the amount of lower grades to show more accuracy on students' performance. However, grades are a lot of our experience and so he drafted a survey to convince the faculty not to change the distributions. They convinced the committee to add 2 more units

- to outside class limit. It's a great way to be heard as an equal not just as a student.
 - Admissions policy and scholarship Committee
 - Getting more students enrolled at Hastings → wanting to boost rankings
 - Grades are not all that they look at when selecting students for admission
 - Professor Lois Weithorn is on this committee
 - Curriculum Committee
 - Controls how UC Hastings teaches courses
 - There is a lot of interest in this committees
- Ad Hoc Committees
 - Trying to get people who are qualified in certain areas to be part of these committees
 - Educational Effectiveness Committee
 - They prefer someone with a teaching background
 - Example: tutoring
 - Someone who can help to get a curriculum that would more effective
 - Flex time JD Program
 - These do not affect our rank, but they boost finance for Hastings
 - People donate money directly to certain organizations
 - This committee will look to get more funds that for general issues
 - Determines whether it is feasible to keep the Flex time program
 - It is a 4 year night course program
 - Tech Oriented Development Program
 - This is a committee that is focused on are good courses in order to get a tech world job
 - This would be a good committee for those who are interested in or have a background in Tech
 - All ad hoc committees have been published
 - Laney Wall: What are the commitment times?
 - Nick Lansdown: Maybe meeting once a month, maybe twice a semester
 - Ryan Brodovsky: He was on two committees and it was intense, but the actual time consuming, but it was an opportunity to take a more active role. It is possible to do this as a 1L.
 - Student Writing Committee
 - Evaluates opportunities for student writing throughout the curriculum
 - Keith Yetter: Will this serve any academic purpose?
 - Nick Lansdown: It might serve an academic purpose. If that occurs and anyone is interested, he can get the information out. There is no professor leading it
 - Sammy Chang: How to apply?
 - Nick Lansdown: Anyone who shows interest will get a definite answer by the weekend.
 - Shibani Taneja: If there are concerns that don't necessarily concern the rest of the school, do we create a separate committee for that?

- Nick Lansdown: Yes, he can direct students to the right faculty member.
We will try to facilitate communications
- All the committees allow students voting power
 - You should say what you think because it matters

8:20 2L/3L Reps Nominations

2L Rep:

- Lucas Yeung:
 - Section 2 last year
 - Wants to help in any way
 - He will be effective because he makes decisions and sticks with them, listens to peers, and sound judgment
 - Interesting Fact:
 - Fingers on left are crooked from playing violin
- VOTE
 - Yay:
 - Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Sean Larson, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Shibani Taneja
 - Nay: 0
 - Abstentions: 0

3L Reps:

- Ronnie Shou:
 - 3L
 - Involved with student orgs, moot court board, Internal VP on Ms JD (first full year as an org), volunteers with students services
 - Face paints
 - VOTE:
 - Yay:
 - Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Sean Larson, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Shibani Taneja
 - Nay: 0
 - Abstentions: 0
- Chris Ballard:
 - 3L

- Undergrad: Political science and business
- Community organizer
- City planner
- President of BLSA last year
- VOTE:
 - Yay:
 - Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Sean Larson, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Shibani Taneja
 - Nay: 0
 - Abstentions: 0

8:26 Parliamentarian and Historian

Parliamentarian (makes sure we are following the rules):

- Jacob Erez
 - Won student of the year
 - President of HJLSA
 - Has good judgment, appropriate for this role. Happy to call us out on our mistake.
 - VOTE:
 - Yay:
 - Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Sean Larson, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Shibani Taneja, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Lucas Yeung
 - Nay: 0
 - Abstentions: 0

Historian:

- Jacklyn Fang
 - Official Student photographer for Hastings ever since 1L
 - VOTE:
 - Yay:
 - Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Sean Larson, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock,

Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Shibani Taneja, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Lucas Yeung

- Nay: 0
- Abstentions: 0

8:30 Resolutions

HJLSA: Culture Night

- Asking for \$ 217.50
- Presented by Arnie Sanchez(Treasurer of HJLSA)
 - Last year at the last ASUCH meeting, tried to submit a resolution for funds from food for Culture Night
 - They did not receive funding because ASUCH ran out of funds
 - Re-submitting resolution for reimbursement now
 - They did not get funds through the budget process because they were new and they had to go through resolutions last year
- Ryan Brodovsky:
 - Clarification – Treasurer at the end of last meeting told orgs that were not able to get funded to come to this meeting to go through resolution at this meeting. The bylaws are going to be changed to not allow this in the future.
 - Friendly Amendment:
 - Capped at \$125 last year, should be the same now
- Vince Moita:
 - Last year all the orgs only got \$125 unless they asked for less, then they got what they asked for
- Arnie Sanchez:
 - This was the only thing that HJLSA asked ASUCH to help fund
 - They put on a lot of other events last year in which they were fully funded by fundraising
- Vince Moita:
 - When students requested budget events, one of the biggest events is a symposium event, which means that they get less than for a social event
 - The last Treasurer gave a \$125 cap
 - Some of the other regulations limit the budget
 - We need to preserve the budget for this year
- Motion to Table this and move on:
 - Yay:
 - Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Sean Larson, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Luis Beltran, Tomas Ditrych, Shibani Taneja, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Lucas Yeung

- Nay: 0
- Abstentions: Matt Olhausen

Budget Regulations:

- Presented by Vince Moita
- Lays out the guidelines on how to do the budget
- All that will be changed is the name of the Treasurer and the Due date to noon on Friday
 - Yay:
 - Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Sean Larson, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Shibani Taneja, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Lucas Yeung
 - Nay: 0
 - Abstentions: 0
- It is easier for the orgs to have the same framework so they know what to expect
- Vote for Resolution:
 - Yay:
 - Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Sean Larson, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Shibani Taneja, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Lucas Yeung
 - Nay: 0
 - Abstentions: 0
- RESOLUTION PASSES

HJLSA's resolution picking up the resolution from earlier:

- VOTE:
 - Yay:
 - Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Sean Larson, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Shibani Taneja, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Lucas Yeung
 - Nay: 0
 - Abstentions: 0
- Arnie Sanchez accepts the Friendly Amendment
- Sammy Chang:

- Has this happened before?
- Nick Lansdown:
 - Anyone can bring a resolution to be voted on
 - The new bylaws will mitigate this
- Vote to Close Debate:
 - Yay:
 - Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Sean Larson, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Shibani Taneja, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Lucas Yeung
 - Nay: 0
 - Abstentions: 0
- Friendly Amendment Vote
 - Yays:
 - Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Andrew Levad, Sean Larson, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Tom Russell, , Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Tomas Ditrych, Shibani Taneja, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Lucas Yeung
 - Nays:
 - Sammy Chang, Ryan Poste, Jason Morales
 - Abstentions:
 - Mike Khoury, Matt Olhausen, Luis Beltran, and Vince Moita
 - RESOULTION PASSES for \$125

Bylaws Resolution:

- Presented by Nick Lansdwon
- Bylaws are living document that the E-Board has made changes to in order to fix past problems
- Sammy Chang:
 - 3.10 – Why not combine (a) and (b)?
 - Is there a rules committee?
- Ryan Brodovsky:
 - It is built in that removal requires a vote so that removing someone at the whims of any one person would be extremely impossible.
 - It might be a good idea to have a rules committee, but rejecting these bylaws will put us back to the old bylaws
- Keith Yetter:
 - Parliamentarian didn't get to this before, but the Parliamentarian can head a bylaws committee
- Nick Lansdown:

- That can happen but the General Council will have to vote on that as well
- Vote to Pass:
 - Yay:
 - Nicholas Lansdown, Ryan Brodovsky, Matthew Huzaineh, Vince Moita, Carli Suba, Sara Tobin, Samantha Acuna, Mike Khoury, Kristin Williams, Keith Yetter, Ian Nicholson, Jason Morales, Andrew Levad, Sean Larson, Christian Urbano, Monica Brock, Jehmima Carril, Samuel Chang, Ryan Porte, Grace Wright, Alane Wall, Gabrielle Lion, Nicholas Raimundo, Luis Beltran, Matthew Olhausen, Tomas Ditrych, Shibani Taneja, Ronnie Shou, Chris Ballard, Lucas Yeung
 - Nay: 0
 - Abstention: Tom Russell

9:00 ASUCH Responsibilities

- Nick Lansdown:
 - Responsibilities are to make sure that the student voice is heard and relay it up to the appropriate channels.
 - Relay the answer back to the person who had the concern and back to the student body
 - This helps with transparency
 - Goals that he has:
 - Define student life on campus
 - There was movie night tonight that nobody attended
 - We will keep hosting them until people do attend
 - We want people to understand that there are events on campus for the purpose of building community

9:03 Comments and Questions

- Sara Tobin:
 - ASUCH should be fun
 - It is what we make it. ASUCH meetings are open to everyone, so orgs can come in and see what happens. We can all be friends while we work.
- Nick Lansdown:
 - Dean says that if over 100 students come to the next town hall meeting by giving another keg on BOB
 - This also gets the information out
- Ryan Brodovsky:
 - Onus is on us. We can get a keg...there was no dollar amount given to that, so there is a lot of freedom with that
- Nick Lansdown:
 - ASUCH is open and available to students
 - Use the Shark Tank so that they don't take it away from students
 - It is a student lounge in the 200 building, it has a ping pong table, video games, board games, and the list goes on

- Ryan Porte:
 - Can we play beer pong?
- Ian Nicholson:
 - Use the one in the Tower because it destroys the tables
- Nick Lansdown:
 - The more we use the Shark Tank, the less chance they will take it away from us to use for other things, such as faculty use.
- Vince Moita:
 - Use the survey to say that student space is wanted and needed.
 - This will affect future students.
- Nick Lansdown:
 - 333 Golden Gate is being built on an appropriation from the governor
 - Hastings is a separate line item from all the other UC Campuses
- Vince Moita:
 - Got \$36.8 million for the building
- Nick Lansdown:
 - This is an allocation solely for the new buildings. No other UC got this, so we are lucky.
- Tom Russell:
 - What is the time line on the 6th floor going and can we prevent it?
- Nick Lansdown:
 - They are not doing anything this academic year, but everything in the 6th floor has been removed to use them for clinics.
 - To prevent it, he can raise the concern. This was raised by his predecessor and nothing was done. He can raise the concern with the meeting for the Board of Governors tomorrow.
- Sammie Chang:
 - Where do students find the memos?
- Nick Lansdown:
 - They are public, but he can send them out.
- Sammie Acuna:
 - Update the ASUCH outline bank

9:11 Meeting Closed